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SUMMARY

This thesis develops and applies mass spectrometry (MS) methods that enabled the study of metabolites and proteins in and on bacterial biofilms produced by a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chronic wound isolate. To gain an understanding of complex biological systems, two major strategies – atmospheric pressure (AP) ion sources and a bottom-up proteomics MS pipeline – were developed for this study.

Various types of AP laser-based MS methods are available and applicable to biological studies. This thesis first focuses on the development of two separate types of AP ion sources utilizing a laser: (1) for direct ionization and (2) with the addition of postionization. In a scheme for direct ionization, a laser was fiber-coupled to an AP-MALDI (AP-matrix assisted laser desorption ionization) ion source, which can be directly interfaced to various commercial mass spectrometers. Initial testing of peptide standards showed good signal-to-noise ratio from a high-resolution mass spectrometer indicating functionality of the laser configuration. However, before this method could be applied to biofilms, the high-resolution MS instrument was permanently decommissioned. Additionally, biofilm imaging was attempted on a high resolution MALDI time-of-flight instrument, however sample preparation for protein detection from intact thick *P. aeruginosa* biofilms was unsuccessful and the detected species were not determined as the instrument had no tandem MS capability.

The next scheme involved the development of laser diode thermal desorption AP photoionization (LDTD-APPI) with a portable handheld near-infrared (IR) laser which was compared to an established laser ablation APPI (LAAPPI) with a laboratory mid-IR laser. In addition, the use of the portable handheld near-IR laser in LDTD-APPI was investigated for field
SUMMARY

sampling applications of microbial communities. Both configurations operated under different ablation mechanisms but were subjected to the same postionization strategy. Proof-of-concept was first performed on a small molecule standard and the native plant, *Salvia officinalis*, and then applied to biofilms for metabolite analyses. Results between the two methods yielded yet distinct detection of metabolites from plant and biofilm samples. Furthermore, the potential of using a lower cost portable laser for biological sampling of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms was demonstrated.

The second half of this thesis focuses on establishing a bottom-up proteomics pipeline intended to investigate changes in cellular metabolism affected by the addition of a carbon nutrient, lactate. This pipeline consisted of developing sample preparation and data analyses for bottom-up analyses. This proteomic workflow was first analyzed on *P. aeruginosa* planktonic cultures at various growth time points and cross-validated with metabolomic data provided by collaborators at Montana State University. Proteomic results on the planktonic culture correlated well with metabolomics. This established pipeline was then applied to *P. aeruginosa* biofilm cultures in which distinct changes in metabolism of biofilms were observed compared to the metabolism of planktonic cultures were observed.

To summarize, the configuration of the LA- and LDTD-APPI and the method development of a bottom-up proteomic workflow have been established for *P. aeruginosa* biofilm studies. These methods can be applied to metabolomic and proteomic studies of chronic wound biofilms.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Biofilms Background

Biofilms are made up of microorganisms held together by a self-secreted extracellular polymeric substance (EPS). Biofilms have been putatively identified as forming ~ 3.3 billion years ago, but only within the last 30 years has research really begun examining these bacterial structures [1, 2]. Biofilms are nearly omnipresent in nature and can be found on lake beds, soil in the environment, the intestinal lining, dental plaque within the human body, contaminants on medical implants, and food processors [1, 3]. Their roles are complicated, in some cases, biofilms can be beneficial for environmental remediation in ground water decontamination or pathogenic for a human host where they can contribute to prolonged infections.

Biofilms possess structural and physiological heterogeneity [4]. They are typically made up of several different coexisting microorganisms that act as a functional entity with responsive and adaptive characteristics [2, 5, 6]. Residing microorganisms can cohabitate various spatial arrangements (i.e., layers, mix of co-aggregates, or as separate individual colonies) [6]. Spatial arrangements depend on various factors such as the synergy between all resident bacteria (competitive, mutual, or commensal), availability of oxygen and nutrients (sugars and organic acids), and the presence of any environmental perturbations (shear stress, antimicrobials, and dehydration) [2, 5, 6]. Cellular differentiation also exists where cells can alter gene expression in response to oxygen or nutrient gradients within the biofilm resulting in phenotypic variations (Figure 1) [3, 5]. Therefore, one goal of studying biofilms is to understand their dynamic mode of operation, specifically their inherently resistant and pervasive nature. The ability to understand and
predict biofilm responses to antimicrobials will contribute to the broader impact in devising effective treatment strategies, especially since billions of dollars are spent per year treating biofilm-related infections [7].

![Diagram of biofilm heterogeneity](image)

Figure 1. Biofilm heterogeneity – phenotypic variation of cells in response to oxygen, nutrient, pH and quorum sensing “QS” gradient. Image is adapted from Ref. [3].

### 1.2 Physiology: Stages of Biofilm Formation

The physiology of biofilm formation has been described in five stages [4, 8], as depicted in Figure 2. (1) individual bacterial cells initially adhere to a surface, (2) cells begin the process of biofilm formation by secreting the EPS to adhere to the surface, (3) the structure of biofilm begins to develop as cells grow and divide, (4) upon biofilm maturation, channels form for water, nutrient, and chemical exchange. Cells alter their physiology based on their spatial location,
nutrients available, and environmental conditions. (5) Upon maturation, cells may disperse away from the biofilm or revert to its planktonic physiology, and spread to other surfaces where the cycle of biofilm formation is repeated.

Figure 2. Stages of biofilm formation on a surface – (1) adhesion, (2) colonization, (3) development, (4), maturation, (5) dispersion. Image is adapted from Ref. [4].
1.3 **Extracellular Polymeric Substance (EPS)**

The EPS is a sticky and slimy substance that provides the three-dimensional architectural support for all encapsulated bacterial cells and keeps biofilms attached to a surface such as a host body. The EPS accounts for approximately 90% of the biofilm biomass and is made up of polysaccharides, proteins, lipids, RNA, and DNA [8]. The EPS contains enzymes secreted from cells which can be used to further generate or degrade the EPS, dependent on cellular response to changing environments [3]. The sorptive properties of the EPS allow for nutrient and resource uptakes from the environment. Most importantly, the EPS is an active barrier for bacteria against desiccation and antimicrobials, at least partially imparting to biofilms their resistant and pervasive nature [8].

1.4 **Quorum Sensing (QS)**

Cells embedded within the EPS are held in close proximity, unlike free floating (planktonic) cells. This close physical proximity enables cells to communicate with one another through a chemical signaling process known as quorum sensing (QS) [3]. QS involves the extracellular release of small molecule autoinducers, (i.e., acyl homoserine lactones (AHL) and quinolones) where the concentration level of autoinducers is a function of cell density. QS can induce a coordinated gene expression in response to changes in physiological conditions [3, 6, 9]. QS plays a role in intra- and inter-species communications, biofilm development, and can influence the spatial organizations within mixed species biofilms [6].
1.5 **Antibiotic Resistance**

The role of biofilms in infectious disease and on biomedical devices has been of growing concern, especially since treatments and prevention strategies all depend on physiological and metabolic conditions of the cells – whether cells are in their exponential growth or stationary phase during a given stage of biofilm formation [1, 8]. Moreover, cells in biofilms possess both an inheritable genotype and transient phenotype resistance. In phenotype resistance, defined as occurring without any genetic modification, persisters are dormant non-dividing cells indifferent to antibiotic treatments whereas actively dividing cells are more susceptible to antibiotics [10]. Persisters can resume growth following the removal of antibiotics and the resulting population will have the same antibiotic sensitivity as the original population [11]. Further complicating the treatment process, oxygen and nutrient gradients within the biofilm influence differential cellular metabolic states.

In response to antibiotic treatments, biofilms have putative defense mechanisms which prevent antibiotics from penetrating within the cell. The EPS limits the diffusion of antibiotics and inactivates antibiotics at low pH and high-metal concentration, thereby impairing the overall treatment [8]. Other mechanisms to keep antibiotics from entering the cell include binding to the antibiotics at the cell surface (i.e., by forming outer membrane vesicles or modifying lipopolysaccharides in the cell outer membrane) and limiting the number of transporters (i.e., membrane transport proteins and porin channel proteins) through which antibiotics can gain entrance [10]. In the event that antibiotics penetrate the cells, increasing expression of efflux pumps (cytosolic transport proteins) can transport antibiotics out of the cytosol [10]. The search is ongoing for products to make antibiotics more permeable or for enzymes to inhibit the expression of efflux pumps [10].
1.6 Strategies for Combating or Preventing Bacterial Biofilms

There are multiple strategies aimed to inhibit, disrupt, or disassemble biofilms in addition to the use of antibiotics. The two-component system (TCS) is a regulatory system composed of a kinase sensor domain that detects the environmental stimuli and a response regulatory domain which activates the appropriate physiological gene expression response (i.e., switching between motile or sessile stages of biofilm development) upon phosphorylation by the sensor kinase [12, 13]. The TCS influences expression of extracellular appendages (i.e., type IV pili or curli fibers) allowing bacteria to move on or attach onto surfaces [8, 13]. Strategies to prevent attachment of the cell to surfaces include interfering with the TCS signal transduction of bacteria to inhibit biofilm initiation or the use of anti-adhesion agents (i.e., pilicides or curlicides) that inhibit the assembly of type IV pili and curli fibers [8].

Strategies to disrupt the formation or eradicate biofilms include the use of antibiofilm polysaccharides, degradative enzymes, chelating agents, antimicrobial peptides, silver nanoparticles, and phage treatment [8]. Since low levels of c-di-GMP (3,5-cyclic diguanylic acid) have been implicated in promoting cell motility, protein engineering to improve protein binding affinities to sequester c-di-GMP has been considered for biofilm dispersal [8]. Surface modifications [14, 15] have also been examined to reduce biofilm formation, such as the use of small diameter nanoscale pores on anodic alumina surfaces to reduce biofilm formation [16].
1.7 **Methods for Culturing Biofilms for Laboratory**

The complexity of biofilms in terms of their cellular and communal dynamics are not fully understood. It is perhaps not surprising that while there are strategies for combating biofilms, they are generally not universally applicable to all biofilms. For understanding and modelling biofilm dynamics, *in vitro* studies are done by culturing biofilms in conditions that mimic their natural state [17]. Culturing methods can differ in how nutrients are introduced and if shear stress is involved [17]. The most basic method for static biofilm growth is on nutrient agar plates [18], where the cell culture is either inoculated directly on nutrient agar plates or inoculated onto a polycarbonate membrane which is placed above the nutrient agar. Other methods use drip-flow reactors, rotary biofilm reactors, or flow chambers, in which nutrients can be recycled or replenished and shear stress conditions can be introduced [17].

1.8 **Characterization of Biofilm-Forming Bacteria with Mass Spectrometry (MS)**

Numerous microscopy- and spectroscopy-based strategies have been employed to study biofilm heterogeneity in terms of their chemical and physical makeup [19]. Mass spectrometry (MS) is an alternative strategy which offers complementary molecular information to microscopy and spectroscopy. MS analysis can be used to determine the molecular mass and the structure of a molecule based on the mass-to-charge \( m/z \) ratio from the mass spectrum and fragmentation pattern of the ion from the tandem mass spectrum (MS/MS), respectively (Figure 3). Additionally, molecular spatial distribution of the sample can be visualized through MS imaging experiments [20]. The ion source, mass analyzer, and detector are the basic components that make up the mass spectrometer (examples of different types of these components are listed in Figure 3). With current
technological advancements for mass analyzers and detectors, MS characterization of biomolecules is being performed at increasing data acquisition speed, higher mass resolving power and mass accuracy [21].
Figure 3. Basic components of a mass spectrometer. Samples are volatilized and ionized in the ion source. The generated ions are separated by the mass analyzer based on its $m/z$ before reaching the detector. The resulting mass spectrum, “MS,” shows the ion abundance at a particular $m/z$ from the sample. The selected ion is fragmented after dissociation, and the pattern is revealed in a tandem mass spectrum, “MS/MS.”
The function of a mass spectrometer’s ion source is to transfer molecules from the condensed state and ionize them for mass analysis. Ion sources come in various forms and can be categorized in terms such as vacuum vs. ambient and hard vs. soft ionization [22]. The deciding factors for choosing an ion source depend on the chemical and physical properties of the sample and whether the analysis is targeted or untargeted. Electrospray ionization (ESI) and laser desorption-based ion sources are some of the most commonly used methods for planktonic or biofilm bacteria studies [22].

ESI is typically used in conjunction with liquid chromatography (LC), where the LC effluent containing the analyte goes through a conductive capillary (at high voltage potential) and is nebulized resulting in the generation of charged droplets. Gas phase ions are formed either when all the solvents are evaporated through Coulombic fission or the analyte ion ejects itself from the droplet through Coulombic repulsion [23]. In laser desorption-based ion sources, analyte ions from native samples are created either by direct laser desorption ionization with the addition of matrix (referred to as matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization, MALDI), or other strategies [22]. MS-based methods do allow for protein (proteomics) and small molecule (metabolomics) analyses of bacterial samples [24-29].

1.9 Thesis Overview

The primary research objective of this thesis is to develop atmospheric pressure (AP) laser-based ionization sources and traditional bottom-up proteomics MS methods to study small molecule metabolites and proteins of planktonic and intact biofilm from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cultures.
Chapter 2 reviews laser ablation (LA) MS applications for elemental and molecular analyses. The nature of samples and information to be gained from LA-based mass spectra are discussed briefly. Elemental analyses using laser ablation methods and molecular analyses using MALDI-MS (non-imaging and imaging) and alternatives are discussed. In addition, postionization methods for laser desorbed neutrals (elemental and molecular) and laser ablation for sampling are covered.

