Differential regulation of inducible and endothelial nitric oxide synthase by kinin B1 and B2 receptors
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Abstract

Kinins are vasoactive peptides that play important roles in cardiovascular homeostasis, pain and inflammation. After release from their precursor kininogens, kinins or their C-terminal des-Arg metabolites activate two distinct G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), called B2 (B2R) or B1 (B1R). The B2R is expressed constitutively with a wide tissue distribution. In contrast, the B1R is not expressed under normal conditions but is upregulated by tissue insult or inflammatory mediators. The B2R is considered to mediate many of the acute effects of kinins while the B1R is more responsible for chronic responses in inflammation. Both receptors can couple to G\textsubscript{qi} and G\textsubscript{q} families of G proteins to release mediators such as nitric oxide (NO), arachidonic acid, prostaglandins, leukotrienes and endothelium derived hyperpolarizing factor and can induce the release of other inflammatory agents. The focus of this review is on the different transduction events that take place upon B2R and B1R activation in human endothelial cells that leads to generation of NO via activation of different NOS isoforms. Importantly, B2R-mediated eNOS activation leads to a transient (~ 5 min) output of NO in control endothelial cells whereas in cytokine-treated endothelial cells, B1R activation leads to very high and prolonged (~90 min) NO production that is mediated by a novel signal transduction pathway leading to post-translational activation of iNOS.
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1. Introduction

The kallikrein/kinin system has been investigated since the early 1900s. In the 1920s and 1930s, Frey, Kraut, and Werle characterized a hypotensive factor in urine and they named this substance *kallikrein* from the Greek word *kallikréas*, meaning pancreas, because it was enriched in that organ (Bhoola et al., 1992). By 1937, Werle and co-workers had established that kallikreins produce an active substance from an inactive precursor in plasma and this factor was called kallidin (KD) (Bhoola et al., 1992). Rocha e Silva, Beraldo and associates independently found that trypsin and snake venoms produced a substance derived from plasma globins that lowered blood pressure and caused a slow contraction of the gut (Bhoola et al., 1992). Because of this slow response, it was given the name *bradykinin* (BK). Later, KD was found to be a decapeptide identical with the nonapeptide BK, except for an additional N-terminal Lys residue. Pharmacological characterization of the receptors mediating kinin responses resulted in the definition of two receptor subtypes named B1 (B1R) and B2 (B2R) (Regoli and Barabe, 1980). The development of antagonists to investigate the functions of these receptors laid the groundwork for further characterization and cloning of the B2R and B1R in the late 1980s and early 1990s (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005).

A variety of insults, including pathogens, tissue damage and allergic reactions activate the proteolytic cascade that leads to cleavage of high- or low-molecular weight kininogen by the serine proteases plasma or tissue kallikrein to release BK or KD, respectively (Bhoola et al., 1992; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005) (Fig. 1). The released kinin peptides are algesic and have proinflammatory actions, but also have beneficial effects in the cardiovascular and renal systems.
2. B2R and B1R signal transduction

BK and KD are both specific agonists of the B2R (Fig. 1). These peptides can be further processed by membrane carboxypeptidase M or plasma carboxypeptidase N to remove the C-terminal Arg residue and produce des-Arg⁹-BK and des-Arg¹⁰-KD (Skidgel and Erdös, 1998; Skidgel and Erdös, 1998; Skidgel et al., 2006), which are specific agonists of the B1R (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005) (Fig. 1). Both the B1R and the B2R have been cloned from many different species and are members of the rhodopsin-like subfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). The crystal structure of bovine rhodopsin has been used as a template to model the kinin receptors (Blaukat, 2003). However, most of the structural information on these receptors has been based on pharmacological approaches utilizing chemical cross-linking and mutagenesis (Regoli et al., 1993; Nardone and Hogan, 1994; Herzig and Leeb-Lundberg, 1995; AbdAlla et al., 1996; Herzig et al., 1996).

