The Bihemispheric Posterior Interior Cerebellar Artery: Anatomic Variations and Clinical Relevance in 11 Cases.

Andrew P Carlson, MD; Ali Alaraj, MD; Reza Dashti, MD, PhD; Victor A. Aletich, MD.

Department of Neurosurgery, Neuropsychiatric Institute (MC799)

University of Illinois Hospital and Health Sciences System, College of Medicine

912 S. Wood St, Chicago IL, 60612-7329

Corresponding Author:

Ali Alaraj

Assistant Professor, Section of Endovascular Neurosurgery

Department of Neurosurgery, Neuropsychiatric Institute (MC799)

University of Illinois Hospital and Health Sciences System, College of Medicine

912 S. Wood St, Chicago IL, 60612-7329

Email: alaraj@uic.edu

Ph: 312-996-4842    Fax: 312-996-9018

Keywords: Cerebral vascular anatomy, posterior circulation anatomy, normal variants, Arteriovenous malformation, posterior inferior cerebellar artery, PICA

Word Count: 2127

Contributorship:

Carlson: Conception, Data acquisition, drafting, revising, and approving the article

Alaraj: Conception, data acquisition, revising and approving the article

Dashti: Conception, literature review, critical revision, final approval.

Aletich: Analysis and interpretation of data, critical revision, and approval of the manuscript.
Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency to the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Abstract:

**Purpose:** Though the anatomic course of PICA is variable, it is thought to be very rare for the artery to cross midline, with an estimated incidence of 0.1%. Bihemispheric PICA crosses midline and typically serves both PICA territories.

**Methods:** We present eleven cases of bihemispheric PICA discovered from retrospective angiogram review, the largest to date reported in the literature.

**Results:** Five cases were the typical bihemispheric PICA pattern, three were bihemispheric with distal vertebral hypoplasia, two cases were the vermian type, and one was atypical, with the PICA feeding a contralateral cerebellar AVM. The branching point to the contralateral hemisphere always occurred distal to the ascending tonsillar loop and all true bihemispheric variants had contralateral PICA aplasia.

**Conclusions:** The true incidence of this variant may be much higher than previously thought (3.6% in the current series), and has relevance for cerebrovascular disease including aneurysm, AVM, and ischemic stroke. Neuroradiologists, neurologists, and neurosurgeons should be aware of this potential variant.

**Background:**

Numerous variations of PICA have been described(1), but only a few cases of a single PICA serving bilateral cerebellar hemispheres have been reported(2-4). Cullen et al have estimated the incidence at less than 0.1%.(2) When one PICA is hypoplastic or aplastic, the PICA territory is typically supplied by an enlarged anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA) or superior cerebellar artery (SCA)(1, 2). The so-called
bihemispheric PICA supplies both cerebellar hemispheres, and has clinical relevance especially in cases of PICA aneurysm, cerebellar AVM, and bilateral cerebellar infarction(4, 5). This variant is further subcategorized into the true bihemispheric PICA, serving the contralateral PICA territory, and the vermian variant, serving only the midline(2). In the true bihemispheric variant, there should be aplasia of the contralateral PICA, though in the vermian variant, the contralateral PICA may be present. It has been hypothesized that the true incidence of the finding may be higher than reported(2, 5), but the distal location of the crossing may make it easy to confuse a bihemispheric PICA with normal distal filling in the cerebellum from contralateral AICA, SCA, or PICA. We describe a series of eleven patients identified with bihemispheric PICA identified on cerebral angiography and discuss the angiographic findings and clinical relevance.

Case series:

Under IRB approval, we identified 11 cases of bihemispheric PICA. We initially observed the variant in two very clear cases. One of these two cases was clinically relevant in that the contralateral PICA was feeding an AVM in the cerebellar hemisphere. We then retrospectively reviewed 250 consecutive angiograms specifically evaluating for the presence of the bihemispheric PICA and 9 additional cases were identified for an estimated incidence of 3.6% (9/250). Only angiograms with bilateral adequate PICA visualization with multiple oblique projections were considered. Except for the AVM patient, all cases were incidental.