Chapter 3 focuses on the development of an AP-MALDI source. The source configuration of the laser fiber-coupled onto the AP-MALDI source and its interfacing to two MS instruments, a quadrupole ion trap and a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance, are discussed. In addition, this chapter also covers biofilm imaging attempts with a high-resolution vacuum MALDI time-of-flight MS.

Chapter 4 describes the development of two AP photoionization (APPI)-based MS methods – laser ablation (LAAPPI) and laser diode thermal desorption (LDTD-APPI). Source configurations, results on *P. aeruginosa* biofilms, and the potential of this portable diode laser for MS applications are discussed.

Chapter 5 describes bottom-up proteomics sample processing and data analyses for metabolic studies with bacterial samples. This protocol is demonstrated on *P. aeruginosa* planktonic cultures grown between two nutrient media – amino acid rich (CSP) and lactate-supplemented CSP (LCSP) media – across four time points.

Chapter 6 describes the aforementioned proteomics method applied to biofilms at a timepoint corresponding to the planktonic culture depletion of the lactate as a comparison. Differences in metabolism between *P. aeruginosa* planktonic and biofilm cultures are investigated.
Chapter 7 describes the concluding remarks and future directions for MS biofilm analysis. This will touch upon the implementation of laser ablation sample transfer (LAST), an offline sampling technique for a spatially resolved analysis of proteins for MS analyses.
2. APPLICATIONS OF LASER ABLATION MS

2.1 Nature of Samples and Information to be Gained from Laser Ablation Mass Spectra

Laser ablation (LA) or laser desorption (LD) has been applied to the mass spectrometric analyses across a wide variety of sample types [30, 31]. These applications can be classified based upon whether the desired information from MS is of atomic or molecular composition [30]. Molecular analyses by LA-MS can be further broken down into specific compound classes or chemical characteristics of those classes that can include polarity, volatility/thermolability, molecular weight, and/or extent of cross-linking (if a polymer). Samples can additionally be characterized physically based upon whether they are liquids, gels, solids, films, or powders as well as whether they are pure, in their “native” state (such as an intact semiconductor device or a piece of biological tissue), or extracted from the native state [31].

The specific type of LA-based MS analysis is an important consideration for any general studies. Some methods and samples allow ions to be formed directly by LA, in a process generally referred to laser desorption ionization (LDI) [22]. Other methods and samples require photoionization, electrospray ionization, or another type of postionization to form ions from gaseous neutrals generated by LA [22]. Finally, analyses can be categorized as either simple MS analysis of a homogeneous sample or MS imaging of a spatially heterogeneous sample [20, 22, 32, 33]. Depth profiling is a subset of the latter [20, 22]. Limitations in these analyses can occur based on the type of mass analyzer and its mass range, mass accuracy, mass resolution and/or tandem MS capabilities.
2.2 **Laser Ablation for Elemental Analysis**

Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) is a highly sensitive method with a linear dynamic range covering up to twelve orders of magnitude and is widely used for elemental and isotopic quantitative analyses of intact samples of metals, semiconductors, and biological tissues [30, 34-36]. Fields as diverse as chemistry, biology, medicine, geology, and material science utilize LA-ICP-MS for quantification, spatial imaging/mapping, and depth profiling of elements in solids [30, 34-36]. Nanosecond (ns) pulse length lasers emitting mid to far ultraviolet (UV) radiation and femtosecond (fs) pulse length lasers emitting near infrared (IR) to UV can be easily focused onto a small, targeted volume of a solid for subsequent volatilization and ionization by ICP [34] (refer to Figure 4 for schematic). For example, the distribution and concentrations of trace transition metals within human and rat biological tissues have been determined through spatial imaging by ns-LA-ICP-MS [35]. Depth profiling with fs-LA-ICP-MS can be performed on metal layers that are <50 nm thick [34].
Nanosecond lasers have been somewhat limited in their use for direct, MS-based elemental analysis (i.e., without ICP) of solids. However, ~800 nm, <100 fs lasers have been shown to be effective for direct laser desorption ionization (fs-LDI), without ICP, by reducing matrix effects and improving quantification [37-39]. The fluctuation in sensitivity for different elements detected by fs-LDI-MS limits applications of the method compared to LA-ICP-MS [38, 39]. Nevertheless, fs-LDI-MS has been used for intracellular elemental analysis on single-cell paramecium to obtain pg/cell elemental contents, with ready quantification of nonmetals (i.e., P, S, and Cl) that were difficult to analyze by ICP-MS [40]. Depth resolution of 6 nm and spatial resolution ~5 μm has been achieved for 800 nm fs-LDI-MS and roughly confirmed the elemental composition of...
stainless steel and Al 5182 alloy standard [37]. Others have used fs-LDI-MS to probe galvanostatic Cu electrodeposited onto a Cu-seeded Si wafer to determine the major and trace compositions of a ~20 nm layer of contaminants [41].

The question arises whether fs-LDI-MS might be improved to the point that it could replace LA-ICP-MS. While fs-LDI is experimentally simpler, it seems unlikely to generally surpass the sensitivity and wide dynamic range of variants of LA-ICP-MS. Combining LA-ICP-MS with complementary methods such as secondary ion MS (SIMS), other ICP-MS based methods, and matrix assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI-) MS imaging (see below) provides additional information from biological samples [35].

2.3 Non-Imaging UV Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization MS (UV-MALDI-MS)

for Molecular Analysis

The most popular LA-based MS method for molecular analysis is UV-MALDI also known as MALDI [42]. The versatility of UV-MALDI-MS stems from its ability to analyze a broad range of compound classes (i.e., small metabolites, lipids, proteins, and inorganic materials), making the method an indispensable tool for the mass spectrometry community [20, 32, 42]. UV-MALDI-MS has been utilized for direct profiling lipids, sugars, and proteins such as diacylglycerols, oligosaccharides, and proteoforms, making it useful to identify disease biomarkers for diagnoses in biomedical and clinical studies [43, 44]. UV-MALDI-MS analyses have been used to characterize polymers for the mass repeat units, polymer chain lengths, terminal end units, and impurities [45]. UV-MALDI-MS has also been extended to the analysis of organic photovoltaics and electronics, for example, to characterize the polymerization extent of poly(3,4-ethyl-
enedioxythiophene), a conductive polymer prepared photoelectrochemically on solid-state dye solar cells [46].

UV-MALDI-MS is most commonly performed with 337 – 355 nm, ns laser pulses with samples under vacuum. AP-MALDI is a variant where samples are held at atmospheric pressure [47]. One advantage of AP-MALDI is the samples do not need to be dried prior to analysis, unlike the case with vacuum-based MALDI. However, vacuum MALDI is beneficial for cases which require greater sensitivity [47-49].

Sample preparation is crucial for MALDI and is often very sample specific. The matrix impacts the type of molecules to be ionized and must absorb the laser photon. Sample preparation typically requires homogenous co-crystallization of the analyte with the MALDI matrix [42]. There are various in-house or commercially available MALDI matrices. The two most common UV-MALDI matrices used for small molecules or protein digests are α-cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (CHCA) and for larger peptides or intact proteins, 2,5-dihydroxy benzoic acid (DHB) [42]. Matrices can be applied by spraying or variations of dried-droplet methods [50, 51]. Laser energy and spot size must be optimized for sample desorption and ionization with minimal molecular fragmentation to obtain reproducible, high quality mass spectra [42]. MALDI-mass spectra typically consist mostly of singly-charged ions (protonated/deprotonated molecules and salt/metal adducts), although multiply-charged species have been observed with larger molecular weight species [42].
2.4 **UV-MALDI-MS Imaging for Molecular Analyses**

A label-free imaging technique that is complementary to traditional optical imaging methods is two- or three-dimensional (2D/3D) imaging with UV-MALDI-MS referred to as MALDI-MS imaging [20, 32, 52]. Moreover, MALDI-MS imaging allows for molecular specificity which can be selected based on user preferences, enhancing the attractiveness of this technique [20, 32]. The most common modality in MALDI-MS imaging is microprobe mode, where a focused laser rasters across a sample surface while mass spectra are simultaneously collected at each location [52-54]. Heat maps in 2D are generated based on ion intensities which allows for visualizing the distribution of the ions at a particular \( m/z \). A schematic of MALDI imaging is depicted in Figure 5. Individual 2D slices are pieced together generating a 3D image of the sample (i.e., tissue, organ, or inorganic material) [52, 53].
Figure 5. Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) imaging schematic workflow. Steps show the matrix application on a tissue slice in which a laser irradiates across the sample plate. The ion intensities of the \( m/z \) at each particular \( x, y \)-coordinate are plotted in a false-color image. Image is redrawn based upon figure from Ref. [53].
The push for higher spatial resolution with MALDI-MS imaging is currently underway by improving methodology and instrumentation. Sampling time and spatial resolution have improved greatly with higher laser repetition rates and optics with micron-size focusing. Current commercial mass spectrometers have high spatial resolution in which the quality of a MALDI image at 15 μm spatial resolution can be comparable to H&E staining in optical microscopy on a mouse brain tissue [55]. Customized or modified instruments have resolution approaching 1 μm [55]. For example, the Caprioli group modified the MALDI source of a commercial mass spectrometer to a transmission geometry configuration which improved spatial resolution to 1 μm that was subsequently used for imaging the axon fiber, molecular layer, Purkinje layer, granule cell layer, and cerebellar medulla of the mouse cerebellum as well as small proteins within pancreas islet cells [56, 57].

MALDI-MS imaging has been used for drug discovery where the efficacy between the drug and the target depends on the delivery method, concentration, and biochemical interactions [33, 52]. Distribution of the drug and its metabolites in untreated and treated tissues/organs has been used to image endogenous and exogenous compounds of samples at near cellular spatial resolution, providing information relevant to drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics as well as absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) studies [33]. For example, the Hummon group has mapped the changes in protein distribution on 3D cell cultures of colon cancer spheroids and ADME studies of the chemotherapeutic drug, irinotecan, and its metabolites [52, 58].

Time-of-flight (TOF) mass analyzers are more commonly used in protein and metabolite MALDI-MS imaging of biological samples, but resolving power and mass accuracy (20-100 ppm) of a TOF is often insufficient for untargeted identification [59, 60]. MALDI-MS imaging has been
advanced through the use of high resolution mass analyzers such as Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR), Orbitrap, or a quadrupole TOF to generate more highly-resolved peaks and greater mass accuracy [20, 32, 54]. A comprehensive study demonstrated enhanced metabolite identifications in MALDI-MS imaging on formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue specimen with FTICR in comparison to a much lower resolving power TOF [60]. In a top-down proteomics experiment, proteoforms of the antimicrobial protein subunit, calprotectin, were identified at a mass accuracy <5 ppm on a mouse kidney tissue infected with Staphylococcus aureus using an FTICR mass analyzer [59]. However, a tradeoff is a relatively slower acquisition time with Fourier transform-based mass analyzers when compared to TOF mass analyzers [32].

2.5 UV-MALDI-MS for Pseudomonas aeruginosa, With and Without Imaging

MALDI-MS can be performed in standard mode for non-imaging analyses or in microprobe mode for imaging mode, as described above. The difference between standard and imaging mode is illustrated here by consideration of their application to microbial cultures with a particular focus on P. aeruginosa, as the latter has been widely studied by MALDI-MS. These bacterial examples are also used to demonstrate that MALDI sample preparation varies with target analyte, sample state, and whether experiments are run in standard or imaging mode.

MALDI-MS in standard mode has become a commonplace for the identification of microorganisms [61-64], when the goal here is identification of species and strain of an unknown microbial specimen. Microbial identification with UV-MALDI-MS, as it is most commonly practiced is a subset of proteomics, often performed with specific databases and specialized bioinformatics. Microbial identification is based on ribosomal or other highly abundant proteins.
In one identification strategy, proteins are extracted from microbial samples, then the extracts are spotted onto a MALDI target along with CHCA matrix [65]. Microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas* spp. have been identified in both saliva (planktonic bacteria) and oral biofilms (sessile bacteria) of chronic kidney disease and periodontitis patients [65]. Both TOF and high mass resolution MS instruments have been used to differentiate strains of *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates [66]. New bioinformatic data processing workflows have been applied to identify extra- and intra-cellular proteins that may be involved in *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation [67].

MALDI-MS has also been extensively applied to the imaging of intact microbial biofilms, where sample preparation often depends up the target analytes (i.e., proteins vs. metabolites) and the growth conditions of the culture. Matrix deposition on intact biofilms, either grown in liquid nutrient or directly in nutrient agar, are most often done by spray coating liquid matrix or sieving dry matrix powder [50, 68].