Typically, B2Rs are constitutively expressed whereas B1R expression is induced (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). However, some tissues and cells express B1Rs constitutively such as the spinal cord and some brain regions (Pesquero et al., 2000; Wotherspoon and Winter, 2000; Ma and Heavens, 2001; Shughrue et al., 2003) or bovine aortic or pulmonary artery endothelial cells (Wiemer and Wirth, 1992; Smith et al., 1995). In addition, B2R expression can be modulated by inflammatory cytokines. For instance, interleukin-1 and TNF-α enhanced B2R expression in osteoblasts, fibroblasts and pulmonary A549 cells (Newton et al., 2002; Brechter et al., 2008), TGF-β increased B2R mRNA and protein in cultured human airway smooth muscle (Kim et al., 2005) and IFN-γ enhanced B2R mRNA in T24 epithelial-like cells (Lung et al., 1998).
The B1R and B2R can both couple to G\(_{\text{q}}\) and G\(_{\text{i/o}}\) families of G proteins to cause increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and phosphoinositide (PI) turnover, activation of phospholipase C, arachidonic acid release and NO production (Issandou and Darbon, 1991; Tropea et al., 1993; Marceau et al., 1998; Prado et al., 2002; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005) (Fig. 1). Both kinin receptors have also been reported to activate the MAP kinase cascade upon agonist treatment (Dikic et al., 1996; Blaukat et al., 1999; Christopher et al., 2001). However, the B2R is rapidly desensitized and internalized but the B1R is not and produces prolonged signaling (Lamb et al., 2002; Prado et al., 2002; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). For example, B2R activation generates a transient increase in PI that plateaus in five minutes whereas stimulation of the B1R leads to an accumulation of PI that is linear for up to sixty minutes (Austin et al., 1997). The B1R also has high basal constitutive activity as demonstrated by PI turnover in the absence of agonist that is equivalent to that generated by B2Rs after agonist treatment (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2001). Nevertheless, the B2R does have low but detectable constitutive activity. In addition, despite higher basal B1R activity, B1R agonist still greatly enhances PI turnover (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2001).

2.1. **B2R signal transduction**

The B2R is constitutively expressed in many cell types, including endothelial cells, and is commonly referred to as a prototypical G\(_{\text{q}/11}\) receptor (Bhoola et al., 1992; Liao and Homcy, 1993; Marceau et al., 2002). However, the B2R has also been shown to interact with G\(_{\text{i/o}}\) in bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAEC), rat-l cells and HEK293 cells (Voyno-Yasenetskaya et al., 1989; Liao and Homcy, 1993; Yang et al., 1999). B2R coupling to G\(_{\text{q}/11}\) or G\(_{\text{i/o}}\), can
result in downstream activation of PLC, leading to the formation of diacylglycerol and inositol 1, 4, 5-trisphosphate (IP$_3$), which increases cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations (Fig. 1). BK induces a biphasic rise in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$, which results from an initial transient peak generated from intracellular stores, followed by a sustained plateau phase caused by extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ entry through calcium channels in the plasma membrane (Morgan-Boyd et al., 1987; Luckhoff et al., 1988; Schilling et al., 1989; Leung et al., 2006). Calcium signaling is involved in the regulation of many vascular processes including the generation of NO. B2R agonists also activate PLA$_2$, which releases arachidonic acid, leading to formation of vasodilator prostaglandins such as PGI$_2$ (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). NO and PGI$_2$ are thought to be major endothelium-derived vasodilators, but endothelial derived hyperpolarizing factors also play a role (Luksha et al., 2009). For example, arachidonic acid released by B2R agonist can be converted to epoxyeicosatrienoic acids by cytochrome P450 epoxygenases in vascular endothelium, which act as hyperpolarizing factors to open calcium-activated potassium channels and relax vascular smooth muscle (Campbell and Falck, 2007).

B2R agonists also stimulate ERK activation that is dependent on coupling through both G$_{\alpha i/o}$ and G$_{\alpha q/11}$ in HEK293 cells whereas in vascular smooth muscle cells, B2R activation generates multiple second messenger pathways that converge to activate MAPK (Velarde et al., 1999; Blaukat et al., 2000). Activation of the B2R can also transactivate the EGFR that contributes to the pool of activate ERK (Adomeit et al., 1999).

Although it has been reported that the B2R couples to G$_{\alpha s}$ in human epidermoid carcinoma cells (Liebmann et al., 1996) and to G$_{\alpha 12/13}$ in fibroblasts (Gohla et al., 1999), these pathways have not been found in endothelial cells nor linked to B2R-dependent NO production.
The B2R undergoes rapid desensitization and internalization after agonist stimulation that is dependent on phosphorylation of the C-terminal tail by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). Additionally, the B2R can coalesce in caveolae after agonist treatment, but can also internalize into endosomes and recycle to the membrane (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005).

2.2. B1R signal transduction

Atypical of most GPCRs, the B1R is constitutively internalized without agonist treatment, likely due to its basal constitutive activity, and once activated with ligand, is resistant to desensitization and internalization (Marceau et al., 2002; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). Activation of the rabbit B1R, C-terminally tagged with yellow fluorescent protein, caused its lateral movement to form membrane-associated aggregates co-localized with caveolae (Marceau et al., 2002) whereas the human B1R was partially localized to caveolae basally (Lamb et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2008) and its distribution did not change after agonist stimulation (Lamb et al., 2001).

