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Abstract:

Chronic lower quadrant injuries constitute a significant percentage of the musculoskeletal cases seen by clinicians. While impairments may vary, pain is often the factor that compels the patient to seek medical attention. Traumatic injury from sport is one cause of progressive chronic joint pain, particularly in the lower quarter. Recent studies have demonstrated the presence of peripheral and central sensitization mechanisms in different lower quadrant pain syndromes, such as lumbar spine related leg pain, osteoarthritis of the knee, and following acute injuries such as lateral ankle sprain and anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Proper management of lower quarter conditions should include assessment of balance and gait as increasing pain and chronicity may lead to altered gait patterns and falls. In addition, quantitative sensory testing may provide insight into pain mechanisms which affect management and prognosis of musculoskeletal conditions. Studies have demonstrated analgesic effects and modulation of spinal excitability with use of manual therapy techniques, with clinical outcomes of improved gait and functional ability. This paper will discuss the evidence which supports the use of manual therapy for lower quarter musculoskeletal dysfunction.
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INTRODUCTION

Low back and leg pain are complaints frequently addressed by healthcare practitioners, and constitute a significant source of medical expenditures. These medical conditions, including major health care burdens such as low back pain (LBP) and osteoarthritis (OA) of the hip, knee and ankle, are often recurrent, progressive and lead to a significant decrease in quality of life.

A persistent musculoskeletal condition is more than simple connective tissue damage; rather, it entails a complex multi-system interaction of connective tissue changes, inflammation, and neuroplasticity of the nociceptive pathways. The end result is a chronic, painful, inflamed joint. In the lower extremity, unraveling the puzzle may be complicated by the weight-bearing role of these joints. Repetitive weight-bearing on an injured limb may contribute to chronicity through abnormal wear and tear, particularly when primary or secondary biomechanical abnormalities are present. In addition, noxious and/or non-noxious input from the affected joint may serve to maintain heightened central nociceptive processes, so that pain is more easily triggered.¹

The purpose of this paper is to describe the clinical presentation of some common chronic lower quarter musculoskeletal conditions, and to discuss how an acute joint injury may progress to a chronically painful condition. A secondary purpose is to discuss the clinical assessment of altered nociceptive processing, and its potential relationship to functional deficits in lower quarter musculoskeletal conditions. Finally, an overview of the efficacy of manual therapy interventions will be provided, with particular emphasis on spine-related extremity pain (SREP), hip, knee and ankle dysfunction.
MUSCULOSKELETAL INJURY AND PAIN

Peripheral Sensitization

Following musculoskeletal injury, increased pain sensitivity of primary afferent neurons at the site of injury occurs, mediated by peripheral sensitization. Peripheral sensitization is defined as increased responsiveness and reduced threshold of nociceptors to stimulation of their receptive fields. Clinically, mechanical stimulation of the tissue (eg, with pressure or stretch) will more readily produce pain. This primary hyperalgesia is generally limited to the area of injury and serves to protect the site from further injury.

Central Sensitization

Central sensitization is described as an increased responsiveness of nociceptive neurons in the central nervous system to normal or subthreshold afferent input leading to hyperalgesia. Central sensitization augments all sensory input from the periphery, such that noxious stimuli conveyed by nociceptive and nonnociceptive fibers in the joint are both facilitated, increasing the pain response. Central sensitization has been reported in many chronic musculoskeletal conditions and has been demonstrated experimentally through heightened flexor withdrawal responses in individuals with knee OA and other chronic musculoskeletal conditions. Furthermore, the efferent secretion of neuropeptides such as Substance P and Calcitonin Gene Related Peptide from sensory afferents (noxious and non-noxious) may accentuate pain and inflammatory processes, through a mechanism referred as neurogenic inflammation.
I. Hyperalgesia