MALDI-MS imaging of metabolites in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms grown and analyzed in agar with matrix added by sieving was used to visualize the metabolite response of *P. aeruginosa* in the presence of the antibiotic azithromycin [69]. This strategy was also used to probe the exchange of phenazine metabolites between *P. aeruginosa* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* cocultures [25]. Direct analysis on air-dried *P. aeruginosa* biofilms initially cultivated in liquid nutrient were used to visualize secondary metabolite differences between the wild-type and quorum sensing mutant in MALDI-guided secondary ion MS imaging [70]. Additionally, MALDI-MS for identification and quantitation on *P. aeruginosa* quorum sensing molecules, N-acyl homoserine lactones, has been achieved using synthesized isotope-labeled internal standards [71] or using Girard’s reagent T derivatization for accurate quantification [72].
MALDI-MS imaging of proteins in microbial biofilms has also been demonstrated, both by bottom-up and top-down strategies. In bottom-up proteomics, the protease, typically trypsin, is sprayed or spotted directly on the sample. The resulting tryptic digests are then imaged and subsequently identified in MALDI-MS/MS. An early example extending the feasibility of MALDI-MS imaging to bacterial biofilms were on intact *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Escherichia coli* biofilms grown directly on stainless steel and imaged in both top-down and bottom-up proteomics approaches [27]. MALDI-MS biofilm imaging was able to show that regions enriched with host protein, calprotectin, promote *P. aeruginosa* co-colonization with *Staphylococcus aureus* in murine lung [73].

2.6 **Alternatives to UV-MALDI-MS**

LDI is a matrix-free alternative to UV-MALDI, where little to no sample preparation is needed thereby reducing any matrix suppression and interferences that may occur with MALDI matrix co-crystallization. The resulting mass spectrum is simpler, especially for analyses of low molecular weight molecules (<500 Da). However, LDI only generates useful ion signals for a limited set of samples. Samples containing aromatic groups that absorb the UV laser wavelength can sometimes be ionized, such as the case for the analysis of hydrophobic natural organic matter [74]. LDI was also able to chemotype metabolite (<500 Da) differences between diatoms *Coscinodiscus granii* and *Thalassiosira pseudonana*, which possess biomineralized nanostructures that presumably induce ionization (see below) [75]. Furthermore, by spotting a polyethylene glycol (PEG)600 solution onto a dried diatom suspension solution, the Pohnert group was able to detect the PEG600 polymer which cannot be detected in UV-MALDI [75]. Similarly, a *Drosophila*
*melanogaster* insect wing with surface hydrophobicity and high optical absorbance was used in LDI in detection of free fatty acids and lipids in mouse liver extract and fingerprint analyses, which are typically low in abundance for MALDI [76]. In addition, nanostructures have also been widely used in LDI for enhancement of desorption/ablation and ionization [77].

2.7 **Methods that Utilize Postionization of Desorbed Neutrals**

2.7.1 **Laser Desorption Photoionization Mass Spectrometry (LDPI-MS) – VUV Single Photon Ionization (SPI) of Laser Desorbed Neutrals in Vacuum**

Postionization strategies can enhance signal by ionizing the neutrals generated from laser desorption or ablation [22]. One method of postionization uses vacuum UV (VUV) radiation for single photon ionization (SPI) on laser desorbed neutrals in vacuum (LDPI) [78]. A LDPI schematic is depicted in Figure 6: it is a selective and soft ionization method for molecules that possess ionization energy (IE) less than the VUV photon energy (typically 7.5-11.8 eV for lamp or laser sources), as most background gases with higher IEs are not ionized [78]. LDPI has been used to analyze pharmaceutical drug compounds [79], characterize asphaltenes in complex petroleum mixtures [80], and differentiate metabolites between mixed and pure microbial cultures in a biofilm [81]. LDPI has also been used in MS imaging of bacterial biofilms [82, 83] and depth profiling of biological and electronic materials [84, 85].
2.7.2 **Laser Ablation Atmospheric Pressure Photoionization Mass Spectrometry (LAAPPI-MS) – Atmospheric Pressure Ionization Initiated by VUV Single Photon**

**Ionization**

Laser ablation atmospheric pressure photoionization (LAAPPI) follows the same principle as LDPI except the source is at atmospheric pressure and a hot solvent vapor jet (dopant) intercepting the ablated neutral plume is used to further improve ionization efficiency [86]. The dopant promotes molecular ionization through protonation/deprotonation and/or charge exchange since it has lower IE that is easily ionized by VUV radiation [87]. The use of a mid-IR laser for ablation in LAAPPI is advantageous for samples with high water content that are therefore highly absorptive at 2940 nm, inducing an explosive event resulting in the ejection of molecules [88]. Applications of LAAPPI have been used to study nonpolar compounds (i.e., cholesterol in rat brain tissue and flavones in *Citrus aurantium* leaves) [88]. LAAPPI is used to detect metabolites in *P.*
*aeruginosa* biofilms in Chapter 4. Phytochemicals (i.e., terpenes and terpenoids) in *Salvia officinalis*, which are typically studied with gas chromatograph-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), have also been imaged with LAAPPI [89]. Laser desorption lamp ionization (LDLI) is a LAAPPI variant that employs a 1064 nm IR laser operated in high energy mode for desorption and VUV xenon gas discharge lamp for ionization for the detection of inorganic compounds (i.e. copper and zinc in brass samples) [90].

### 2.7.3 Laser Ablation Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (LAESI-MS) and Laser Electrospray Mass Spectrometry (LESI-MS)

Lasers have also been alternatively coupled to electrospray for postionization [31]. A general schematic is depicted in Figure 7. Variants include laser electrospray mass spectrometry (LEMS), electrospray-assisted laser desorption/ionization (ELDI), laser desorption-electrospray ionization (LD-ESI), matrix-assisted laser desorption electrospray ionization (MALDESI), and laser ablation electrospray ionization (LAESI) [31]. These variants are similar in that they all use laser desorption followed by subsequent electrospray postionization, but generally differ by the use of ns- or fs-lasers, the presence or absence of a matrix, and/or other experimental parameters [31, 91, 92]. LEMS and IR-ELDI differ by the use of fs-IR lasers and ns-IR lasers, respectively, and neither requires a matrix [31]. While ELDI and LD-ESI both use ns-UV lasers, the distinction with the latter is the spatial separation between the ablation and electrospray ionization events [92]. Although ELDI does not require a matrix, organic matrices have been found to improve desorption in ELDI, which is like MALDESI in that it uses a matrix [31]. IR-MALDESI and LAESI both utilizes ns-IR lasers and are fundamentally equivalent when endogenous water is present [93].
LEMS has been argued to be a softer ionization method where the condensed phase folding of the lysozyme protein arguably remained intact for LEMS, compared with traditional ESI which denatures the protein even without the use of lasers [31]. Samples situated away from the MS are ablated and transferred to ESI for postionization in LD-ESI for rapid metabolite prescreening, used with MALDI imaging to determine spatial distribution, and HPLC/ESI-MS to confirm metabolite identification in a comprehensive analysis of grapes [92]. IR-MALDESI with the use of ice as the matrix has been used for imaging analyses of trace single textile fibers taken from adhesive tapes [94], cholesterol in cervical tissues [95], and quantitative imaging of an antiretroviral drug in cervical tissue [96]. LAESI has been used to study antibiotic susceptibility in bacterial colonies where changes in metabolites and lipids were observed in the zone of inhibition between *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* [97].

![Figure 7. Schematic of laser ablation with electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry. A laser is used for ablation in which neutrals are postionized by ESI.](image-url)
2.8 Laser Ablation Sampling

Laser ablation for sample transfer can be used for various offline MS analyses [98-101]. A schematic of laser ablation for sample transfer (LAST) is depicted in Figure 8. Utilizing LAST and nanostructure-assisted LDI, a solvent droplet used to capture ablated material from a bacterial culture was analyzed in nanostructure-assisted LDI, where higher contents of phosphatidylethanolamine were found in *E. coli* and phosphatidylglycerol in *Bacillus cereus*, demonstrating the potential for lipid biomarker discovery with minimal sample preparation [102]. Mid-IR laser ablation with solvent capture by aspiration have been used for MS imaging of plant pigments from *Alstroemeria spp.* flower petal and upper part of the radish taproot without additional sample preparation [103]. Sample transfer via atomic force microscope (AFM) tip-enhanced UV laser ablation has also been used to capture materials onto a silver wire suspended above the tip for LDI analysis on small molecules (i.e., anthracene and rhodamine 6G) and MALDI analysis on larger biomolecules (i.e., angiotensin, insulin, and cytochrome c) [104]. Some challenges in sample transfer are focusing the small laser beam onto the region of interest, transporting the ions and neutrals to the ion source, and being able to ionize molecules efficiently without causing fragmentation. However, the added advantage of sample transfer is with the ability to perform additional analyses such as NMR or fluorescence assays.
Figure 8. Schematic of laser ablation sample transfer (LAST). Mid-infrared (IR) laser is used to generate an ablation plume which is captured into a solvent droplet suspending from a syringe.
3. MALDI-MS OF BIOFILMS

3.1 Introduction

Non-imaging and imaging MALDI-MS have been central to various metabolomics and proteomics studies of biofilms [20, 25, 27, 105-107]. Traditionally, most ionization takes place under high vacuum conditions; an alternative is AP ionization [108, 109]. AP ion sources are advantageous because samples do not need to be desiccated and sample interchange between analyses can be done in relatively short order [108, 109]. AP ion sources are amenable for bioanalyses, environmental studies, amongst various others [108, 109]. An example is AP-MALDI which is an alternative to traditional vacuum-based MALDI that can be easily implemented to various mass spectrometers for analyses.

This chapter describes the interface of an AP-MALDI source that can be readily coupled to the majority of mass spectrometers that use AP-interfaces [47], and in this case, to two mass spectrometers - a low-resolution quadrupole ion trap (QIT) and a high-resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR). The AP-MALDI configuration was developed for both metabolomic and proteomic studies of bacterial biofilms. In addition, imaging biofilms on a high-resolution vacuum MALDI time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer was attempted by adapting the sample preparation from the Dorrestein group with a sieve for matrix application to biofilms [68].
3.2 **Experimental Details**

3.2.1 **Instrumentation**

The AP-MALDI source (AP-MALDI, MassTech, Baltimore, MD) consisted of a motorized stage with power supply to apply an electrical potential to a movable sample plate. Two separate laser systems were used to deliver the laser beam into the fiber optic input attached to the AP-MALDI source by which the laser was delivered onto the sample plate for laser desorption/ionization.

The first configuration consisted of an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) pumped by a Nd:YAG laser (Opolette 2940, Opotek, Carlsbad, CA), attenuation optics, fiber patch, and the AP-MALDI source which was mounted directly on the QIT mass spectrometer (MT Explorer 50, MassTech, Baltimore, MD). The Nd:YAG pumped OPO laser outputs two wavelengths, one in the mid-IR at 2940 nm and the other in the UV at 355 nm, necessitating two separate sets of optical components to transmit each wavelength. An energy meter was used to monitor the input laser energy to the fiber. The entire configuration is shown in Figure 9. The optical components for UV attenuation consisted of (1) neutral density filter, (2) half-wave plate, (3) polarizer, (4) beamsplitter, (5) UV-enhanced Al mirror, (6) focusing lens, and (7) 300 μm core fiber patch with 0.12 numerical aperture (N/A), which all transmit UV. The optical components for IR attenuation consisted of (1) beamsplitter, (2) neutral density filter, (3) focusing lens, and (4) 450 μm core germanium oxide with 0.3 N/A fiber patch, which all transmits IR. This system was interfaced to a field-deployable QIT mass spectrometer [110].
Figure 9. Atmospheric pressure matrix assisted laser desorption ionization (AP-MALDI) setup on a field-deployable quadrupole ion trap (QIT) mass spectrometer. Bottom: side view of entire AP-MALDI setup. Top: Optical components for (a) IR and (b) UV attenuation. IR attenuation consist of (1) beamsplitter, (2) neutral density filter, (3) focusing lens, and (4) germanium oxide fiber patch, which all transmit mid-IR. UV attenuation consist of (1) neutral density filter, (2) half-wave plate, (3) polarizer, (4) beamsplitter, (5) UV-enhanced Al mirror, (6) focusing lens, and (7) fiber patch, which all transmit UV.

The second configuration consisted of the AP-MALDI source fiber-coupled to a Nd:YAG laser with 355 nm output (Minilite, Continuum, San Jose, CA) with a UV fiber collimator and the fiber patch (Figure 10a). The laser was enclosed within the retractable hollow cube and lens tube setup. A modified extrusion piece, which extends from the laser head, was designed for the lens tube to fit over the extrusion piece (Figure 10b). This setup was directly interfaced to a 7 Tesla FTICR mass spectrometer located in the Research Resources Center at UIC (LTQ-FTICR, ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose CA).
Figure 10. Components for interfacing AP-MALDI source onto a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR) mass spectrometer. (a) Fiber-coupling between the 355 nm output of the Nd:YAG laser and the AP-MALDI source. (b) Laser enclosure and fiber collimator.

3.2.2 Sample Preparation

α-Cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix, trypsin, proteins and peptides were all purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The peptides were a mixture of angiotensin II, P14R, and bradykinin which were analyzed on the QIT mass spectrometer. Bovine serum albumin and lysozyme from hen egg were the proteins analyzed on the FTICR mass spectrometer. Proteins were tryptically-digested overnight with trypsin-to-protein ratio of 1:50 for albumin and 1:15 for lysozyme, then the resulting peptide fragments were analyzed. Dried droplet application of CHCA
followed by the addition of these protein/peptide standards were deposited onto a 96 spot MALDI plate.