B1R signaling is dependent on carboxypeptidase cleavage of the C-terminal Arg in BK or KD to generate its des-Arg$^9$-BK and des-Arg$^{10}$-KD agonists (Fig.1). Carboxypeptidase M is ideally suited to carry out this function as it is membrane bound via a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor, has a neutral pH optimum and its catalytic domain is extracellular (Skidgel and Erdös, 1998). Based on its crystal structure, electrostatic surface potential and modeling, CPM may interact with the membrane so that its active center is well situated to interact with other membrane-bound proteins or peptide substrates (Reverter et al.,
In addition, carboxypeptidase M was recently shown to heterodimerize with the B1R on the cell membrane as demonstrated by coimmunoprecipitation and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis (Zhang et al., 2008). Carboxypeptidase M and B1Rs are co-localized in caveolae/lipid raft membrane microdomains and disruption of their interaction with β-cyclodextrin or monoclonal antibody to CPM reduces B1R signaling in response to B2R agonists BK or KD (Zhang et al., 2008). Thus, the interaction of carboxypeptidase M and B1R on the membrane allows the efficient delivery of agonist to the receptor and facilitates B1R signaling (Fig. 1).

In CHO cells stably expressing human B1Rs, immunoprecipitation of photoaffinity-labeled G-proteins from membranes showed that B1Rs were coupled to both Gaq/11 and Gαi1,2, but not to Gαs or Gαo/i3 (Austin et al., 1997). Furthermore, B1R agonist stimulated increased intracellular Ca^{2+}, PLC activity and PI turnover which was not inhibited by PTX, indicating Gaq/11 coupling (Austin et al., 1997). Activation of these downstream signaling pathways has also been demonstrated in a variety of human and animal cells expressing native B1Rs (Marceau et al., 1998; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). Interestingly, in bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cells, direct B1R stimulation by ACE inhibitor caused an increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} that was mediated by protein kinase C (PKC) activation and was primarily due to influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} whereas B1R peptide agonist stimulated intracellular Ca^{2+} mobilization that was independent of PKC (Ignjatovic et al., 2004). In addition, ACE inhibitor activation of B1R-dependent Ca^{2+} influx in bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cells was sensitive to cholera toxin, indicating coupling through Gαs whereas peptide agonist activation was not affected (Ignjatovic et al., 2004).

The B1R is also known to activate the ERK MAP kinase pathway (Leeb-Lundberg et al.,
2005). In rat aortic vascular smooth muscle cells, B1R activation of ERK is Gαs-dependent and mediated through activation of PKC and Src kinase (Christopher et al., 2001). In our studies of cytokine-treated HLMVEC or transfected HEK cells, B1R-mediated ERK activation was dependent on coupling through Gαi and Gβγ and resultant activation of Src, Ras, Raf and MEK (Brovkovych et al., 2009).

3. Nitric Oxide Synthases

NO is a ubiquitous signaling molecule that has important functions in most organ systems and tissues (Moncada et al., 1991; Kubes, 1995; Ignarro et al., 1999; Papapetropoulos et al., 1999). In neurons, for example, NO can function as a neurotransmitter whereas in the cardiovascular system, NO has many effects, including regulating vascular tone. In the immune system, high concentrations of NO are generated to help fight pathogens. Mammals express three isoforms of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) (Papapetropoulos et al., 1999; Fulton et al., 2001; Skidgel, 2002; Dudzinski et al., 2006): NOS 1 or neuronal NOS (nNOS), NOS 2 or inducible NOS (iNOS) and NOS 3 or endothelial NOS (eNOS). These three enzymes are distinct gene products that share 50-60% amino acid sequence similarity and are only functional as homodimers (Stuehr, 1997). All isoforms contain an N-terminal oxygenase and C-terminal reductase domain; the oxygenase domain contains the binding sites for substrate L-Arg, oxygen, tetrahydrobiopterin (BH₄) and heme, while the C-terminal domain binds the cofactors FMN, FAD and NADPH (Masters et al., 1996; Stuehr, 1997). A calmodulin-binding site bridges the two domains and distinguishes the two constitutive isoforms nNOS and eNOS, from iNOS. In their basal states, both nNOS and eNOS have a low affinity for Ca²⁺/calmodulin so their
activation is dependent on increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. In contrast, iNOS binds calmodulin with a very high affinity; it is thus constitutively active at basal intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations and is not further activated by elevation of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Forstermann et al., 1995; Stuehr, 1997). Endothelial cells are a key source of NO, and under normal conditions the primary NOS responsible for generation of NO in the vasculature is eNOS (Moncada et al., 1991; Kubes, 1995; Ignarro et al., 1999; Papapetropoulos et al., 1999; Venema, 2002). The activity of this constitutive isoform is tightly regulated by a variety of mechanisms, including changes in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), phosphorylation at Ser, Thr and Tyr residues, S-nitrosylation, and interaction with other regulatory proteins (Papapetropoulos et al., 1999; Fulton et al., 2001; Skidgel, 2002; Andreeva et al., 2006; Dudzinski et al., 2006). Under inflammatory conditions, endothelial cells can also express iNOS. In contrast to eNOS, iNOS is considered to be regulated mainly at the level of expression, which is induced in response to inflammatory mediators such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or cytokines such as interleukin 1\(\beta\), interleukin-6 and interferon-\(\gamma\) (Forstermann et al., 1995; Aktan, 2004; Ghalayini, 2004; Kleinert et al., 2004). Once expressed, iNOS is thought to continuously generate NO in the presence of sufficient cofactors and substrate and it is largely downregulated by protein degradation (Knowles and Moncada, 1994; Kleinert et al., 2004). These properties have led to the concept that iNOS generates high output NO in a relatively unregulated fashion (compared with eNOS and nNOS) with primarily cytotoxic functions, for example in the host defense response (Papapetropoulos et al., 1999). However, our recent findings contradict this notion and reveal a dynamic regulation of iNOS activity via B1R activation (see section 4.4).