All of the true bihemispheric variants (n=8) supplied portions of the contralateral PICA territory and were associated with aplasia of the contralateral PICA. The two
vermian variants served only the vermis and contralateral medial cerebellar hemisphere and both cases had contralateral PICAs present. All of the branching points to the contralateral hemisphere were distal along the course of the artery, typically after the second ascending loop of the vessel in the region of the superior pole of the tonsil distal to the choroidal point. The final case is similar in its bihemispheric nature, but is an atypical example with dilation of a contralateral PICA feeding vessel to an AVM. Consistent with the previous cases, the crossing point is distal along the course of the vessel.

Cases 1-5: True bihemispheric PICA. (Figure 1a-h)

All of these cases were incidentally discovered. In each case, there was aplasia of the contralateral PICA with no capillary filling of the cerebellar hemisphere visualized from the vertebral artery contralateral to the bihemispheric PICA. The anomaly was best visualized in the very early arterial phase (before there was significant basilar filling as with case #2, Figure 1c). The branching point in every case was distal to the tonsillar loop and ascent to the medullary velum, often after the vessel turned again around the rostral portion of the tonsil. Oblique projections were essential to show the branching points as well as the capillary filling of both cerebellar hemispheres from the single PICA.

Cases 6-8: Bihemispheric PICA with distal vertebral aplasia or hypoplasia (Figure 2a-c)

These cases represent the same variant as above, however are more readily seen angiographically due to hypoplasia or aplasia of the distal cranial vertebral artery. All cases were associated with the same key features as described above, with contralateral PICA aplasia and a distal crossing point after the tonsillar loop. In Case 6, there was near
aplasia of the distal vertebral artery and most of the cerebellum filled from the left PICA (Figure 2a), essentially as a continuation of the vertebral artery. In case 7 (Figure 2b), the right vertebral artery served both PICA territories and filled the basilar apex via SCA collaterals. The contralateral vertebral artery was occluded distally prior to the vertebrobasilar junction. In case 8, the bihemispheric PICA arose from a hypoplastic vertebral artery. This again made the relatively small crossing vessel of the distal PICA well visualized (Figure 2c).

**Cases 9, 10: Vermian variant of bihemispheric PICA. (Figure 3a, b)**

In these cases, only the medial structures along the vermis and medial surface of the contralateral cerebellum were supplied by the vessel. In case 9 (Figure 3a), paired vessels were seen coursing in the midline to the distal cerebellar cortex along the vermis from the PICA. The ipsilateral PICA territory filled normally, but no filling was seen in the region of the contralateral PICA. On the contralateral injection, there was a normal PICA filling the expected territory. The crossing vessel appeared to only anastomose with distal SCA branches from the contralateral side. In case 10 (Figure 3b), the finding is very subtle and so we would classify this as a “probable” vermian variant of the bihemispheric PICA. There is arterial filling of the right distal medial cerebellum from the left vertebral injection. The contralateral PICA is present in this case as well.

**Case 11: Atypical bihemispheric PICA. (Figure 4a-c)**

In this case, a left cerebellar AVM was evaluated for pre-operative embolization. The left PICA was aplastic and the AVM was feeding primarily from a large left AICA/PICA, which was embolized. Control angiography showed continued filling and though there appeared to be a vessel crossing midline, it was thought that this was
superimposition or other angiographic cause. Eventually selective catheterization of the right PICA was performed (Figure 4a), and surprisingly, found to be filling the AVM (Figure 4c). This pedicle was then also embolized across midline. It is not clear whether this would have represented a bihemispheric or vermian variant, though since the AVM was in the cerebellar hemisphere in PICA territory, the vessel likely embryologically would have been the true bihemispheric type. In addition, the contralateral PICA aplasia is consistent with our remaining series of bihemispheric types.