A different sample preparation strategy was employed for vacuum MALDI-MS. A universal matrix consisting a mixture of CHCA and DHB was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Overnight culture of *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (215) culture grown in a nutrient-defined *Clostridium Staphylococcus Pseudomonas* (CSP) medium was inoculated (1 μL of 0.1 OD600) onto a polycarbonate membrane and grown on CSP agar plates for three days with nutrients replenished in between. Biofilms were fixed with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and exposed to 70%, 90%, and 95% ethanol washings, 5 mM dithiothreitol, and incubated at 37°C with 1g/L and trypsin for 4-18 hrs. Biofilms were then blotted onto the MALDI stainless steel target plate and flash frozen with the polycarbonate membrane removed (Figure 11a). Matrix was directly applied via a 53 μm stainless steel sieve (Hogentogler & Co., Inc., Columbia, MD) on the thawed biofilm sample and allowed to dry (Figure 11b). Unfixed and unwashed biofilms were embedded in 1% agar with trypsin spotted on areas of the agar and the bottom two biofilms designated “T” (Figure 12a). Angiotensin II and CSP were also spotted on biofilms, designated “A” and “C,” respectively (Figure 12a). The biofilms embedded in agar were coated in matrix using the sieve and allowed to dry to completeness prior to analysis (Figure 12b). All imaging experiments were performed on a vacuum MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Autoflex Speed LRF, Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA).
Figure 11. Images of blotted fixed biofilms and results. (a) Thawed blotted biofilms on MALDI plate. (b) Completely dried biofilms after matrix application.

Figure 12. Images of agar embedded biofilms. (a) Blotted biofilms embedded in agar - top two biofilms were not treated with trypsin and bottom two are treated with trypsin. Letters indicate angiotensin II (A), trypsin (T), and Clostridium Staphylococcus Pseudomonas (CSP) medium (C) spotted on agar prior to matrix application. (b) Semi-dried biofilm following matrix application.

3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Non-Imaging UV-AP-MALDI-MS on a Quadrupole Ion Trap (QIT) Instrument

Femtomolar concentrations of peptides were detected by the QIT instrument using 355 nm UV-AP-MALDI. Protonated peptides of bradykinin (m/z 757.6), angiotensin II (m/z 1046.9), and P14R (m/z 1533.9) displayed peaks with high signal-to-noise ratios (Figure 13). The experimental molecular mass of the [M+H]+ produced mass errors ranging from 40 to 400 ppm consistent with the typical ±0.5 Da mass accuracy of this QIT instrument.
3.3.2 Non-Imaging IR-AP-MALDI-MS on a QIT Instrument

No spectrum was obtained for IR-AP-MALDI. It was hypothesized that the optical design was not sufficient to produce ion signal by IR-AP-MALDI. It was found that the fiber numerical aperture (N/A = 0.3) for IR was incompatible with the fiber attachment tube (refer to Figure 14). This resulted in ~50% transmission loss due the three times larger N/A of the germanium oxide fiber. In addition, the laser beam could not be optimally focused on the MALDI plate for sample desorption/ionization as the fiber output could not be situated close enough to the focusing lens within the commercial apparatus.
3.3.3 Non-Imaging UV-AP-MALDI-MS on an Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (FTICR) Instrument

AP-MALDI for molecular identifications required analyses to be performed on a high-resolution mass analyzer such as the FTICR. Tryptically-digested lysozyme and albumin were all detected with UV-AP-MALDI on the FTICR instrument with improved mass accuracy (< 10 ppm mass error). Figure 15 highlights all peptide fragments observed from trypsin digestion using the FTICR configuration. Soon after these results were obtained, a pre-existing cryogenic leak and a circuit board failure led to permanent decommissioning the FTICR instrument, preventing further use of this configuration.

Figure 14. Fiber attachment piece on the AP-MALDI source. Left: Adjustable fiber attachment tube removed from the MALDI source. Right: Fiber attachment piece relative to the AP-MALDI setup.
Figure 15. Mass spectra of (a) lysozyme and (b) albumin tryptic digests. Peaks corresponding to fragments from trypsin digestion are circled in red.

### 3.3.4 Imaging Vacuum UV-MALDI-MS on a Time-of-Flight (TOF) Instrument

Biofilms utilizing MALDI MS imaging were also attempted on a vacuum MALDI-TOF instrument (Autoflex Speed LRF, Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA). Results for the fixed biofilms displayed low intensity mass spectra throughout the regions of the biofilm. The mass spectrum obtained from an intact and fixed biofilm is shown in Figure 16a, but common metabolites
typically found in *P. aeruginosa* were not observed [69, 111-113]. For all peak intensities, only $m/z$ 1424 displayed spatial differences throughout the biofilms, however, its identity and whether it is a false positive remains unknown (Figure 16a). It was hypothesized that the few hundred microns thickness of the biofilms and their high surface heterogeneity contributed to the poor signal. Previously analyses (not shown here) with biofilms on polycarbonate membranes which were adhered onto the MALDI plate with double-sided copper tape yielded similarly noisy spectra and also contributed to mass error. Ethanol washings may also have removed common metabolites, reducing signal levels.

Alternatively, unfixed biofilms were embedded in 1% agar to help with sample surface heterogeneity. For biofilms grown directly in agar with minimal salt concentrations, the Dorrestein group found that saturation of the universal MALDI matrix helped keep agar biofilms adhered onto the MALDI plate [68]. Since biofilms grown here were in a high salt concentrated medium, agar was used to help embed the biofilms to minimize flaking. For the motivation of ensuring signals were not coming from trypsin in the tryptically-digested biofilms or the CSP growth medium, trypsin and the CSP medium were spotted on agar, labelled “T” and “C,” respectively, in Figure 12a. Angiotensin II was also spotted above the agar, designated “A” directly above one of the untreated biofilm as a standard check (Figure 12a). Figure 12b shows the biofilm mostly dried. After complete drying, it was observed that one of the biofilms flaked, so the flaked region was not analyzed (Figure 16bc). The MS baseline of the agar embedded biofilms (Figure 16c) improved in comparison to the intact fixed biofilms without embedding (Figure 16a). Results for angiotensin II showed diffusion within the agar based on the intensity of $m/z$ 1047 (Figure 16b), but no angiotensin II was observed in the region above the biofilm. Of all the peaks, only $m/z$ 655 shows
spatial distributions in the regions where biofilms are embedded, however the identity of the compound is unknown (Figure 16c).

Figure 16. Mass spectra and images of biofilms. (a) Fixed *P. aeruginosa* mass spectrum with heat intensity of *m/z* 1424. (b) Mass spectrum and image of *m/z* 1046 for angiotensin II as a standard check. (c) Unfixed *P. aeruginosa* mass spectrum and image of *m/z* 655.
3.4 Conclusion

The successful fiber-coupling of both UV (355 nm) lasers – Nd:YAG pumped OPO and frequency-tripled Nd:YAG – to the QIT and FTICR instruments, respectively, were demonstrated. The UV-AP-MALDI on the QIT instrument detected all peptide standards with good signal/noise. However, mass accuracy may be problematic especially for biological applications that commonly require high mass accuracy for identification of unknown compounds. IR-AP-MALDI on the QIT instrument was also attempted but was unsuccessful presumably due to insufficient laser density (or possibly the IR-matrix used was not optimal). UV-AP-MALDI on the FTICR instrument on two tryptically-digested proteins, lysozyme and albumin, were demonstrated with a significantly improved mass accuracy. However, the upgrade to high-resolution MS was not sustained when the FTICR instrument became permanently unavailable.

Vacuum MALDI-TOF imaging analyses on biofilms grown in CSP medium were unable to determine common *P. aeruginosa* metabolites. Subsequent optimizations of sample preparation showed improved mass spectra with greater intensities. Nevertheless, actual identification of some of the detected compounds remain indeterminate as this vacuum MALDI-TOF instrument does not have tandem MS capability. For tissues, a thickness of 10-20 μm is thought to be optimal for MALDI analyses, whereas thicker tissue becomes more insulating which can affect the mass analyzer performance adversely [114, 115]. Limitations here were apparently the thickness and heterogenous surface of the biofilms. A future direction would be to cryosection biofilms into thinner slices to offset these challenges with sample preparation, but such cryosectioning and associated washing steps would first need to be refined.
4. SOLID SAMPLING WITH A DIODE LASER FOR PORTABLE AMBIENT MASS SPECTROMETRY


4.1 Introduction

There has been a rapid increase in the development of portable mass spectrometers (MS) and their application to the analysis of gaseous, liquid, and solid samples [116]. Potential applications of portable MS include in-field sampling of native plants [117, 118] or as in the case of this thesis, microbial communities [25, 119, 120]. For example, portable MS could be used to identify microbial colonies on medical devices for the purpose of disease prevention [121].

Along with other classes of ion sources used for MS [22], ambient or atmospheric pressure sources have been investigated for use in portable MS due to the convenience imparted by direct sampling without the extraction, pyrolysis, and/or desiccation required by traditional MS sample preparation methods [122]. Desorption electrospray ionization (DESI) [123-125] and plasma discharge-based ion sources [126-128] are both well established for direct sampling of solids in portable ambient MS. However, such ion sources can suffer from fluctuations in the efficiency with which analytes are extracted/volatilized then ionized. For example, the efficiency with which plasma-based sources will detect analytes in a solid sample will depend upon the specific plasma conditions and source-to-sample distance as well as sample volatility and thermal stability [126-128].
Laser sampling induces desorption/ablation of analyte from the surface of a solid sample and can additionally permit MS imaging at relatively high lateral resolution [22]. Laser desorption/ablation is also well established for sampling at atmospheric pressure, especially using UV or IR lasers with pulse lengths ranging from ~10 ns to <100 fs [22, 31, 85, 88, 129]. However, such lasers are usually too expensive, delicate, and/or complicated for routine application in portable ambient MS, especially when compared with DESI, paper spray, or other plasma-based methods. A potential portable solution can be found in laser diode thermal desorption (LDTD) [130] which uses continuous wave (CW) or pseudo-CW near-IR diode lasers to volatilize solid samples.

This chapter demonstrates the implementation of a handheld diode laser for solid sampling in portable ambient MS and more importantly its application to biofilm studies. A battery-powered surgical laser diode is employed for portable LDTD at 940 nm and compared with laser ablation by a laboratory-based nanosecond pulsed laser operating at 2940 nm. Postionization is achieved using atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) [88]. Experiments that used the 940 nm, portable laser diode are referred to as LDTD-APPI while those that used the pulsed 2940 nm laboratory laser are referred to as LAAPPI, for consistency with published nomenclature [88, 130]. A preliminary version of the LDTD-APPI source was previously reported [131]. These experiments compare the analysis of metabolites from sage leaves of Salvia officinalis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms by LDTD-APPI with the established method of LAAPPI [88, 130], demonstrating the feasibility of laser-based APPI methods for in-field sampling of native plant or microbial biofilm communities.


4.2 **Experimental Details**

4.2.1 **Ion Source and Ambient Mass Spectrometry**

The home-built LDTD-APPI source shown in Figure 17 was based on an APPI source [86] which consisted of a VUV photoionization lamp and dopant gas nebulizer, to which a laser had been added for desorption/ablation. A radiofrequency-powered krypton gas discharge VUV lamp (KrLM-LQD12, Resonance Ltd., Barrie, Ont., Canada) that outputs at 10.0/10.6 eV was used for photoionization. Dopant vapor was used in most of the experiments presented here as it had been previously shown to improve ionization efficiency in APPI through charge transfer and/or proton exchange [87, 132]. The nebulizer for dopant delivery was inspired by a published design [86] and consisted of a stainless-steel tee-junction (VICI Valco, Houston, TX) that was fitted to a stainless-steel heater block adapter machined in-house to incorporate a heater cartridge (Watlow FIREROD, Ash Equipment Co., Batavia, IL). The tee-junction had two inputs for dopant delivery from a syringe pump and nitrogen gas delivery, and an output to an effluent capillary tip that delivered the heated dopant vapor close to the intersection of the laser desorption/ablation spot on the sample, the VUV ionization region, and the atmospheric pressure aperture of the MS.

This LDTD-APPI source used a CW diode laser operating at 940 nm for sample desorption (iLase, Biolase Tech, Irvine, CA). This handheld laser (100 gm, 21 cm long), designed for dental surgical applications, was equipped with one-hour lifetime rechargeable batteries, had a 3W peak power optical output, and was typically operated in a pseudo-CW mode (0.1 ms on / 0.2 ms off). The laser beam was guided to the ablation area via a disposable, 400 μm fiber optic tip that can be bent to accommodate spatially constricted samples. A diode laser output of ~700 mW output (power density ~140 W/cm²) was generally used to collect the LDTD-APPI mass spectra.
Figure 17. A schematic diagram of the laser diode thermal desorption atmospheric pressure photoionization (LDTD-APPI) source with a battery-powered, portable, pseudo-continuous wave (CW) near-IR at 940nm diode laser.

The LAAPPI source followed a previously reported configuration [88], but with the same VUV lamp and dopant vapor delivery scheme described above and shown in Figure 18 and LAAPPI employed a ~7 ns, 20 Hz pulsed, laboratory laser operating at 2940 nm composed of an optical parametric oscillator pumped by a Nd:YAG laser (Opolette 2940, Opotek, Carlsbad, CA). The laser output was focused via a biconvex CaF₂ lens into a 450 μm core GeO₂ fiber patch (Infrared Fiber Systems, Silver Springs, MD) and the fiber output beam was collimated and focused through two plano-convex CaF₂ lenses directly onto the sample. The output from the fiber optic in LAAPPI was generally ~2 mJ/pulse (power density ~25 W/cm²).
Figure 18. A schematic diagram of the laser ablation atmospheric pressure photoionization (LAAPPI) source with a fiber coupled laboratory mid-IR laser at 2940 nm.