4. Comparison of B2R versus B1R activation of NO production
4.1 Kinin regulation of nNOS.

nNOS is localized primarily in neuronal, skeletal and cardiac tissue (Walker et al., 1995; Zhou and Zhu, 2009), and its activity is regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) -calmodulin binding (Matsuda and Iyanagi, 1999; Guan and Iyanagi, 2003; Roman and Masters, 2006). Although B1Rs and B2Rs are expressed in the nervous system and induce Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization, there is only limited evidence that nNOS is activated downstream. Recently, bradykinin generated in response to vincristine-induced hyperalgesia was found to activate nNOS (Bujalska and Makulska-Nowak, 2009), leading to speculation that B2R antagonist and NOS inhibitor might be used to treat neuropathic pain.

In the vascular system, nNOS expression was detected in capillary endothelial cells in the alveolar septa by immunofluorescence staining (Luhrs et al., 2002) and human umbilical vein endothelial cells were reported to express functional nNOS that is down-regulated in response to cytokines (Bachetti et al., 2004). Whether B1R or B2R can regulate vascular nNOS activity has not been well studied. Both eNOS and nNOS-selective inhibitors blocked the vasorelaxant effect of BK in the rat carotid artery, but the eNOS inhibitor had a more pronounced effect (Tirapelli et al., 2007). There have been no reports regarding activation of nNOS downstream of B1Rs. In our studies, nNOS could not be detected in either control or cytokine-treated HLMVEC and nNOS-specific inhibitor, N-propyl-L-arginine, did not inhibit B2R-mediated NO generation.

4.2 Mechanisms of eNOS regulation
Initially, eNOS was thought to be activated primarily by Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/calmodulin binding resulting from agonist-induced increases in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Indeed, in control HLMVEC, increasing only intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by the calcium ionophore A23187 stimulates a sharp but transient increase in NO production (in the continuous presence of ionophore) as measured in real time with a porphyrinic electrode, reaching a maximum of ~200 nM NO and returning to baseline in about 2 min (Fig. 2). However, substantial evidence has accumulated showing eNOS is regulated in a highly complex manner (Moncada et al., 1991; Kubes, 1995; Ignarro et al., 1999; Papapetropoulos et al., 1999; Venema, 2002) (Fig. 2). For example, an increase in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stimulated by BK also promotes activation of calcineurin which dephosphorylates eNOS at the inhibitory phosphorylation site Thr\textsuperscript{495}, leading to further activation (Harris et al., 2001). In addition to Goq/11-mediated signaling, dissociation of the Gβγ subunits leads to activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway. Activated Akt phosphorylates eNOS at Ser\textsuperscript{1177}, a major activation site (Dimmeler et al., 1999; Fulton et al., 1999; Michell et al., 1999), which is enhanced by its interaction with heat shock protein 90 (Fulton et al., 2001; Fontana et al., 2002; Venema, 2002). Additionally, adenosine monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase (AMPK), protein kinase G (PKG), PKA and CaM-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) can activate eNOS by phosphorylating Ser\textsuperscript{1177} (Chen et al., 1999; Butt et al., 2000; Fleming et al., 2001). ERK has also been suggested as a direct regulator of eNOS activity but currently no ERK phosphorylation sites have been identified (Chen et al., 1999; Bernier et al., 2000; Schmidt et al., 2002; Cale and Bird, 2006). eNOS activity can also be regulated by phosphorylation at Ser\textsuperscript{617}, Ser\textsuperscript{635} and Tyr\textsuperscript{83} or dephosphorylation at Ser\textsuperscript{116} (Michell et al., 2002; Venema, 2002; Dudzinski et al., 2006; Fulton et al., 2008).
In addition to phosphorylation and Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin binding, eNOS activity can be regulated by localization, dimer formation and protein-protein interactions. For example, in endothelial cells, eNOS is localized to caveolae via irreversible, co-translational myristoylation of its N-terminal glycine residue and by reversible, post-translational palmitoylation of Cys$^{16}$ and Cys$^{26}$ (Robinson and Michel, 1995; Shaul et al., 1996; Prabhakar et al., 2000; Shaul, 2002).