A fundamental feature of central sensitization is the expansion of receptive fields, which may result in spread of symptoms and increased tenderness to palpation, both distally and proximally.\(^7,8\) In the lower quarter, LBP can refer distally, even beyond the knee,\(^9\) which may relate to the clinical concept of “peripheralization” of symptoms,\(^10\) although few if any studies have examined the mechanisms behind this clinical phenomenon. In individuals with knee OA, the pain pattern may be significantly expanded around the knee and into the lower leg.\(^8\) Clinically, the patient may describe a larger pattern of referred pain, sometimes in conjunction with other sensory symptoms. The diversity of symptoms may have neuropathic characteristics but typically do not correspond with a peripheral nerve or spinal nerve root distribution.\(^7\) Regional spread may be found in the ipsilateral limb\(^11\) and potentially in the same distribution on the contralateral side. This regional manifestation of central sensitization is possibly distinct from widespread sensitization, which is commonly described in such conditions as fibromyalgia,\(^11\) but may be a component of some chronic musculoskeletal conditions.\(^12\) One method of clinically differentiating the two has been through assessment of deep tissue hyperalgesia using algometry (Fig 1) locally (at site of injury), regionally (eg, within the same limb) and at a remote upper quarter site such as the infraspinatus muscle,\(^12\) as an indication of widespread sensitization.

II. Hypoesthesia

Hypoesthesia to mechanical and vibration stimuli (Fig 2) has been demonstrated concurrently with hyperalgesia.\(^13-15\) Elevated vibrotactile thresholds have been found following experimental pain\(^16\) and in patients with painful articular disorders.\(^14,15\) Hypoesthesia and
hyperalgesia are believed to be mediated by distinct neurophysiological mechanisms, however both may be triggered by nociceptive input and may be centrally mediated, either at spinal and/or supraspinal levels. Clinically, these concurrent findings may make diagnosis more challenging and promote the use of vague diagnoses. Recent studies have focused on the differentiation between radicular and pseudoradicular lower quarter signs and symptoms. This is important clinically because the management of neuropathic pain such as in the case of spinal nerve root pathology, may differ from that of non-neuropathic pain. Interestingly, Freynhagen et al has suggested that the two may be part of a continuum rather than two separate entities.

III. Functional changes

Functional deficits found with chronic musculoskeletal pain such as decreased balance or gait status, may be related, in part, with concurrent somatosensory changes, although little evidence has connected these findings. Reduced proprioceptive acuity has been shown in LBP, knee OA, and chronic ankle instability (CAI) and postural control deficits have been reported as well. Impairments in dynamic or static stabilization and muscle strength are likely contributors to functional deficits following lower quarter injury, however, a relationship between pain-related quantitative sensory testing (QST) changes and functional instability may exist. Contralateral deficits have been found following unilateral lower extremity injury, supporting a spinal or supraspinal contribution.

Musculoskeletal disorders may benefit from classification in terms of pain mechanism, as treatment may be directed more appropriately for evidence-based modulation of aberrant nociceptive processing. Quantitative sensory testing has been recognized as one means of identifying altered pain mechanisms. In recent years, manual therapy has been more commonly
proposed as a treatment for management of musculoskeletal pain, with beneficial outcomes reported in both acute\textsuperscript{28} and chronic\textsuperscript{29-31} conditions. Evidence supporting the use of manual therapy interventions in lower quarter musculoskeletal conditions will be discussed.

CLINICAL FINDINGS IN LOWER QUARTER MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS

Lumbar Spine Related Extremity Pain

To provide the most appropriate treatment of LBP and SREP, clinicians may employ formal or informal classification schemes\textsuperscript{32}, with the intent of subgrouping based on suspected pathoanatomical insult\textsuperscript{33}, psychosocial status\textsuperscript{34}, clinical prediction rules\textsuperscript{28}, or combination of these. These classifications are usually not mutually exclusive, and reported outcomes of successful treatment have been variable, depending on the model. Accordingly, recent evidence over the last few years has called into question the validity of the pathoanatomical models of dysfunction in LBP and lumbar SREP\textsuperscript{35}.

Recent studies have focused on the differentiation of non-neuropathic and neuropathic pain\textsuperscript{18,36}. With greater chronicity, the distribution of pain experienced following musculoskeletal injury of the lumbar spine will expand and often extend into the limbs. Some clinicians have referred to this as ‘peripheralization’ of symptoms\textsuperscript{10}. Central sensitization of nociceptive pathways has been identified as one mechanism explaining this spread of symptoms. Neuropathic-like signs and symptoms may be found during examination, such as spontaneous pain, paresthesias and pain sensitivity that cross nerve territories\textsuperscript{37}. Functional changes may occur as well. Using a series of active lumbar movements, Luomajoki et al\textsuperscript{38} demonstrated poorer movement control in subjects with non-specific LBP, with greater deficits found in those with
longer duration of symptoms. These motor changes may persist even when the pain is in remission.\textsuperscript{39}