The mass spectrometric analyses were performed using a field-deployable 3D quadrupole ion trap (MT Explorer 50, MassTech, Columbia, MD) [110]. The inlet cone was set to 120°C and the capillary voltage at 10 VDC, with automatic gain control enabled with target value set at 100,000. A high transmission wire grid was also mounted on the output end of the VUV lamp (not shown in Figure 17 nor Figure 18) and connected to both the sample plate and the capillary (including the aperture cone to the mass spectrometer) so that all three sat at the same voltage during analysis. The resultant electric field-free region in the vicinity of the MS aperture was an attempt to minimize signal losses due to possible ion deflection away from the aperture caused by sample charging effects and/or an electric field gradient. Minimum and maximum injection times for individual mass scans were 1 and 1000 ms, respectively, for the sage analysis. Biofilm analyses used a fixed injection time of 100 ms. Spectra were averaged over one min of individual scans for sage and two min for biofilms.
4.2.2 Chemicals, Samples, and Replicates

Anisole (99.7%) and toluene (≥99.9%) were used as purchased (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid (C₈H₅ClNO₃, 97%) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in spectroscopic grade methanol to a concentration of 10 mg/mL then deposited onto chitosan-alginate polyelectrolyte multilayers adsorbed onto gold coated silicon wafers, prepared as described previously [133]. LDTD-APPI and LAAPPI analyses of the resultant standard samples were replicated at least four times while rastering the sample with respect to the laser spot at a rate of 0.2 mm/s.

Organic sage leaves (Salvia officinalis) were purchased from a local supermarket and stored at ~4°C prior to analysis. The leaves were washed with deionized, distilled water to remove dirt, blotted dry, and then mounted onto a glass slide using double-sided copper tape with the abaxial side facing up. LDTD-APPI and LAAPPI analyses were replicated on at least five replicates rastering at 0.3 mm/s with 5 µL/min anisole dopant and ~80 mL/min nitrogen flow rates.

10 µL of Pseudomonas aeruginosa chronic wound clinical isolate (215) overnight culture at 0.1 OD₆₀₀ were inoculated onto a polycarbonate membrane placed on top of nutrient-defined CSP agar plate and grown for three days. The resultant biofilms were exposed to 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid for 1 hour for sterilization, transferred onto a stainless-steel plate, and frozen to remove the membrane prior to analysis. LDTD-APPI and LAAPPI analyses were performed on at least seven distinct biofilm samples, rastering at 0.03 mm/s with 0.2 µL/min toluene dopant flow rate and ~50 mL/min nitrogen flow rate.
4.3 Results and Discussion

LAAPPI (2940 nm, ~7 ns pulsed) and LDTD-APPI (940 nm, pseudo-CW) mass spectra of the 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid (MACA, 201.02 Da) standard both show intense MH⁺ ions in Figure 19, although LDTD-APPI displayed more fragmentation. The dopant vapor was not required to assist with ionization and was therefore not used. Both LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI displayed the 3:1 peak intensity ratio at m/z 201.6 and 203.6 attributed to the MH⁺ ion that is characteristic of the \(^{35}\text{Cl} / ^{37}\text{Cl}\) isotopic ratio expected for MACA. Tandem MS experiments detected ions resulting from losses of ClCOOH and ClCH₂COOH from MH⁺, confirming this assignment (data not shown). Direct laser desorption ionization (without VUV lamp) of MACA was also observed for the 2940 nm laser, but not for 940 nm LDTD. The VUV lamp generated a signal via postionization for LDTD-APPI and enhanced the signal with LAAPPI (see Figure 19). Also observed in the LDTD-APPI mass spectra of Figure 19 were peaks at m/z 215.4, [M+14]⁺ and m/z 189.3, [M-12]⁺. The characteristic chlorine isotopic distributions of these two peaks indicate they derive from ion molecule reactions and/or fragmentation and rearrangement of precursor ions.
Figure 19. Mass spectra of the 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid (MACA) standard (inset shows chemical structure) analyzed by (from top to bottom) 2940 nm laser ablation atmospheric pressure photoionization (LAAPPI), LDTD-APPI, 2940 nm laser ablation (without VUV lamp), and 940 nm LDTD (without VUV).

LAAPPI was previously used to analyze phytochemicals of sage leaves [89], so this analysis was repeated here as a proof-of-principle and compared in Figure 20 with an analysis of sage leaves by LDTD-APPI. Both anisole and toluene were used as dopants given that their low ionization energies (8.20 and 8.83 eV, respectively) are well below the photon energy of the VUV source (10.0/10.6 eV). However, Figure 20 displays only the results with anisole due to its lower background.
The LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI mass spectra of sage leaves shown in Figure 20 appear rather similar to a cursory visual examination. Table I outlines the twelve common peaks that were observed from sage leaves by both methods, as well as the three additional peaks observed exclusively by only one of the two methods, obtained by averaging all five replicates. The letters “(a)” to “(e)” annotate peaks observed by both LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI (see Table I) for whom tentative assignments were additionally made based on phytochemicals previously reported from sage leaves or extracts thereof [89, 134].
Figure 20. Representative analyses of sage leaves by (top) LAAPPI and (middle) LDTD-APPI with anisole dopant. Anisole dopant background in APPI (bottom). The letters “(a)” to “(e)” annotate peaks observed by both LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI for whom with tentative assignments were possible based on phytochemicals previous detected by LAAPPI (see Table 1) [89, 134]. The arrows indicate other peaks observed in the LDTD-APPI mass spectrum that are labelled in the LAAPPI mass spectrum. * indicates background peaks.
However, it is important to note that all the peak assignments are only tentative and are based on prior GC-MS, LC-MS, and LAAPPI studies [89, 134]. The ±0.5 Da mass error of the field deployable ion trap used here limited the peak assignment accuracy. Furthermore, attempts to collect tandem MS data directly from the sage leaves were unsuccessful, presumably due to the low sensitivity of the ion trap mass analyzer. Peaks that were not observed here, but were observed previously [89] by LAAPPI include m/z 169.1, 286.2, 300.2, 315.1, 316.2, 331.2, 332.2, and 346.2. Differences in the peaks observed here and previously by LAAPPI [89] might be attributed to the use of different mass analyzers, MS source conditions, and/or sage leaf growth conditions, storage conditions, and/or genetics.
Table I. Peaks observed in LAAPPI- and LDTD-APPI-MS spectra of sage leaves with anisole as the dopant. *The letters “(a)” to “(e)” annotate the same peaks so noted in Figure 20 while italicized peaks in LDTD-APPI are those that also appeared with toluene dopant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAAPPI (±m/z 0.1)</th>
<th>LDTD-APPI (±m/z 0.1)</th>
<th>LAAPPI (m/z) [89]</th>
<th>Tentative Peak Assignments [89, 134]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) 135.6</td>
<td>(a) 135.6 ***</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>p-cymene MH⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monoterpenes (e.g., α-pinene/β-pinene/limonene/camphene) [M-H]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monoterpenoids (e.g., camphor/α-thujone/β-thujone) [MH-H₂O]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>monoterpenes (e.g., α-pinene/β-pinene/limonene/camphene) M⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monoterpenoids (e.g., borneol/1,8-cineole/terpinen-4-ol) [M-H₂O]⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 154.2</td>
<td>(b) 154.2</td>
<td>154.1</td>
<td>monoterpenoids (e.g., borneol/1,8-cineole/terpinen-4-ol) M⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) 203.6</td>
<td>(c) 203.6</td>
<td>203.2</td>
<td>sesquiterpenes (e.g., α-humulene/β-caryophyllene) [M-H]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>caryophyllene oxide [MH-H₂O]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) 204.6</td>
<td>(d) 204.5</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>sesquiterpenes (e.g., α-humulene/β-caryophyllene) M⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>viridiflorol [M-H₂O]⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>219.5</td>
<td>caryophyllene oxide [M-H]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241.5</td>
<td>241.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261.4</td>
<td>261.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) 272.7 **</td>
<td>(e) 272.6</td>
<td>272.2</td>
<td>monoterpenes (e.g., α-pinene/β-pinene/limonene/camphene) [2M]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>manool [M-H₂O]⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Peaks were included in Table I only if they were observed in ≥50% of the samples with >5 signal to noise (S/N) when compared against the anisole background.

**Denotes low S/N peak.

***LDTD-APPI peaks that appear with both anisole and toluene dopant are italicized in Table I.
The LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI mass spectra shown in Figure 21 of membrane-grown *P. aeruginosa* biofilms are also quite similar by visual inspection. Table II lists 21 peaks observed by both methods and an additional two peaks observed exclusively by only one method, obtained by averaging all seven replicates. Of these 21 common peaks, those annotated with the lower case letters “(a)” to “(g)” in Figure 21 and Table II were those that could additionally be tentatively assigned to metabolites previously reported for *P. aeruginosa* cultures by various MS strategies [25, 70, 113, 135, 136]. Overall, peaks were observed whose m/z values can be attributed to phenazines, homoserine lactones (HSL), *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal (PQS) and hydroxy-alkyl-quinolones (HAQs) that were previously detected by various mass spectrometric methods and are thought to participate in inter- and intraspecies cellular communication known as QS (see Table II) [137]. However, the same caveats regarding peak assignments stated above for the sage leaf MS also apply to the biofilms.
Figure 21. Representative analyses of *P. aeruginosa* membrane grown biofilms by (top) LAAPPI and (middle) LDTD-APPI with toluene. Toluene dopant background in APPI (bottom). The peaks annotated with “(a)” to “(g)” annotated in the figure correspond to peaks observed in both LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI that have been tentatively assigned to known metabolites reported in literature (see Table II) [25, 70, 113, 135, 136]. The arrows indicate other peaks observed in the LDTD-APPI mass spectrum that are labelled in the LAAPPI mass spectrum. * indicates background peaks.
Table II. Peaks observed in LAAPPI and LDTD-APPI spectra of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with toluene as the dopant. The letters “(a)” to “(g)” annotate the same peaks so noted in Figure 21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAAPPI (±m/z 0.1)</th>
<th>LDLDAPPI (±m/z 0.1)</th>
<th>Tentative Peak Assignments</th>
<th>Monoisotopic Mass (Da) of Assignment</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>157.1</td>
<td>157.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) 159.0</td>
<td>(a) 159.1</td>
<td>HAQ (hydroxy-alkyl-quinolones) fragment ion of HHQ (4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline)</td>
<td>159.1</td>
<td>[70, 135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 175.0</td>
<td>(b) 175.1</td>
<td>HAQs fragment ion of PQS (<em>Pseudomonas</em> quinolone signal; 2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolone)</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>[70, 135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185.0</td>
<td>185.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) 185.9</td>
<td>(c) 186.0 **</td>
<td>HAQs fragment ion of HQNO (4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline-N-oxide)</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191.9</td>
<td>192.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) 197.0</td>
<td>(d) 197.0</td>
<td>1-HP (1-hydroxyphenazine) MH⁺</td>
<td>197.1</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199.1</td>
<td>199.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201.9</td>
<td>202.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203.9 **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) 210.0</td>
<td>(e) 210.1</td>
<td>1-MP (1-methoxyphenazine) M⁺; PYO (pyocyanin) M⁺</td>
<td>210.1</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) 213.9</td>
<td>(f) 214.0</td>
<td>4-hydroxy-2-pentenylquinoline MH⁺</td>
<td>214.1</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230.8</td>
<td>230.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249.9</td>
<td>249.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) 278.7</td>
<td>(g) 278.8</td>
<td>C6-HSL (N-hexanoyl-homoserine lactone) oxidative product MH⁺</td>
<td>279.1</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287.7 **</td>
<td>287.8</td>
<td>2-nonyl-3-hydroxyquinolone (C9-PQS) MH⁺ 4-hydroxy-2-nonylquinolone-N-oxide (C9-NQNO) MH⁺</td>
<td>288.2</td>
<td>[70, 135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299.7</td>
<td>299.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.6</td>
<td>331.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Peaks were included in Table II only if they were observed in ≥50% of the samples with >5 signal to noise (S/N) when compared against the toluene background.

**denotes low S/N peak.
### 4.4 Conclusion

The LDTD-APPI method should be useful for solid sampling in portable ambient MS in in-field sampling of microbial communities [25, 119-121] or native plant [117, 118] as well as other potential applications. The direct detection of metabolites could prove useful for metabolomics studies on intact bacterial biofilms. The feasibility of many portable MS applications is facilitated by the availability of low cost, portable, battery-powered diode lasers. Furthermore, the fact that LDTD-APPI gives similar results to LAAPPI – at least for sage leaves and *P. aeruginosa* biofilms – highlights the use of LDTD-APPI in cases where portability and lower cost are desirable.

The similarity of spectra is also fundamentally interesting given that sample volatilization in LDTD presumably occurs via thermal desorption [130], whereas LAAPPI is thought to proceed via explosive evaporation of water that ejects a hydrated sample into the gas phase [88, 138]. The separation of the desorption/ablation and ionization steps indicates that photoionization of similar volatilized species is occurring in both LDTD-APPI and LAAPPI.