**Discussion:**

The typical course of PICA is one of the most variable intracranial arteries due to it being one of the last vessels to form phylogenetically and embryologically(6). The vessel is initially derived from a large radiculo-pial vessel serving the lateral medulla and only in late phylogenetic stages are distal vessels annexed for cortical supply to the cerebellum(2, 3). Cullen et al(2) liken the series of events to the recruitment of the anterior choroidal—as PICA is initially recruited to supply the choroid of the fourth ventricle then the inferior cerebellum and vermis as these structures descend. For this reason, there is significant variation and collateralization between PICA, AICA, and SCA.

Anatomically, the origin of PICA ranges from the distal cervical vertebral artery to the vertebrobasilar junction(1). The vessel initially usually ascends lateral to the olive in the cerebellomedullary cistern and is closely related to the lower cranial nerves. Small perforating vessels to the medulla may arise in this anterior and lateral medullary segment. The artery then descends to the tonsillar loop then ascends to the posterior
medullary velum (tonsillomedullary segment). A choroidal branch is nearly always present but is variable in terms of origin and morphology. This branch defines the choroidal point. The second superior loop is at the superior pole of the tonsil (the telovelo-tonsillar segment.) After this point, the artery divides into variably named branches including “medial and lateral” or “tonsillohemispheric and vermian” respectively. It is at this point that the bifurcation crossing midline occurred in all of our cases.

There are a number of different mechanisms by which intradural vessels can cross midline including a crossing network of small pial vessels, a midline structure such as commissure (or the vermis in this case) influencing a vessel to cross, or finally, fusion of midline structures such as with the basilar artery. It is thought that the presence of the midline vermis probably influences the midline communication of PICA to supply the contralateral hemisphere. This also explains why the crossing point always occurs distally along the vessel in the vermian segment.

We present, to our knowledge, the largest series of angiographically proven bihemispheric PICA in the current literature. This better defines the incidence as around 3% and that the true bihemispheric type as four times as common as the vermian variant. With a true bihemispheric PICA, there is contralateral PICA aplasia whereas there is a normal PICA with the vermian variant. In the previous literature, the largest series was a series of four incidental cases reported by Cullen. One case of aneurysm at the origin of a bihemispheric PICA has been reported. Several cases of ruptured aneurysms of the “PICA communicating artery” have been reported(8, 9). This terminology may not be accurate since the communication is not a normal anastomosis and in the reported
cases, there was typically aplasia of the contralateral PICA suggesting that there was in fact, a bihemispheric PICA.

The clinical relevance for neuro-interventionalists and neurosurgeons of this finding are significant. In cases of aneurysm of PICA, if the lesion is distal to the medullary segment, parent vessel sacrifice is often considered. If there is risk of bilateral cerebellar infarction, however a different strategy such as bypass may have to be adopted, as this bilateral stroke would be more disabling than a unilateral distal PICA stroke. This may even apply to temporary clipping during aneurysm surgery. Based on our series, it seems that formal angiographic evaluation for bihemispheric PICA should be part of the pre-operative workup for these lesions. The second clinically relevant case is with regard to AVMs. Though we present the only reported case in the literature, the possibility of bilateral supply to cerebellar AVM is now raised, and again, specific attention should be paid to this finding as the surgical or embolization approach will be different if bilateral feeders could be present. Though a 3.6% incidence is low, it is significantly higher than the previously suggested <0.1%, and may therefore impact vascular decision making.

Bihemispheric PICA may not have been well recognized historically due to limitations in resolution of angiography in the more distal vasculature. Furthermore, the typically very tortuous course of PICA and the relatively distal crossing points after the second ascending loop of PICA make the bihemispheric nature difficult to detect. Even on 3-dimensional rotational angiography reconstruction, the detail of these smaller distal vessels may be lost. Though a “double vessel” sign has been described on lateral angiography(2), we do not find this useful due to the tortuous and bilaterally variable course. A high index of suspicion needs to be held any time there is aplasia of one PICA.
In these cases, the contralateral vertebral artery should be assessed in the early arterial phase for contralateral filling before filling of contralateral AICA or PICA. Oblique projections can be very useful to see vessels filling both cerebellar hemispheres from one PICA. It would be unlikely to definitively demonstrate a bihemispheric PICA in noninvasive imaging due to the small caliber of the vessels and the inability to determine the dynamic filling pattern.