Neuropathic pain defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain as “pain arising as a direct consequence of a lesion or disease affecting the somatosensory system”\textsuperscript{2} may represent up to 40\% of the pain experienced by patients with chronic LBP and chronic pain,\textsuperscript{40} and is thought to be under diagnosed.\textsuperscript{40} Strictly speaking, the sequellae of central sensitization following joint or muscle injury cannot be defined as neuropathic pain, as no “lesion or disease” has occurred. Thus, the differentiation of SREP, whether it is neuropathic in origin, or the sequellae of central sensitization due to a chronic spinal musculoskeletal injury, can be challenging. Not surprisingly, the clinical presentation of most patients is not exclusively neuropathic or non-neuropathic pain, but instead may be classified as a mixed type presentation.\textsuperscript{18}

Traditionally, screening for myotomal related weakness, diminished reflexes and altered sensation in the lower extremity has been used by clinicians to differentiate these conditions. Freynhagen and colleagues\textsuperscript{18} evaluated 27 patients with either low back or SREP using QST measures. Prior to testing, subjects’ SREP was classified as radicular pain, defined as symptoms radiating below the knee in a typical dermatomal pattern and demonstrating sensory or motor loss, or pseudoradicular pain, defined as symptoms presenting in a non-dermatomal manner with no radiation below the knee and without motor or sensory deficits. Interestingly, no significant differences were found between the two groups. The test that best differentiated the two groups was vibration detection threshold, which was affected in 73\% of the radicular group and 47\% in the pseudoradicular group. The authors concluded that ‘mixed’ neuropathic and non-neuropathic SREP may be more common than previously reported.\textsuperscript{18}


I. Quantitative Sensory Testing

While Freynhagen et al investigated the use of QST for the differentiation of SREP, other studies have focused on somatosensory changes at the lumbar spine in individuals with LBP. Proprioception has been found to be increased (less sensitive), which parallels findings in other chronically painful joints of the lower quarter. Wand et al demonstrated increased thresholds for two-point discrimination and grapaesthesia at the lumbar spine in individuals with chronic LBP, suggesting cortical neuroplastic changes as a potential mechanism. Conversely, they found tactile thresholds to be unaltered as compared to age-matched controls, which is in agreement to previous work in the lumbar spine, but in contrast to findings in other chronically painful joints.

Regional and widespread hyperalgesia has been reported in individuals with chronic LBP. O’Neill and colleagues demonstrated that individuals diagnosed with lumbar disc herniation in the previous 6 to 24 months, confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), had significant reduction in PPT of the ipsilateral tibialis anterior but not of the infraspinatus muscle, suggesting regional but not necessarily widespread sensitization. In contrast, others have reported more global hyperalgesia in patients with LBP.

II. PainDETECT Questionnaire

A simple screening tool to assist identification of neuropathic origin is the painDETECT questionnaire. The painDETECT is a 9 item questionnaire that has been shown to classify patients as either nociceptive or neuropathic with a sensitivity and specificity of 85 and 80% respectively. Patients classified as neuropathic by painDETECT were more likely to have higher levels of pain and have co-morbidities such as depression, anxiety and sleep disorders.
Although the presence of leg pain is a common finding in individuals with SREP of neuropathic origin it is not a sufficiently sensitive finding to rule out this type of pain. In fact, perhaps one reason neuropathic SREP may be underdiagnosed is that its sensory symptom profile differs from other neuropathic pain syndromes. Using the painDETECT tool, it was found that neuropathic SREP (where prominent pain was below the knee) differed from diabetic peripheral neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia. In particular, touch-evoked allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia were not commonly found (occurred 10% or less) in radicular pain, and the report of burning pain and prickling sensations were much less common.

III. Neural Provocation Testing

One clinical test that may be valuable in recognizing neuropathic SREP is Lasegue’s test, also known as the passive straight leg raise test (SLR). Scholz et al found the presence of radicular pain on PSLR a meaningful clinical finding for identifying a neuropathic pain component. Likewise, Beith et al also found in their cohort of subjects with SREP a significant reduction in passive SLR, and the passive SLR with ankle dorsiflexion, that was concluded to be of neuropathic origin. However, it has been recommended that the test not be used in isolation, as neural provocation tests, such as the passive SLR, are not specific for neuropathic pain and may also test positive in the presence of centrally mediated pain.
Hip

Approximately 20% of elder adults complain of hip pain, with degenerative joint disease as a major source of these symptoms. A potential factor which may accelerate degenerative changes and pain at the hip joint is a tear of joint labrum. While hip pain classically refers to the groin and medial thigh, pain from labral tears may also present in the region of the buttock and lateral trochanter. It has been proposed that labral tears may be a part of a continuum of joint degeneration leading to OA.