LDTD-APPI is expected to enhance sample volatilization via thermal heating, when compared with desorption atmospheric pressure photoionization (DAPPI), which proceeds via a hot solvent jet impinging upon the sample [139]. LDTD might also be effective when coupled to plasma-based ion sources that are used for solid sampling [127, 128, 140], since LDTD might be used to reduce fluctuations in volatilization efficiency that arise from sample heating by the plasma, which will vary with specific plasma conditions and source-to-sample distance [126, 140]. Plasma power has a direct relationship with sample surface heating, but also affects the extent of molecular fragmentation [126] as well as ionization efficiency. It is speculated that the addition of
LDTD might be more reproducible than plasma-based ionization alone by enhancing sample volatilization. Finally, LDTD could readily be coupled to electrospray ionization for LDTD-ESI, a portable method analogous to laser ablation electrospray ionization (LAESI) [129].
5. USING PROTEOMICS TO ILLUMINATE METABOLIC PROCESSES IN BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES

5.1 Introduction

Chronic wounds are categorized as nonhealing wounds that remain open for an extended period of time [141]. Such nonhealing wounds impact over eight million Medicare patients and cost up to $28 billion in healthcare costs annually [142]. The various types of common chronic wounds include diabetic foot ulcers, arterial or venous leg ulcers, pressure ulcers, and burn wounds [143, 144]. As many as 20 microorganisms are typically found in chronic wounds and of those, up to 60% are biofilm-forming [145]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a pathogenic biofilm-forming microorganism best known for the role it plays in cystic fibrosis and medical device-related infections [146, 147] that is also commonly isolated in chronic wounds [144]. *P. aeruginosa* has been widely studied for its ability to adapt to various environmental conditions and coordinate genes based on cell-to-cell communications and surface adhesions [148-150].

Microorganisms have been shown to prioritize the catabolism of preferred carbon sources while repressing the utilization of non-preferred carbon sources, a process known as carbon catabolite repression (CCR) or diauxic growth [151]. CCR can influence bacterial metabolic states, communication, biofilm formation and virulence. During stress, resource allocation [152] allows bacteria to maximize biological fitness and survival while adapting to changing environments. A preference for glucose over organic acids (e.g., lactate or citric acid cycle “TCA” intermediates), referred to diauxie, is exhibited in *Escherichia coli* [151, 153]. By contrast, a preference for organic acids over glucose, reverse diauxie, is exhibited in *P. aeruginosa* [151, 153].
While always abundant in humans, lactate is reported at elevated levels amongst obese patients with type II diabetes when compared to normal healthy patients [154]. Chronic wound models show lactate production increases with oxidative stress [155]. These elevated levels of lactate correlate with disease severity and are used for medical prognosis [156]. Wound healing can be impaired at lactate concentrations exceeding 20 mM where cell viability is severely compromised [157]. Lactate can be produced from carbohydrates, then oxidized to pyruvate to serve as a substrate for the electron transport chain and as an energy source for growth [158]. It has been postulated that lactate is needed for microbial invasion and replication [158]. Lactate utilization contributes to pathogenesis and infection processes by enhancing resistance to O₂-dependent bactericidal mechanisms by competing for O₂, stimulating metabolism to enhance growth rates, and providing a more direct and faster pathway for pathogenic determinants (e.g., polysialic acid capsule and sialylated lipopolysaccharide) in Neisseria spp. [159]. Furthermore, lactate utilization enhances Staphylococcus aureus resistance to NO⁻, an innate host effector essential for removing various pathogenic bacteria [160]. The single step conversion of lactate to pyruvate is catalyzed by the enzyme, lactate dehydrogenase, and the effects of lactate conversion on microbial metabolism and adaptative mechanisms are often complex and diverse [158].

One popular approach is to analyze how gene expression is correlated to metabolic reactions [161]. These genomic to metabolomic strategies have been used to determine cellular phenotype from intra- or extra-cellular metabolites in bacteria [162], identify metabolic networks from substrate consumption in yeast [163], and differentiate between planktonic and biofilm differences in bacterial cultures [164].

However, the direct genomic to metabolomic approach ignores the rich information on the actual expression of enzymes and other proteins provided by bottom-up proteomics via liquid
chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [165, 166]. For example, LC-MS/MS proteomics have been used to directly link expressional changes in enzymes to metabolic reactions in a demonstration of its potential for studying chronic wounds in humans [167, 168]. Proteomic strategies have also been used to differentiate exudates in acute and chronic wounds of human skin [169] as well as planktonic vs. biofilm cultures of *Halobacterium salinarum* [24].

The integration of proteomics with metabolomics can uncover important drivers of cellular metabolism in microbial communities. A label-free proteomic approach is used here as it has been demonstrated to generate the deepest proteome coverage on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer [170]. Bottom-up proteomics by LC-MS/MS was used to examine the phenotype of planktonic *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (215) as a function of culturing conditions, where cellular protein expression delineates active metabolic processes and link them to genes. Planktonic consumption of carbon sources (glucose and lactate) was examined by exogenous metabolome data and correlated to representative metabolic proteins. Finally, the potential application of these strategies to surface associated bacterial and fungal communities such as biofilms are discussed.

5.2 **Experimental Details**

5.2.1 **Bacterial Strains and Culturing Conditions**

Overnight cultures of *P. aeruginosa* chronic wound clinical isolate strain (215) [171] were grown in 10 mL of CSP nutrient-defined medium containing 4 g/L glucose, 0.7 g/L sodium citrate, 0.1 g/L EDTA tetrasodium salt, 1.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base, minimum essential media non-essential amino acid (100× solution), minimum essential media amino acid (50× solution), 4.7 g/L
KH₂PO₄, 8.2 g/L Na₂HPO₄, 0.02 g/L adenine, 0.02 g/L uracil, 0.02 g/L cytosine, 0.02 g/L guanine, 0.15 g/L glutamine, 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ g/L vitamin B₁₂, 2.8 × 10⁻³ g/L FeSO₄·7H₂O, and 1.2 × 10⁻⁵ g/L CoCl₂·6H₂O or CSP supplemented with 2 g/L lactate (LCSP) [172]. Aliquots of the overnight cultures were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.010 with fresh medium in a baffled shake flask covered with a gas permeable sponge cap and grown under aerobic condition at 37°C and 150 RPM.

5.2.2 Exogenous Metabolomics

Details of the exogenous metabolomic analyses will be published elsewhere [172] and are therefore only summarized here. Supernatant was first collected from *P. aeruginosa* planktonic cultures. For extracellular metabolite analyses, 1.5 mL of culture supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 μm syringe filter, mixed with fucose as internal standard and separated through LC (1200 series, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with both a variable wavelength detector and refractive index detector with an ion exclusion column (Aminex HPX-87H, 9 μm particle size, 300 mm length × 7.8 mm internal diameter, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using a 0.03% H₂SO₄ isocratic mobile phase, at 0.6 mL/min, for a total run time of 25 min at 45°C to detect glucose and organic acids.

5.2.3 Sample Preparation for Proteomics

Planktonic *P. aeruginosa* cells (N = 3) cultured in CSP and LCSP were sampled at 4, 7, 11, and 21 h. Cells were transferred into a 2 mL microcentrifuge vial with a screw cap, required for mechanical bead beating, and centrifuged at 3600 × g with three phosphate buffered solution wash steps to remove residual media. Cells were re-suspended in 1.25 mL radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% Igepal CA-630 (NP-40), 0.5% sodium deoxycholate 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, Sigma Aldrich)
with 12.5 μL of protease inhibitors (Halt Protease Cocktail Inhibitor, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL) for the prevention of enzyme degradation upon cell lysis, 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme for solubilization of the peptidoglycan layer, and 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for disulfide bond cleavage. The remainder of the vial was filled with 0.1 mm diameter zirconia/silica beads. Cells were mechanically lysed at 4800 oscillations/min in a mini bead beater (Mini-beadbeater-1, BioSpec Products, Inc, Bartlesville, OK) for five 30 s cycles with ice water bath chilling in between cycles.

A detergent compatible protein assay kit (DC Bradford Reagent, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to determine protein concentrations. Equal amount of proteins was transferred to centrifugal filter units (Microcon-30kDa Centrifugal Filter Unit with Ultracel-30 membrane, Millipore Sigma, Billerica, MA) for sample processing following the protocol for filter aided sample preparation (FASP) [173]. Briefly, the steps involved buffer exchange with urea for detergent removal, DTT for protein reduction of disulfide bonds, iodoacetamide for alkylation preventing reformation of disulfide bonds, and overnight trypsin digestion at 37°C with 1:50 enzyme:substrate (w:w) ratio for proteolytic cleavage. The tryptic digests were acidified with formic acid, desalted using a C18 column (Macro SpinColumn, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA), and dried through centrifugal evaporation. Prior to analyses, peptides were re-suspended in 5% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid.

5.2.4 Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

Planktonic (200 ng, N_{(L)}CSP = 3 , single replicate injection) peptide resuspensions were separated in a high-performance LC system (1260 Infinity LC System, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and a C18 column (3.5 μm particle size, 150 mm length × 75 μm internal diameter, Zorbax 300SB,
Agilent) through a 60 min mobile phase gradient ranging from 5 - 85% organic (0.1% formic acid in water to 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at 250 nL/min flow rate for a total run time of 75 min. Following LC separation, peptides were simultaneously ionized through nanoelectrospray with a spray voltage at 1.90 kV and 275°C capillary temperature, then analyzed in a high resolution Orbitrap mass spectrometer located in the Research Resources Center at UIC (Orbitrap Velos Pro purchased in 2007, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with automatic gain control set at 10⁶ ions and injection times of 1 - 200 ms. Full scan mass spectra from m/z 400 - 2000 at 30,000 mass resolution were collected in data-dependent acquisition mode. Ten precursor ions were selected from each full mass scan for analysis by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS, using 30% energy in HCD mode for fragmentation).

Raw mass spectra data files were directly uploaded and processed for protein identification and quantitation using the MaxQuant software (v. 1.5.3.30) [174] with main search parameters set at 4.5 ppm peptide tolerance, 20 ppm MS/MS match tolerance, 10 ppm MS/MS de novo tolerance, 7 min peptide length, 0.01 FDR, carbamidomethyl fixed modification, oxidation and acetylation variable modifications, and enabled contaminant search. Protein abundances were evaluated by Student’s paired sample t-test with p-value < 0.05 using the Perseus software (v.1.5.4.0) [175] for statistical significance. Proteins with significant expression differential (fold change ≥ |1.5|) and at least ~10% sequence coverage were functionally annotated using the Search Tool for Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database (v. 10.5) [176] for protein-protein interactions (set at medium confidence of 0.4) and Pseudomonas Genome Database [177]. For lactate, glucose, and acetate metabolic pathways, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) database was also used for reference [178].
5.3 Results

Proteins facilitate metabolic reactions via catalysis or enzyme regulation. Elucidating protein expression can highlight metabolic acclinations to different culturing conditions, such as the presence or absence of a carbon source. *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate strain (215) cultures grown on either glucose only CSP or glucose and lactate containing LCSP medium were sampled here at time points corresponding to primary growth (4 h), secondary growth (7 h), early stationary (11 h), and intermediate stationary (21 h) phases for bottom-up proteomic analyses. Bottom-up proteomics utilized LC-MS/MS to sequence peptides that were then used to reconstruct entire proteins via comparison with protein databases [165, 166].

5.3.1 LldD (L-Lactate Dehydrogenase) Protein Identification and Quantification

The typical workflow started with digestion of proteins into peptide fragments by enzymatic cleavage with trypsin at the carboxyl side of lysine and arginine residues. The resulting tryptic digests were separated by LC, then ionized via electrospray ionization for subsequent analysis in an Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Figure 22 depicts typical mass spectra collected in bottom-up proteomics, with data shown for the protein LldD (L-lactate dehydrogenase). A full scan mass spectrum is shown in Figure 22a, displaying all peptide ions detected from a single elution time off the LC column with the inset expanding the region of the m/z 600.83 ion to include its natural abundance isotope peaks (all marked with stars). Higher intensity peptide ions observed in the full scan were then fragmentated by gas phase collision induced dissociation to determine their individual amino acid sequence based upon backbone cleavage. The fragment ion peaks in the tandem mass spectrum of the m/z 600.83 ion in Figure 22c are labelled using the standard notation that is displayed in Figure 22b. These fragment ions allowed identification of the m/z
600.83 ion as derived from the NVEDLSAIALR peptide, which by matching against a protein database (along with other peptides), was in turn identified as deriving from the LldD enzyme with 14 unique peptides and ~44% sequence coverage.
Figure 22. Mass spectra used to identify L-lactate dehydrogenase (LldD). (a) Full scan mass spectrum at a specific elution time from liquid chromatography (LC), with inset showing expanded region around with m/z 600.83 along with its isotope peaks (marked by stars). (b) Schematic of peptide sequence of NVEDLSAILR and standard notation for its backbone fragmentation by tandem MS. (c) Tandem mass spectrum of m/z 600.38 labelled with a- and y-fragment ions that identifies it as NVEDLSAILR, a peptide sequence from LldD enzyme.
Processing the entire mass spectral data set with the MaxQuant software [174] led to the identification of ~500 proteins from all digested peptides from the LCSP and CSP media. An intensity-based quantification method by the MaxQuant and Perseus software [174, 175] was then used to determine relative protein abundances by summing all unique peptide ion intensities within each sample: this analysis first converted ion intensities into logarithmic scale (base 2) for normalization, then applied Student’s paired t-test to obtain $p$-values used to distinguish statistically significant differences in expressed protein abundances between the LCSP and CSP media, which is based on peptide ion abundances from the LC-MS/MS full scans (i.e., Figure 22a). Student’s t-test was used to calculate the protein expression differential using $\log_2(x_{\text{LCSP}}/x_{\text{CSP}})$, where $x_{\text{LCSP}}$ is a given peptide ion intensity from LC-MS/MS data from each timepoint (i.e., Figure 22a) and the results are described below.