Under basal conditions, eNOS activity is suppressed by its direct interactions with caveolin-1, a 22 kDa caveolar scaffolding protein that sterically blocks the calmodulin binding region (Garcia-Cardena et al., 1997; Ju et al., 1997; Michel et al., 1997). Basal eNOS activity is also constitutively suppressed by S-nitrosylation at Cys$^{96}$ and Cys$^{101}$ and upon agonist stimulation with VEGF or insulin, eNOS becomes denitrosylated at two zinc-tetrathiolate cysteine residues that mediate dimer formation (Erwin et al., 2005). The mechanism by which S-nitrosylation affects eNOS activity is not entirely clear, but it is thought to alter eNOS subcellular localization and dimer stability mediated by zinc binding (Erwin et al., 2005).

After agonist stimulation, eNOS activity is eventually shut off by several mechanisms. As Ca$^{2+}$ levels begin to reach baseline, eNOS becomes inactivated by Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin dissociation, allowing for re-binding of caveolin-1 (Garcia-Cardena et al., 1997; Ju et al., 1997; Michel et al., 1997). In addition, the stimulatory phosphorylation sites become dephosphorylated, followed by re-phosphorylation at the inhibitory site, Thr$^{495}$ (Venema, 2002; Dudzinski and Michel, 2007). Moreover, eNOS becomes re-nitrosylated (Erwin et al., 2005). Prolonged activation can result in eNOS becoming de-palmitoylated, which allows for its translocation from the plasma membrane to internal membranes (Robinson and Michel, 1995; Shaul et al., 1996; Prabhakar et al., 2000; Dudzinski and Michel, 2007).
4.3 B2R regulation of eNOS activity

Regulation of eNOS activity by the B2R has been the subject of many investigations. Besides causing a Gαq/11-mediated increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), the B2R can activate eNOS by several other mechanisms (Fig. 1). This is clearly shown by measuring real time NO production in HLMVEC stimulated with BK alone or BK + A23187, which results in a larger and more prolonged (~5 min) output of NO than stimulation of increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) alone with A23187 (Fig. 2).

Basal eNOS activity is suppressed by its direct interaction with intracellular domain 4 of the B2R which disrupts flavin to heme electron transfer (Ju et al., 1998; Golser et al., 2000). Upon B2R agonist stimulation, eNOS is thought to rapidly dissociate from both caveolin-1 and the B2R. However, it is not clear from published data whether caveolin-1 and eNOS completely dissociate from the B2R in response to BK. With other eNOS-activating agonists, eNOS translocates from the membrane to the cytosol, but caveolin-1 remains associated with the membrane (Michel et al., 1993). However, after B2R stimulation, eNOS does not translocate to the cytosol (Liu et al., 1995; Venema, 2002). Thus, it is possible that activation of the B2R elicits a conformational change in the eNOS/caveolin complex, without complete dissociation, allowing Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin binding to eNOS. Stimulation of eNOS phosphorylation at Ser\(^{1177}\) and dephosphorylation at Thr\(^{495}\) is a predominant mechanism by which eNOS is activated by many agonists. These eNOS modifications also result from B2R stimulation (Venema, 2002) (Fig. 1). However, several studies utilizing bovine aortic endothelial cells suggest that phosphorylation at additional regulatory sites are involved in B2R-mediated eNOS activation. For instance, BK stimulates Akt-dependent phosphorylation of Ser\(^{617}\) and PKA -dependent phosphorylation of
that are both associated with eNOS activation (Michell et al., 2002). Phosphorylation of Tyr^{83}, originally reported to be mediated by Src kinase in response to oxidant stress, was recently shown to be induced by BK in bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cells and intact blood vessel segments (Fulton et al., 2008). Although some eNOS-activating agents, such as VEGF, stimulate dephosphorylation at Ser^{116}, BK appears to have no effect at this site (Michell et al., 2002).

B2R signaling is generally considered transient in nature because activated receptors undergo rapid desensitization and internalization (Prado et al., 2002; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). This, coupled with the above regulatory mechanisms for eNOS activation and inactivation, results in B2R-mediated NO production that is relatively short, but still about twice as long as that generated by Ca^{2+} ionophore alone (Fig. 2).