In a study of patients with symptomatic hip pain, pain referral occurred most commonly into the buttock in 71% of patients. However, 22% of patients experienced pain distal to the knee, while foot pain was occasional, occurring in only 2% of patients. Accordingly, Khan et al found 47% of patients awaiting hip arthroplasty had pain below the knee, particularly at the anterior shin. Expanded regions of pain, as found in these studies, have also been demonstrated in knee OA and like knee OA, no correlation was demonstrated between the pain distribution and radiographic findings of hip osteoarthritis. Thus, hip symptoms extending distal to the knee may be more common than previously believed, and as arthritic hip joint pain becomes chronic, the spread of symptoms may mimic SREP. Hip OA is associated with chronic pain and declining joint function, which affects weightbearing and balance during ambulation. Total hip replacement effectively restores hip function but restoration of balance during ambulation is multi-factorial, depending on strength, motor control and fear of falling.

Spine and Hip Pain

Concurrent spine and hip pain are common and mostly attributed to biomechanical changes, particularly in relation to hip abductor weakness, yet nociceptive mechanisms such as
neurogenic inflammation may contribute to degenerative joint changes and pain. \textsuperscript{57} Evidence of potential neurogenic inflammation has been demonstrated in the labra of human hips harvested during arthroplasty\textsuperscript{58} and in synovial tissues in individuals with prosthetic loosening after total hip replacement. \textsuperscript{59} The authors of these studies hypothesized that the pain of hip OA may be caused by invasion of nerve fibers into synovial tissues with efferent release of neuropeptides, which facilitates pain, inflammation and joint degeneration.

The relationship between hip and lumbar spine pain has been labeled by some as the hip-spine syndrome,\textsuperscript{60} with evidence that treatment of the hip either surgically,\textsuperscript{61} or conservatively with physical therapy\textsuperscript{62} may alleviate LBP as well. Greater trochanteric pain syndrome, reported to affect between 10\% and 25\% of the general population,\textsuperscript{63} has also been associated with LBP, and ipsilateral and contralateral knee OA as well.\textsuperscript{56} Further research on the relationship of hip and lumbar spine pain is clearly warranted.

Quantitative Sensory Testing

Many clinical studies have utilized the hip OA population for the study of pain mechanisms and their clinical correlates. Individuals with hip OA have been found to have significantly lowered threshold perception to cutaneous stimulation in their areas of referred pain, and demonstrate increased hyperalgesia to the same stimuli when compared with healthy controls.\textsuperscript{64} Nikolajsen et al\textsuperscript{65} also found punctate hyperalgesia in the same population, as well as changes in thermal detection threshold, but not find hypoesthesia to tactile stimuli. Kosek and Ordeberg\textsuperscript{44} also demonstrated aberrant thermal sensation, which normalized six months following total hip arthroplasty. Vibratory perception threshold was found significantly reduced in subjects with symptomatic and radiographic hip OA when compared with age-matched
controls without hip OA. While functional and somatosensory deficits have been reported separately in this population, no studies to date have investigated the relationship between these two impairments.
Knee

Knee pain may be idiopathic or occur following repetitive or traumatic injury. The relationship between joint injury and later onset of degenerative changes is not always evident. However, with trauma such as anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury, degenerative changes have been linked to the traumatic injury. Surgical reconstruction may be performed with the intention of restoring static stability to the joint, yet repair of the mechanical deficit does not completely impede the onset of degenerative changes. Furthermore, many of these individuals complain of pain and ‘giving way’ during functional activities, even with restored static stability and normal strength of the surrounding musculature. The factors that trigger arthritic mechanisms are likely complex, but in addition to abnormal wear and tear from altered arthrokinematics, facilitated nociceptive mechanisms may promote pain at the ipsilateral and potentially, the contralateral knee due to neurogenic inflammation. Heightened nociceptive reflexes, indicating central sensitization of nociceptive pathways, have been demonstrated in subjects following anterior cruciate rupture, in spite of the fact that all subjects were reportedly painfree at the time of testing. Furthermore, the excitability of the reflex response was increased by application of a painfree, passive anterior tibial translation, indicating that non-noxious stimuli (ie, afferent input from stretch of joint tissues) may promote spinal nociceptive excitability. Given that central nociceptive excitability is considered a component of chronic knee OA as well, it follows that management of the patient with acute or chronic knee condition must address pain mechanisms in addition to impairments at the knee.
Quantitative Sensory Testing