5.3.2 Overall Protein Identifications and Differential Expressions

As noted above, a total of ~500 proteins were identified for all culturing conditions. Significant protein expression differentials for LCSP vs. CSP media for each time point are shown in Figure 23 as scatter plots of the $-\log_{10}(p$-values) for statistical significance versus the expression differential. The horizontal black line corresponds to $p$-value = 0.05, where proteins above line ($p$-value < 0.05) are deemed statistically significant and below the line ($p$-value > 0.05) are statistically non-significant. Approximately 51, 14, 51, and 62 proteins were statistically significant at 4, 7, 11, and 21 h, respectively. Expression differentials indicated both upregulated (positive scale) and downregulated (negative scale) proteins, where only protein expression differentials $> |1.5|$ are highlighted in red (upregulated) or blue (downregulated) in Figure 23 with at least ~10% sequence coverage were considered and are listed in Table III.
Five of the 51 proteins – AtpA (ATP synthase subunit alpha), Hpd (4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase), GlnA (glutamine synthetase), UvrB (UvrABC system protein B) and PA4667 (TPR repeat-containing protein) – at 4 h, six of the 14 proteins – LldD, HutU (urocanate hydratase), AtoB (acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase), HupA (DNA-binding protein HU-alpha), BkdB (lipoamide acyltransferase component of branched-chain alpha-keto acid dehydrogenase complex), and AcsA1 (acetyl-CoA synthetase 1) – at 7 h, fifteen of the 51 proteins – LldD, MmsA (methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [acylating]), MmsB (3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase), HutH (histidine ammonia-lyase), Glk (glucokinase), OprB (glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane, porin B), HutU, Zwf (glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase), HupA, AtoB, Gap (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase), MaiA (maleylacetoacetate isomerase), HutI (imidazolonepropionase), Pgl (6-phosphogluconolactonase), and Hfq (RNA-binding protein in P. aeruginosa) – at 11 h, and two of the 62 proteins – LldD and RpoZ (DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit omega) – at 21 h displayed significant expression differentials. The remaining proteins and its associated KEGG IDs with non-significant expression differentials are listed in Table IV.
Figure 23. Scatter plots of significant protein expression differentials, log2(x_{LCSP}/x_{CSP}), where x = peptide ion intensities from LC-MS/MS data at (a) 4 h, (b) 7 h, (c) 11 h, and (d) 21 h for LCSP vs. CSP media. Proteins deemed statistically significant (p-values < 0.05) are highlighted above the horizontal black line and non-significant proteins below the black line. Protein expression differentials, with at least ~10% sequence coverage, highlighted in blue (less than -1.5) were downregulated and proteins highlighted in red (greater than 1.5) were upregulated.
Table III. List of up- and downregulated proteins, with its Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome identification (KEGG ID), protein name, unique peptides, sequence coverage percentage, molecular weight (kDa), and log2(xLCSP/xCSP) differential value (LCSP vs CSP culture media) observed at 4, 7, 11, and 21 h for planktonic *P. aeruginosa* cultures. *indicates protein homology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Unique Peptides</th>
<th>Seq Cov (%)</th>
<th>Mol Wt (kDa)</th>
<th>Log2(x) Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AtpA</td>
<td>PA5556*</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit alpha</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hpd</td>
<td>PA0865</td>
<td>4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GlnA</td>
<td>PA5119</td>
<td>glutamine synthetase</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UvrB</td>
<td>PA3138</td>
<td>UvrABC system protein B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA4667</td>
<td></td>
<td>TPR repeat-containing protein</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA4667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LldD</td>
<td>PA4771</td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutU</td>
<td>PA5100</td>
<td>urocanate hydratase</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AtoB</td>
<td>PA2001</td>
<td>acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HupA</td>
<td>PA5348</td>
<td>DNA-binding protein HU-alpha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BkdB</td>
<td>PA2249</td>
<td>lipoamide acyltransferase component of branched-chain alpha-keto acid dehydrogenase complex</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AcSA1</td>
<td>PA0887</td>
<td>acetyl-CoA synthetase 1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LldD</td>
<td>PA4771</td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MmsA</td>
<td>PA3570</td>
<td>methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [acylating]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MmsB</td>
<td>PA3569</td>
<td>3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutH</td>
<td>PA5098</td>
<td>histidine ammonia-lyase</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glk</td>
<td>PA3193</td>
<td>glucokinase</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OprB</td>
<td>PA3186</td>
<td>porin B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutU</td>
<td>PA5100</td>
<td>urocanate hydratase</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zwf</td>
<td>PA3183</td>
<td>glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HupA</td>
<td>PA5348</td>
<td>DNA-binding protein HU-alpha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AtoB</td>
<td>PA2001</td>
<td>acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>PA3195</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MaiA</td>
<td>PA2007</td>
<td>maleylacetocetate isomerase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutI</td>
<td>PA5092</td>
<td>imidazolonepropionase</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pgi</td>
<td>PA3182</td>
<td>6-phosphogluconolactonase</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hfq</td>
<td>PA4944*</td>
<td>RNA-binding protein in <em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>LldD</td>
<td>PA4771</td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RpoZ</td>
<td>PA5337</td>
<td>DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit omega</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table IV. Statistically significant proteins and KEGG IDs with non-significant differential values (LCSP vs CSP) at 4 (blue region), 7 (red region), 11 (yellow region), and 21 h (green region). *indicates protein homology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HisA</td>
<td>PA5141</td>
<td>ClpB</td>
<td>PA4542</td>
<td>AspA</td>
<td>PA5429</td>
<td>HupA</td>
<td>PA5348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MrsA</td>
<td>PA5018</td>
<td>HslV</td>
<td>PA5053</td>
<td>SpuD</td>
<td>PA0300</td>
<td>Frr</td>
<td>PA3653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HidE</td>
<td>PA4996</td>
<td>RpsU</td>
<td>PA0579</td>
<td>BraF</td>
<td>PA1071</td>
<td>BA3922</td>
<td>PA3922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaJ</td>
<td>PA4760</td>
<td>HemN</td>
<td>PA1546</td>
<td>PA3922</td>
<td>PA3922</td>
<td>RpsE</td>
<td>PA4238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CysS</td>
<td>PA1795</td>
<td>Tal</td>
<td>PA2796</td>
<td>GdhB</td>
<td>PA3068</td>
<td>PA0587</td>
<td>PA0587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpsH</td>
<td>PA4249</td>
<td>MucD</td>
<td>PA0766</td>
<td>PhhB</td>
<td>PA0872</td>
<td>BraF</td>
<td>PA1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpsE</td>
<td>PA4246</td>
<td>ArcA</td>
<td>PA5171</td>
<td>Pa1070</td>
<td>HulI</td>
<td>PA5092</td>
<td>RpsM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecB</td>
<td>PA5128</td>
<td>SecF</td>
<td>PA3820</td>
<td>DavT</td>
<td>PA0266</td>
<td>AstB</td>
<td>PA0899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>PA5215</td>
<td>PctA</td>
<td>PA4309</td>
<td>TyrS</td>
<td>PA4138</td>
<td>LeuC</td>
<td>PA3121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ssb</td>
<td>PA4232</td>
<td>Ela</td>
<td>PA1148</td>
<td>GlIA</td>
<td>PA1580</td>
<td>BkdA2</td>
<td>PA2248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SerC</td>
<td>PA3167</td>
<td>AcrA</td>
<td>PA1562</td>
<td>AhcY</td>
<td>PA0432</td>
<td>GovH1</td>
<td>PA2446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwf</td>
<td>PA3183</td>
<td>ArcC</td>
<td>PA5173</td>
<td>NfuA</td>
<td>PA1847</td>
<td>SerC</td>
<td>PA3167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OprF</td>
<td>PA1777</td>
<td>NirS</td>
<td>PA0519</td>
<td>AhpC</td>
<td>PA0139</td>
<td>BamD</td>
<td>PA4545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4465</td>
<td>PA4465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeuS</td>
<td>PA3987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FadB</td>
<td>PA3014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NqrA</td>
<td>PA2999</td>
<td>KdsD</td>
<td>PA4457</td>
<td>ArgB</td>
<td>PA5323</td>
<td>Hcp1</td>
<td>PA0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZipA</td>
<td>PA1528</td>
<td>PhhA</td>
<td>PA0872</td>
<td>ProS</td>
<td>PA0956</td>
<td>PurD</td>
<td>PA4855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UbiG</td>
<td>PA3171</td>
<td>TrmB</td>
<td>PA0382</td>
<td>MexA</td>
<td>PA0425</td>
<td>CoaX</td>
<td>PA2429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoaX</td>
<td>PA4279</td>
<td>ClpX</td>
<td>PA1802</td>
<td>RnpA</td>
<td>PA5569</td>
<td>TrpE</td>
<td>PA0609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgP</td>
<td>PA5253</td>
<td>TatA</td>
<td>PA5068</td>
<td>CysS</td>
<td>PA1795</td>
<td>RecA</td>
<td>PA3617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RsmC</td>
<td>PA4627</td>
<td>AtpB</td>
<td>PA5560</td>
<td>LpG</td>
<td>PA1587</td>
<td>PA0170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eda</td>
<td>PA3181</td>
<td>PA5217</td>
<td>PA5217</td>
<td>KatA</td>
<td>PA4236</td>
<td>RpsF</td>
<td>PA4935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParE</td>
<td>PA4967</td>
<td>RpoB</td>
<td>PA4270</td>
<td>Pta</td>
<td>PA0835</td>
<td>RpsJ</td>
<td>PA4264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dnak</td>
<td>PA4761</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PscF</td>
<td>PA1719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>PA3195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoN</td>
<td>PA4482</td>
<td>Eda</td>
<td>PA3181</td>
<td>PckA</td>
<td>PA5192</td>
<td>ArcC</td>
<td>PA1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HslU</td>
<td>PA5054</td>
<td>GlyA</td>
<td>PA2444</td>
<td>RplP</td>
<td>PA4256</td>
<td>FilN</td>
<td>PA1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HemB</td>
<td>PA5243</td>
<td>BPl</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>SpeE</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>LeuS</td>
<td>PA3987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdxh</td>
<td>PA1049</td>
<td>PhhC</td>
<td>PA0870</td>
<td>DsbA</td>
<td>PA5489</td>
<td>RpsD</td>
<td>PA4239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PurK</td>
<td>PA5425</td>
<td>AstE</td>
<td>PA0901</td>
<td>RapA</td>
<td>PA3308</td>
<td>HslU</td>
<td>PA5064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ArgG</td>
<td>PA3525</td>
<td>Psd</td>
<td>PA4957</td>
<td>FptA</td>
<td>PA4221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoA</td>
<td>PA4462</td>
<td>Eda</td>
<td>PA3181</td>
<td>PckA</td>
<td>PA5192</td>
<td>ArcC</td>
<td>PA1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HslU</td>
<td>PA5054</td>
<td>GlyA</td>
<td>PA2444</td>
<td>RplP</td>
<td>PA4256</td>
<td>FilN</td>
<td>PA1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HemB</td>
<td>PA5243</td>
<td>BPl</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>SpeE</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>LeuS</td>
<td>PA3987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdxh</td>
<td>PA1049</td>
<td>PhhC</td>
<td>PA0870</td>
<td>DsbA</td>
<td>PA5489</td>
<td>RpsD</td>
<td>PA4239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PurK</td>
<td>PA5425</td>
<td>AstE</td>
<td>PA0901</td>
<td>RapA</td>
<td>PA3308</td>
<td>HslU</td>
<td>PA5064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ArgG</td>
<td>PA3525</td>
<td>Psd</td>
<td>PA4957</td>
<td>FptA</td>
<td>PA4221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoA</td>
<td>PA4462</td>
<td>Eda</td>
<td>PA3181</td>
<td>PckA</td>
<td>PA5192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HslU</td>
<td>PA5054</td>
<td>GlyA</td>
<td>PA2444</td>
<td>RplP</td>
<td>PA4256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HemB</td>
<td>PA5243</td>
<td>BPl</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>SpeE</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdxh</td>
<td>PA1049</td>
<td>PhhC</td>
<td>PA0870</td>
<td>DsbA</td>
<td>PA5489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PurK</td>
<td>PA5425</td>
<td>AstE</td>
<td>PA0901</td>
<td>RapA</td>
<td>PA3308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.3 Glucose and Lactate Metabolism vs. LldD Differential Expression

Metabolomic study also measured two media-supplied carbon sources – glucose and lactate – with 25 mM lactate in LCSP being the only difference between the two culturing conditions (Figure 24ab). The extracellular metabolomics data showed that the LCSP grown cultures had consumed all the lactate by 11 h and there was substantial glucose remaining in the medium until glucose is completely consumed by 13 h – see starting glucose and lactate concentrations (Figure 24a). The CSP cultures catabolized glucose and did not secrete lactate as demonstrated by the absence of lactate in the culture supernatant in Figure 24b. Upon glucose depletion, the production and consumption of acetate was observed at levels greater than in LCSP than CSP (Figure 24ab). Additionally, the abundance of the LldD enzyme is greater in LCSP than CSP media (Figure 24c).
Figure 24. Millimolar concentrations of extracellular glucose and lactate taken from growth media (supernatant) at time (a) 0 and (b) 11 h. (c) Protein expression at 11 h of LIdD based on log₂(x) values of peptide abundances from LIdD.
5.4 Discussion

The regulated metabolism of glucose and lactate as carbon sources is important for cellular growth, maintenance, and structural support [179, 180]. Bottom-up proteomics via LC-MS/MS was used here to distinguish differences in the phenotypes of planktonic *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (215) that resulted from the presence or absence of a single, auxiliary carbon source, lactate. Lactate dehydrogenase enzyme (LldD) catalyzes the specific conversion of L-lactate to pyruvate (Figure 25). The cultures grown in the presence of lactate and glucose had a higher abundance of LldD, but lower abundance of glycolysis enzymes. The cultures grown in the presence of only glucose had high abundance of glycolysis enzymes and only low abundance of LldD. These protein expression differentials were consistent with the extracellular metabolite analyses which showed that lactate was consumed preferentially to glucose; the cultures consuming lactate had protein abundances consistent with lactate consumption, and the cultures catabolizing glucose had protein abundances consistent with glycolysis including enzymes from the Entner-Doudoroff pathway [181]. Collectively, Figure 24 demonstrated that the *P. aeruginosa* strain (215) examined here has a catabolite repression regulatory system that prefers lactate consumption over glucose consumption, a reverse diauxic metabolic strategy, which is different from many Gram-negative bacteria such as *E. coli* with diauxie [172].