4.4 B1R regulation of iNOS activity

Although B2R signaling leading to NO production via eNOS has been well studied, signaling pathways leading to NO production from B1R activation are poorly understood. It has been reported that eNOS transfected into adventitial fibroblasts of cerebral arteries can be activated by B1R stimulation (Tsutsui et al., 2000) and that the B1R stimulates eNOS-derived NO production in bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cells (Ignjatovic et al., 2004). However, we found that B1R-dependent NO generation in cytokine-treated human lung microvascular endothelial cells (HLMVEC) is mediated only through iNOS and not eNOS (Fig. 1). For example, B1R-mediated NO output was inhibited by 1400W, the highly specific inhibitor of iNOS, but not by L-NNA, which inhibits eNOS but not iNOS at the concentration used
The B1R-mediated stimulation of NO production was also calcium independent as BAPTA-AM, an intracellular calcium chelator that blocks eNOS activation, had no effect (Brovkovych et al., 2009). In addition, transfection of B1Rs into control HLMVEC expressing eNOS (Zhang et al., 2007) or co-transfection of B1Rs and eNOS into HEK293 cells (Lowry, J., Brovkovych, V. and Skidgel, R.A., unpublished), did not result in significant NO production in response to B1R agonists. Furthermore, control HLMVEC (which constitutively express eNOS) transfected with only the B1R, did not generate significant NO when stimulated with B1R agonist (Zhang et al., 2007). Finally, eNOS protein expression and activity in response to calcium ionophore did not change after cytokine treatment of HLMVEC (Brovkovych et al., 2009). Taken together, the above data show that B1R-dependent NO production in cytokine-treated HLMVEC is due to activation of iNOS.

Interestingly, the profile of NO output generated by B2R-mediated activation of eNOS and B1R-mediated activation of iNOS is strikingly different in HLMVEC (Fig. 2). Thus, whereas B2R activation results in a peak output of NO of ~165 nM that lasts for about 5 min, B1R-mediated iNOS activation results in a much more profound and prolonged response, reaching a maximum of ~800 nM NO and lasting almost 90 min. In both cases, agonists were present for the duration of the measurements. The specific B1R antagonist, des-Arg^{10}-Leu^{8}-KD, inhibited this response as did the iNOS specific inhibitor 1400W (Fig. 2), but 4 μM L-NNA, the eNOS inhibitor, had no effect as we previously reported (Ignjatovic et al., 2004).

The most surprising finding was that iNOS activity could be acutely activated in a receptor-dependent process. As discussed above, iNOS was previously known to be regulated only by expression or by the supply of substrate or cofactors. iNOS activity is not regulated by a change in intracellular Ca^{2+} and the known phosphorylation sites on eNOS are not conserved in
iNOS nor do kinases activating eNOS phosphorylate or activate iNOS (Fulton et al., 1999; Butt et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2007). Thus, it was unclear how iNOS was being activated. Further investigation revealed that B1R and iNOS-mediated NO output is dependent on ERK activation, which results in ERK phosphorylation of iNOS at Ser\textsuperscript{745} (Zhang et al., 2007). iNOS mutants were generated to mimic the phosphorylated state (S745D) or prevent ERK-mediated phosphorylation (S745A). The S745D mutant basally produced large amounts of NO that could not be further activated by B1R stimulation. Furthermore, while the S745A mutant had the same basal activity as wild type iNOS, it could not be activated by B1R agonist (Zhang et al., 2007).

We have recently discovered unique aspects of the B1R signaling cascade that are required for stimulation of high output iNOS-derived NO. Although ERK activation is required for the response, it is not by itself sufficient to drive iNOS-mediated NO production. For example, epidermal growth factor robustly activated ERK, but did not stimulate iNOS-derived NO production nor did it alter B1R-mediated iNOS activation (F. Kuhr et al., submitted). Furthermore, activation of iNOS by the B1R is pertussis-toxin sensitive and can be mimicked by transfection of a constitutively active G\textalpha{i} mutant, showing that the signaling pathway is coupled through G\textalpha{i} and not G\textalpha{q}/11 (Brovkovych et al., 2009). Extensive analysis of the signaling pathway leading from B1R activation by peptide agonists or ACE inhibitor to iNOS-derived NO production in both cytokine-treated HLMVEC and transfected HEK293 cells showed that it requires G\textalpha{i} and G\beta\gamma-dependent activation of Src, Ras, Raf, MEK and ERK (Fig. 2). Finally, we have also recently demonstrated that this novel signaling pathway also depends on \beta-arrestin 2 (F. Kuhr et al., submitted). Recently, \beta-arrestins have been identified as mediators of prolonged ERK activation in response to activation of GPCRs (Lefkowitz and Shenoy, 2005),
which is consistent with the prolonged nature of ERK-mediated iNOS activation we found in response to B1R signaling (Fig. 2) (Zhang et al., 2007).