This higher incidence of bihemispheric PICA than previously reported is supported by data from the stroke literature noting a higher incidence of bilateral PICA stroke than previously recognized(4, 5, 10, 11). Brusa(11) identified a case of stroke in bilateral cerebellar hemispheres and hypothesized that the most likely explanation was a PICA serving both hemispheres. Gaida-Hommernick(4) then reported a single case which established this link where bilateral cerebellar infarction was caused by stenosis of the origin of a proven bihemispheric PICA. Kang(5) then challenged the concept that bilateral PICA infarct was rare by reporting a series of 12 patients with bilateral PICA territory infarction out of 40 consecutive patients with PICA territory stroke, suggesting that 30% of patients with PICA infarct may have some bilateral involvement. The authors report that 10 of the 12 patients had patterns that were most likely due to a bihemispheric PICA. This was based on the lack of cardiac source and the fact that in many of these there was unilateral vertebral disease on the side of the dominant PICA and the larger stroke, suggesting that this vessel was the source of the bilateral strokes rather than less likely explanations such as occlusion of the basilar artery, pressure effects, and simultaneous emboli. Our series establishes this radiologic confirmation— that the presence of bihemispheric PICA may be much higher than previously suspected.
Conclusion:

Bihemispheric PICA is an unusual variant of the distal PICA. The true incidence of such anomaly may be 3.6%—much higher than previously thought and has clinical relevance for vascular disease including AVM, aneurysm, and ischemic stroke. The branching point occurs after the tonsillar segment and so may be difficult to demonstrate angiographically. Neuroradiologists, neurologists, and neurosurgeons should be aware of this anatomical variant and potential clinical relevance.
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**Figure Legend:**

**Figure 1:** “Typical” Bihemispheric PICA. a) AP view of left vertebral injection in case 1 showing PICA crossing midline (arrow). b) The right vertebral injection from the same patient showing right PICA aplasia (arrow). c) Early arterial phase of right vertebral injection in case 2 shows PICA crossing midline (arrow). d) Oblique projection of the right vertebral injection in the same patient as c. The branching point is visualized (wide arrow), and capillary filling of both cerebellar hemispheres is noted (narrow arrows). e) Waters view of left vertebral artery injection in case 3. In this patient, the crossing point is not well visualized, but the contralateral PICA territory (arrow) is filling from the left PICA. f) AP view of right vertebral injection in case 4, showing the crossing point (arrow). g) AP view of right vertebral injection in case 5. Again the contralateral PICA (arrow) filling better indicates the presence of bihemispheric PICA. h) Lateral view from the patient in g, showing the branching point (arrow).

**Figure 2:** Bihemispheric PICA with distal vertebral hypoplasia. a) AP view of left vertebral injection in case 6. The vertebral artery ends in PICA and the vessel crosses midline (arrow), extensively supplying the contralateral hemisphere. b) AP view of right vertebral injection in case 7. The basilar is occluded and PICA crossed midline (arrow). c) AP projection of right vertebral injection in case 8. The crossing point is well visualized (arrow). The contralateral medial and lateral cortical branches are well visualized.

**Figure 3:** Vermian variant of bihemispheric PICA. a) Magnified AP view of left vertebral injection in case 9 showing the contralateral vermian branches (arrow) supplied
by the left PICA. b) AP projection of left vertebral injection in case 10 showing the faint cross midline filling in the vermis.

**Figure 4:** Bilateral supply to a left cerebellar AVM in case 11. a) AP view of right vertebral injection. The AVM is seen on the left. The arrow shows the distal right PICA. b) Microcatheter injection from the right PICA. The arrow points to the same segment of PICA as (a). The AVM is filling across midline. c) Intra-nidal microcatheter injection. The course of the microcatheter is traced in grey and can be compared to the initial angiogram (a) as the course of PICA.