Numerous studies have identified a proprioceptive deficit in both knee OA and ACL deficiency (see \textsuperscript{20, 71} for reviews). In both conditions, proprioceptive deficits are typically found in the affected and unaffected contralateral knee. Functional deficits, such as giving way and gait limitations, may be associated with diminished proprioceptive acuity,\textsuperscript{20} but limited evidence supports this notion.

Cutaneous hypoesthesia has also been reported in knee joint disorders. Hendiani et al\textsuperscript{13} noted that mechanical detection threshold was elevated in those with knee OA, particularly in the region adjacent to the joint line. In patients with longstanding unilateral patellofemoral syndrome, hypoesthesia was found in both the affected and unaffected knee.\textsuperscript{72} It was hypothesized that disturbed joint position sense and muscle recruitment patterns seen in some patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome may be due to dysfunction of mechanoreceptors in the skin close to the painful knee, however proprioception was not measured in this study. Alternatively, other researchers have identified hypoesthesia to vibration in individuals with knee OA, and hypothesized a relationship between this finding and decreased proprioceptive acuity at the joint.\textsuperscript{14} Clearly, while pain-related hypoesthesia has been demonstrated in relation to various joint injuries and conditions, its relationship to function has yet to be unraveled.

Allodynia, described as the experience of pain with non-noxious stimuli, has been described in subjects with knee OA.\textsuperscript{73} This cutaneous finding is typically associated with neuropathic pain, and when identified in association with a joint injury, may also be an indicator central sensitization of nociceptive pathways.
Finally, hyperalgesia of deep somatic tissues has been demonstrated in patients with knee OA, using PPT. Nociceptors in deep somatic tissue, such as joint and muscle, show pronounced sensitization to mechanical stimuli in contrast to cutaneous nociceptors, thus, algometry may be a valuable tool for the clinician.
Ankle

Lateral ankle sprains are a common problem with recurrence rates as high as 70%, and with findings of at least one residual symptom 2 years following a single ankle injury. Valderrabano et al reported a relationship between trauma ankle ligament injury and the development of OA. The exact mechanism of joint degeneration is unclear but likely due to both inflammatory factors and altered biomechanics.

Mechanical ankle instability (MAI) is a consequence of ligamentous injury resulting in pathological joint laxity while CAI has been defined as a recurrent giving away of the ankle that occurs for greater than one year after an ankle sprain. The CAI patient may present with pain, recurrent ankle sprains, diminished neuromuscular control, weakness, impaired joint position sense, and decreased performance and self-report of functional and sport activity. Santos et al suggested that the functional sequellae of CAI may be due in part to hyperexcitability of central nociceptive pathways, as has been found in chronic knee conditions. A diversity of physical impairments is reported in the literature and correlation between MAI and CAI is lacking. Due to the unpredictable clinical presentation of CAI, the clinician must rely on a thorough clinical exam and the use of evidence-based physical performance measures such as the Star Excursion Test and functional report scales such as the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure and Lower Extremity Functional Scale.

I. Quantitative Sensory Testing

Quantitative sensory testing has the potential to help identify altered somatosensation following foot or ankle injury. For example, use of the contralateral limb as a reference standard has been found to be unreliable, potentially due to central neural mechanisms affecting the opposite corresponding joint. Contralateral balance impairments have been
found in an acute unilateral ankle sprain population.\textsuperscript{25} Bilateral sensory impairments (elevated vibratory threshold of both involved and uninvolved ankles) have also been documented in an ankle sprain population vs. controls.\textsuperscript{91} Thus, the presence of pain and/or inflammation at the site of injury can trigger a cascade of neuroplastic changes at both local and central levels affecting sensorimotor processes.