4.5 Roles of B1R and B2R stimulation of NO production.

Taken together, the above evidence indicates that B2Rs and B1Rs stimulate different signaling pathways leading to either eNOS or iNOS-mediated NO production (Fig. 1), very likely with different functions. The B2R-eNOS pathway delivers NO that is lower and of shorter duration, and in the vascular system likely regulates blood pressure or maintains normal endothelial barrier function. For example, eNOS knockout mice have elevated blood pressure (Shesely et al., 1996) and also exhibit increased vascular permeability (Predescu et al., 2005). In contrast, the B1R-iNOS pathway generates a much higher and prolonged output of NO. The role of B1R-mediated iNOS activation is currently under investigation and, although high levels of NO are often thought to promote inflammatory responses, the precise role of NO in sepsis and inflammation is still controversial. For example, both animal and clinical studies have shown that NO production may have both salutary and harmful effects (Gross et al., 1996; Artigas et al., 1998; Sittipunt et al., 2001; Wink et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2001; Tasaka et al., 2002; McClintock et al., 2007; Brovkovych et al., 2008).

Studies utilizing receptor-specific antagonists and B1R or B2R knockout mice have revealed important functions that may relate to the roles of these receptors in regulating NOS activity and resulting changes in vascular tone or permeability. For example, B2R antagonist HOE140 reduced BK-induced cGMP formation and prostacyclin release from cultured endothelial cells (Hock et al., 1991). Inhaled HOE140 inhibited BK-induced increase in lung
resistance and airway microvascular leakage in guinea-pigs (Sakamoto et al., 1994). In clinical trials, HOE140 shortened the duration of acute hereditary angioedema attacks (Rosenkranz et al., 2005). The potent pseudopeptide B2R antagonist, NPC-18884 inhibited paw edema and had antihyperalgesic effects in rats and mice (de Campos et al., 1999; Heitsch, 2000).

Experiments with B2R−/− mice have confirmed the ability of BK to induce endothelium-dependent vasodilation (Berthiaume et al., 1997), plasma extravasation (Samadfam et al., 2000) or promote platelet aggregation (Labonte et al., 2001) which are diminished or abolished in B2R−/− mice. B2R−/− mice also exhibit increased hypertension in response to a high salt diet (Alfie et al., 1997). Both BK and NO are known to participate in the cardiac protective effects of ischemic preconditioning (Heitsch, 2000) and the prevention of ischemia/reperfusion injury was lost in B2R−/− mice (Yang et al., 1997). B2R knockout also leads to hypertension, left ventricular remodeling and functional cardiac impairment (Emanueli et al., 1999). The cardioprotective effects of ACE inhibitors and AT1 receptor antagonists are also dependent on B2R activation as they were reduced in B2R−/− mice (Yang et al., 2001).

It was recently reported that B2R knockout leads to the upregulation of B1R expression and, likewise, B1R knockout results in upregulation of B2R expression and both receptor subtypes contribute to the cardiovascular protective effects mediated by ACE-inhibition (Duka et al., 2008). Another study found that endothelial cells lacking B2Rs resulted in decreased ACE activity (Sabatini et al., 2008), providing additional support for earlier findings that ACE and the B2R form a functional complex (Chen et al., 2006).

B1R-mediated iNOS activation likely plays an important role in inflammation as the inducible B1R is upregulated under the same inflammatory conditions that cause iNOS expression, for example in sepsis (Leel-Lundberg et al., 2005; Campos et al., 2006; Pesquero
and Bader, 2006). B1R−/− mice are healthy, fertile and normotensive and are protected from lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced septic shock (Pesquero et al., 2000; Pesquero and Bader, 2006). Constitutive expression of B1R in transgenic mice (Ni et al., 2003) or endothelial-specific expression of B1Rs in transgenic rats (Merino et al., 2008) increased lethality in response to LPS challenge. B1R antagonists also reduced the hypotensive response to endotoxin challenge (McLean et al., 1999). However, the B1R signaling pathways that are activated in sepsis and their role in mediating the septic response have not been studied. Both B1R knockout and B1R antagonists were protective in preventing damage from renal ischemia/reperfusion injury (Wang et al., 2008).

Because B1Rs and B2Rs have overlapping signaling pathways, they may both contribute to some of the pathophysiological responses to kinins. For example, either B1 or B2R antagonists can attenuate the hypotensive response to LPS challenge in rats (Feletou et al., 1996; McLean et al., 1999) as did a combined B1R-B2R antagonist CP0127 (Whalley et al., 1992). In a recent study, there was marked hypotension in response to endotoxin in wild-type and B2R−/− mice, moderate hypotension in B1R−/− mice but blood pressure was unchanged in B1R/B2R−/− mice (Cayla et al., 2007). However, the protection from hypotension in these mice did not increase their survival. In a model of capsaicin-induced cutaneous neurogenic inflammation, both B1R and B2R non-peptide antagonists (SSR 240612 and FR 173657) reduced the inflammatory response as did B1R/B2R−/− double knockout (Pietrovski et al.). Surprisingly, a single knockout of either B1R or B2R had no effect, but inhibition was restored when B1R−/− mice were treated with B2R antagonist FR 173657 or when B2R−/− mice were treated with B1R antagonist SSR 240612. These data suggest a combined role of the kinin receptors in neurogenic inflammatory responses.
Although B1R activation can have noxious consequences it also has beneficial effects, for example by improving cardiac function after myocardial infarction (Xu et al., 2009). In addition, the human B1R is directly activated by ACE inhibitors, even in the absence of ACE expression, to generate NO (Ignjatovic et al., 2002; Ignjatovic et al., 2004; Skidgel et al., 2006) by the same Gαi/ERK pathway as with natural peptide agonists (Brovkovych et al., 2009). The increased enzymatic NO synthesis via B1R activation may also contribute to the cardiac benefits of ACE inhibitors including after myocardial infarction (McMurray et al., 2004; Donnini et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2009). We found that ACE inhibitor/B1R-mediated NO production inhibited PKCε (Stanisavljevic et al., 2006), which could also have beneficial effects on the failing heart (Goldspink et al., 2004). Recently, it was found that B1R signaling prevents homing of encephalitogenic T-lymphocytes into the CNS (Schulze-Topphoff et al., 2009). B1R agonist markedly decreased symptoms of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and a B1R antagonist caused earlier onset and greater severity of disease (Schulze-Topphoff et al., 2009).

Overall, stimulation of B2R and B1R signaling pathways can have both protective and detrimental effects in a variety of disease states. Thus, B2R or B1R agonists and/or antagonists may prove to be useful therapeutic agents depending on the disease or pathological condition (Heitsch, 2000; Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005; Abraham et al., 2006; Campos et al., 2006; Feng et al., 2008).
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Figure Legends

**Fig. 1. Schematic diagram showing the generation of kinin peptide agonists for the B2R and B1R and downstream signaling.** Bradykinin and kallidin, generated by the action of plasma or tissue kallikrein on precursor high-molecular-weight (HMW) or low-molecular-weight (LMW) kininogen, are ligands of the B2R. They are converted to corresponding agonists of the B1R by removal of the C-terminal Arg by membrane–bound carboxypeptidase M (CPM), which interacts with the B1R, or soluble plasma carboxypeptidase N (CPN). The B2R is constitutively expressed whereas B1R expression is induced by injury or inflammatory conditions. Both the B2R and B1R can couple through either Gaq/11 or Gai/o to release downstream mediators such as intracellular Ca^{2+}, NO and arachidonic acid, which leads to generation of prostaglandins and other metabolites such as epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (which can act as endothelial derived hyperpolarizing factor). On endothelial cells, activation of B2Rs results in Gaq/11 and Ca^{2+}–calmodulin–dependent activation of eNOS as well as Akt activation and phosphorylation of Ser^{1177} (as well as other sites not shown), dephosphorylation of Thr^{495} and generation of NO. However, in endothelial cells under inflammatory conditions, B1R stimulation results in much higher and prolonged NO production via Ga_i, Gβγ and Src-dependent activation of the ERK/MAP kinase pathway leading to activation of iNOS via phosphorylation at Ser^{745}. See text for further details.

**Fig. 2. Comparison of B2R and B1R - mediated NO generation in control and cytokine-treated HLMVECs.** HLMVECs were pre-incubated in normal medium (A; Control) or with IL-
1β (5 ng/ml) and IFN-γ (100 U/ml) for 16-24 h (B; Cytokine-treated). **A.** eNOS was activated with Ca^{2+} ionophore A23187 (10 µM), BK (100 nM) or 10 µM A23187 followed by 100 nM BK as indicated by the arrows. Measurements were made in the continuous presence of agonists. **B.** Cytokine-treated HLMVECs were treated with 100 nM des-Arg^{10}-kallidin (DAKD) alone or after preincubation (20 min) with 1 µM B1R antagonist des-Arg^{10}-Leu^{8}-KD (DALKD) or iNOS inhibitor 1400W (4 µM). In both **A** & **B**, NO production was measured in real time with a porphyrinic electrode in the continuous presence of agonist. Data shown in **B** are from (Zhang et al., 2007).
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