The classic tissue strain model of “articular deafferentation” as proposed by Freeman\textsuperscript{92} may not fully describe the diverse clinical presentation found in the CAI population. It was theorized that an ankle sprain produced disruption not only of the collagenous connective tissue but also to the sensory mechanoreceptors within the ligament;\textsuperscript{92} however poor correlation exists between true mechanical instability and complaints of functional instability.\textsuperscript{93} A recent systematic review by Menacho et al\textsuperscript{94} showed consistent delayed peroneal muscle reaction time, while another by Munn et al\textsuperscript{95} failed to demonstrate any consistent delay. However, impairments in joint position sense and postural control in FAI were routinely found.\textsuperscript{95} While some authors have reported delayed muscle response to rapid supination, Santos et al\textsuperscript{86} showed shortened involved limb unloading time, evidence of heightened reflexive protective responses. This finding is in contrast to typical MAI findings and may be an indication of increased spinal excitability. Local sensory impairments (eg, increased vibratory thresholds) have been reported in the severe ankle sprain population and hypothesized to originate from a tearing of type I/II joint mechanoreceptors, however spinal-supraspinal sources may not have been considered. More recent research however has shown that the presence of pain alone (via capsaicin or electrical stimulation) is sufficient to impair cutaneous sensation, suggesting a presynaptic inhibition.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore two mechanisms have been proposed, both the tissue strain (MAI/deafferentation) model which would result in delayed response (i.e.: delay peroneal
response time) and injury-induced CAI model, where increased excitability of nociceptive pathways can result in decreased reflex response time, more rapid unloading, local and wide spread sensorimotor changes and potentially, the feeling of instability or giving away.

II. Neural Provocation Testing

Little research has been reported on the use of neural provocation tests for examination of ankle and foot injuries. In a sample of subjects following lateral ankle sprain, Pahor and Toppenberg\textsuperscript{96} reported a decrease in knee extension, plantarflexion and inversion in the slump position with symptoms (eg, burning or tingling sensations) in a superficial peroneal nerve distribution. The release of cervical flexion from the slump position resulted in a significant reduction in symptoms in each test. As mentioned above, neural provocation testing should not be used in isolation, as positive tests may be indicative of central mediated pain\textsuperscript{6} and therefore misinterpreted.
Manual Therapy in the Lower Quarter

The modulatory effects of manual therapy on nociception has been demonstrated experimentally in animal model studies and in chronic pain populations. Specifically, modulation of facilitated spinal reflexes (i.e., the nociceptive reflex) has been demonstrated with oscillatory joint mobilization at the affected joint, indicating that the analgesic effects of manual therapy are, at least in part, centrally mediated. However, the effects of manual therapy are likely multimodal. Clinical studies on treatment of lower quarter musculoskeletal pain have also shown it to be effective over the long-term.

Manual Therapy for Spine Related Extremity Pain

While support for the use of manual therapy in musculoskeletal disorders continues to advance, less evidence exists to guide clinicians when selecting the most appropriate manual therapy for SREP. The clinical prediction rule for spinal manipulation has identified 5 factors that may predict success with this approach in the individual with low back pain. These are symptom duration less than 16 days, no symptoms below the knee, at least one lumbar segment judged to be hypomobile, one hip with more than 35 degrees internal rotation and a Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire score less than 19 (work subscale). While this research provides evidence for use of lumbar spinal manipulation (Fig 3), it may be less useful in the management of nerve root compression. Other types of manual therapy, however, may be beneficial in ‘centralizing’ symptoms of SREP.

Specific to SREP of neuropathic origin, Schafer et al found that those patients classified with “neuropathic sensitization” had a poor result following “neural manual therapy” which involved mobilization of the lumbar spine and exercises with the purpose of improving
nerve excursion. In a recent systematic review of spinal manipulation or mobilization for SREP\textsuperscript{103} the authors identified 11 trials that met their inclusion criteria. They concluded that the majority of these studies had a high risk of bias and that there is only moderate evidence at best to support manual therapy to treat SREP. However, there was no mention in the review of studies differentiating between extremity pain of non-neuropathic or neuropathic origin. The authors suggested that the strongest study cited in the review was undertaken by Santilli and colleagues\textsuperscript{104} which was a randomized double-blinded trial comparing chiropractic manipulation to a simulated sham treatment on patients with SREP due to an MRI verified disc herniation. After 180 days, patients in the manipulation group had less leg pain than the simulated group. External validity of the study is limited by the fact that patient symptoms were acute (less than 10 days duration) and presence of motor or sensory signs was not indicated, thus making identification of the SREP as neuropathic or non-neuropathic difficult.

Manual Therapy of the Hip and Knee

There is limited evidence on the effectiveness of manual therapy for painful hip disorders. In one randomized controlled trial including patients with hip OA,\textsuperscript{31} manual therapy, consisting of mobilization (Fig 4) and manipulation of the hip joint (Fig 5), was compared with active exercises. Subjects receiving manual therapy demonstrated significantly better outcomes on pain, stiffness, hip range of motion and function. Many of the outcome measures for this group showed lasting improvement at the 6 month follow-up. In a case series by MacDonald et al,\textsuperscript{105} the combined effects of manual therapy and therapeutic exercise are reported. All 7 of these patients responded with significant improvements in pain, range of motion and function (Harris Hip score in 6 of 7). Despite these promising results, insufficient numbers of high quality clinical trials exist. A recent systematic review by French et al\textsuperscript{106} found only 4 studies that met their
inclusion criteria for the comparison of manual therapy and therapeutic exercise in OA of the hip and knee. Quantitative sensory testing has not been reported as an outcome measure in manual therapy studies of the hip, however, Kosek and Ordeberg 44 demonstrated that altered QST measures, including pressure pain and thermal sensitivity, normalized in individuals with hip OA six months following total hip replacement surgery.

Manual therapy has also been demonstrated to be effective in the treatment of knee OA, although evidence is limited. In a randomized controlled trial, manual therapy applied at the knee in addition to a clinical exercise program was found to produce significantly better outcomes, as measured by the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and six-minute walk test, than a home exercise program, and these results were maintained at one year.30 Other studies have reported similar findings.29,107 In addition, there is evidence that manual therapy may diminish pressure pain sensitivity both at the medial joint line and at a distal non-painful site in subjects with knee OA. Most of these studies addressing knee OA have employed an oscillatory technique (Fig 6) applied at the affected joint over several minutes,5,29,30,108 however application of a thrust or impulse has also been reported as effective.107 There is little or no evidence supporting the use of manual therapy following acute knee injury, such as ligamentous or meniscal injury. Considering the evidence supporting its use in acute ankle sprain109,110 this may be an important area of future clinical research.

Manual Therapy of the Foot and Ankle

Evidence suggests that manual therapy following acute ankle sprain results in superior early dorsiflexion range of motion versus traditional exercise intervention alone.109,110 While most manual therapy study protocols use range of motion and functional outcome scales to
determine successful outcome, a recent study examined changes in plantar load distribution (baropodometry) before and after manipulation. In this study, a caudal talocrural joint manipulation resulted in significant load distribution changes not found in a placebo group. This change may be attributed to mechanical joint alternation (i.e.: change in stiffness) or alternatively, altered postural control mechanisms.

Previous studies demonstrating significant improvements in ankle ROM have failed to demonstrate significant changes in pressure or thermal pain threshold. However a recent randomized controlled trial by Yeo and Wright produced significant improvement in both PPT and dorsiflexion ROM from a single bout of talocrural joint mobilization.

CONCLUSIONS

Recent studies have demonstrated evidence of peripheral and central sensitization of nociceptive pathways in lower quarter musculoskeletal conditions, both acute and chronic, of the lumbar spine, hip, knee and ankle, thereby changing the treatment focus from one directed solely at the musculoskeletal tissues, to a broader mode of management that considers altered neurophysiological mechanisms as well. Evidence for the analgesic effects and clinical effectiveness of manual therapy has been reported. Future research on the functional implications of central sensitization, as well as its impact on progression of degenerative joint disease is warranted. In addition, clinical biomarkers of altered nociceptive processing may aid in accurate and successful management of lower quarter musculoskeletal dysfunction.
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Figure 1. Clinical assessment of hyperalgesia through use of algometry. Typical measures include pressure pain threshold and pressure pain tolerance.

Figure 2. Clinical assessment of vibratory perception through use of a Rydel-Seiffer graduated tuning fork. The device, typically applied at a bony prominence, allows an objective measurement of the intensity at which the vibration is no longer perceived.

Figure 3. Lumbar rotational thrust manipulation

Figure 4. Oscillatory mobilization technique at the hip

Figure 5. Longitudinal thrust manipulation at the hip

Figure 6. Oscillatory mobilization at the tibiofemoral joint

Figure 7. Longitudinal thrust manipulation at the ankle