5.4.1 Lactate, Glucose, and Acetate Metabolisms

Figure 25 depicts acetate, lactate, and glucose conversion to pyruvate as a series of metabolic reactions or pathways [158, 178-180]. The yellow stars in Figure 25 highlight proteins that are key to the metabolic reactions that have been found here to be statistically significant and with significant expression differentials at 7 and 11 h. With the induction of LldD in the LCSP
medium, several proteins were repressed during the times of lactate (secondary growth phase, 7 h) and glucose (early stationary phase, 11 h) consumption (Figure 23bc). During lactate consumption in the LCSP medium, two of the five proteins, AcsA1 and AtoB, were related to acetate metabolism and were repressed. During glucose consumption in the LCSP medium, four of the fourteen proteins, Glk, Zwf, Gap, and Pgl were related to glucose metabolism [151] and were repressed. Several additional metabolites shown in Figure 25 are acetate and glucose intermediates (also highlighted in green text): acetyl-CoA, acetoacetyl-CoA, G6P (glucose-6P), 6-PGDL (6-phosphogluconolactone), Gln-6P (gluconate-6P), and 13-DPG (phosphoglyceroyl-P) [151, 178, 181]. No intracellular metabolomic analyses were performed on these intermediates, but they are displayed in Figure 25 to illustrate the metabolic pathways with key successive enzymes involved in glucose and acetate conversion. These multienzyme pathways can be contrasted with the single LldD enzyme implicated in lactate conversion to pyruvate. Contrasting with the protein repressions during lactate and glucose consumption, four proteins, GlnA, UvrB, PA4667, and AtpA, prior to lactate consumption (primary growth phase, 4 h) and one protein, RpoZ, following glucose depletion (intermediate stationary phase, 21 h) were induced.

5.4.2 Determination of Protein Associations and Metabolic Pathways

These protein associations were determined using the STRING database [176] based on known, predicted, and other protein-protein interactions. Protein associations are described below and derived respectively from curated databases or experiments; gene neighborhood, fusions, or co-occurrence; and text mining, co-expression, or protein homology. Functional enrichment analysis from the STRING database were also performed using the KEGG pathway reference database [178], which annotates enzymes related to a metabolic pathway for predicting phenotypes
in large-scale datasets. The Pseudomonas Genome Database [177] was consulted for additional annotations of unassociated proteins.

The STRING database is useful for determining the protein-protein interactions by revealing how a single protein can be associated – either directly or indirectly – in a metabolic pathway [176]. Protein-protein interactions [176] were found at timepoints 4 (GlnA and AtpA), 7 (AcsA1 and AtoB), 11 (Glk, Zwf, Pgl, and Gap), and 21 h (LldD and RpoZ) where thicker red-dotted lines indicate more interactions in Figure 25 for 7 and 11 h (4 and 21 h are not shown).

Functional enrichment analysis of the proteins and its enzymatic involvement in KEGG metabolic pathways was also performed [176]. The enzymes involved with specific KEGG metabolic pathways (listed in the KEGG database as “Matching proteins in network” with false discovery rates, FDR, less than 0.05), are listed in Table V. Functional enrichment was found at the times of lactate (7 h) and glucose (11 h) consumption. During lactate consumption, four KEGG metabolic pathways were found: AcsA1 and AtoB in pyruvate and propanoate metabolism and AtoB and BkdB in valine, leucine, and isoleucine degradation as well as biosynthesis of secondary metabolites. The remaining unassociated enzymatic proteins were HupA and HutU. During glucose consumption, six KEGG metabolic pathways were found where Glk, Zwf, Pgl, and Gap were involved in three of the six KEGG metabolic pathways: carbon metabolism, microbial metabolism in diverse environments, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites. Furthermore, Zwf and Pgl were involved in the pentose phosphate pathway. Other KEGG pathways were valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation and histidine metabolism. Of the remaining three enzymatic proteins, two apparently have regulatory roles, HupA and Hfq, and the third is a metabolite transport protein, OprB [177].
Figure 25. Schematic of the abbreviated metabolic pathways [178] of small molecule (green) metabolism en route to pyruvate, lactate and acetate. Black arrows with associated proteins (blue) indicate reactions involving single reaction (solid) or multiple reactions (dotted). Yellow stars indicate key proteins that showed significant expression differentials at 7 and 11 h (refer to Table III and text for protein names). Red dotted lines represent STRING protein-protein interactions, with thicker lines indicating more associations. Additional metabolites noted are G6P, 6-PGDL, Gln-6P, and 13-DPG (see text).
Table V. KEGG metabolic pathway functional annotation of proteins at 7 and 11 h planktonic *P. aeruginosa* cultures. FDR are false discovery rates with protein networks listed in the KEGG pathways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>KEGG pathway description</th>
<th>FDR</th>
<th>Matching proteins in network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Metabolic pathways</em></td>
<td>1.57 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>AcsA1, AtoB, BkdB, HutU, LidD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyruvate metabolism</td>
<td>2.62 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>AcsA1, AtoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>2.89 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>AtoB, BkdB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propanoate metabolism</td>
<td>2.89 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>AcsA1, AtoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon metabolism</td>
<td>2.84 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, MmsA, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histidine metabolism</td>
<td>1.32 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>HutH, HutI, HutU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbial metabolism in</td>
<td>2.01 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, MaiA, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diverse environments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>5.39 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>AtoB, MmsA, MmsB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites</td>
<td>2.72 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentose phosphate pathway</td>
<td>3.16 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 Conclusion

LC-MS/MS based bottom-up proteomics was used to examine metabolic acclimation to different culturing conditions of planktonic *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (215). The application of proteomics to differentiate metabolic changes in protein expression were found to be consistent with the different nutrients in the medium - the presence or absence of lactate - and the uptake of
preferred carbon sources such as glucose based on a regulatory process known as catabolite repression. The ability of lactate to modulate LldD, acetate metabolic, and glycolysis enzymes has significance to how *P. aeruginosa* will grow in diverse environments such as multispecies biofilms.
6. DELINEATING *PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA* METABOLISM IN PLANKTONIC AND BIOFILM CULTURES THROUGH MULTI-OMICS

6.1 Introduction

Immobile, interphase associated bacterial biofilms play important roles in both human health and disease. Biofilms play a positive role as part of the human microbiome aiding digestion and nutrient acquisition [182], but can also be antagonistic to human health by contributing to inflammation and degradation of host tissue [1, 3]. For example, many studies have examined the role of biofilms in prolonged infections of the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients and on the surfaces of medical devices [1].

Biofilm removal has been pursued via mechanical abrasion, increasing temperature, chemical treatment (i.e., peroxide, quaternary amines, or chlorine), electrochemical treatment [183], and electrical gaseous plasma discharges [184, 185]. An alternative strategy in biofilm control is to interrupt factors that enhance their growth, such as manipulation of available nutrients [1, 3]. Such a control strategy is supported by the observation that culturing conditions can have a dramatic effect on the response of biofilms to specific antibiotics [186]. It follows that development of such control strategies requires further understanding of spatially resolved phenotypes and interspecies interactions in microbial communities.

A multi-omics strategy can help delineate the underlying changes in lactate-related metabolisms in microbial communities. Bottom-up proteomics is used here with guided exogenous metabolomics to determine phenotypic changes of the clinical chronic wound isolate *P. aeruginosa* in both free-flowing planktonic culture and sessile biofilms, both grown in amino acid rich media.
containing glucose with and without auxiliary lactate. The proteomics results will eventually be used to inform computational metabolic models of polymicrobial chronic wound biofilms which will elucidate spatially resolved phenotypes and interspecies interactions [187]. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for devising effective therapies for patients suffering from chronic wound infections.

6.2 **Experimental Details**

6.2.1 **Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

Planktonic *P. aeruginosa* growth details can be found in Chapter 5, Section 5.2.1. For biofilm growth, diluted overnight cultures (OD$_{600}$ ~0.010) were inoculated on tissue culture inserts and suspended on 6-well plates above fresh medium at 37°C.

6.2.2 **Exogenous Metabolomics**

Refer to Chapter 5, Section 5.2.2 for details.

6.2.3 **Sample Preparation for Proteomics**

For planktonic *P. aeruginosa* (N = 3) sample preparations, refer to Chapter 5, Section 5.2.3 for details. Biofilms (N = 2) were prepared following the same steps as planktonic cultures but with no washings.
6.2.4 LC-MS/MS

Planktonic (N_{LCSP} = 3, single replicate injection) and biofilm (N_{LCSP} = 2, triple replicate injections) *P. aeruginosa* peptide resuspensions were analyzed with LC-MS/MS (refer to Chapter 5, Section 5.2.4. for details).

6.3 Results

6.3.1 Metabolomics

Glucose and lactate concentrations from planktonic (Figure 26a) and biofilm (Figure 26b) cultures were detected in the supernatant at 0 and 11 h of culture growth. Glucose was measured for both planktonic and biofilm cultures in both CSP and lactate supplemented CSP (LCSP) media at 0 h, but lactate was only detected in LCSP and not in CSP, as expected. The planktonic culture at 11 h displayed a greater decrease in glucose concentration for CSP than LCSP media, whereas lactate was completely depleted in the LCSP media. The 11 h timepoint correlates to the early stationary phase in planktonic culture. By comparison, biofilms displayed a slightly lower glucose concentration in CSP media and a marked decrease with lactate concentration in the LCSP media.
Figure 26. Metabolite profiling of (i) lactate & (ii) glucose in (a) planktonic and (b) biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures grown in CSP and lactate-supplemented CSP media (LCSP) for 0 h and 11 h.
6.3.2 Proteomics

Untargeted label-free quantitative processing of the entire Orbitrap mass spectral data set led to the identification of ~500 proteins cumulatively from all digested peptides from the LCSP and CSP media. However, planktonic and biofilm cultures had only 51 and 48 statistically significant proteins, respectively (see below). Intensity-based quantification by the MaxQuant software [174] was used to determine relative protein abundances by summing all unique peptide ion intensities from the LC-MS/MS full scans.

Expression differentials indicated both upregulated (positive scale) and downregulated (negative scale) proteins, where proteins were significantly up- or down-regulated are annotated in the gray area to the right and left, respectively in Figure 27. These proteins are also listed in Table VI along with the protein name, KEGG ID, number of unique peptides, sequence coverage percentage, and molecular weight (kDa). Fifteen of the 51 proteins in planktonic cultures displayed significant expression differentials: LldD, MmsA, MmsB, HutH, Glk, OprB, HutU, Zwf, HupA, AtoB, Gap, MaiA, HutI, Pgl, and Hfq. Nine of the 48 proteins in the biofilms displayed significant expression differentials: LldD, FolE2 (GTP cyclohydrolase), PvdA (L-ornithine N(5)-monooxygenase), SpeE1 (polyamine aminopropyltransferase 1), Mqo1 (probable malate:quinone oxidoreductase 1), SodA (superoxide dismutase [Mn]), HisA (1-(5-phosphoribosyl)-5-[(5-phosphoribosylamino)methylideneamino] imidazole-4-carboxamide isomerase), PA5217 (probable binding protein component of ABC iron transporter), and FumC1 (fumarate hydratase). The remaining proteins and associated KEGG IDs with non-significant expression differentials are listed in Table VII.
Figure 27. Protein expression in LCSP vs. CSP for planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* growth at 11 h. Scatter plots of log$_2$ fold-change [log$_2$(x$_{LCSP}$/x$_{CSP}$)] vs $-\log_{10}(p$-value$)$. Statistically significant proteins ($p$-value < 0.05) are highlighted above horizontal black line. Significant down- or up-regulation with greater than 1.5-fold change and at least ~10% sequence coverage are annotated in the gray regions.
Table VI. List of up- and downregulated proteins, with its KEGG ID, protein name, unique peptides, sequence coverage percentage, molecular weight (kDa), and \( \log_2(\text{LCSP}/\text{CSP}) \) differential value (LCSP vs CSP culture media) observed from planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures. * indicates protein homology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Unique peptides</th>
<th>Sequence coverage</th>
<th>Mol Wt (kDa)</th>
<th>( \log_2(x) ) Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planktonic</td>
<td>LldD</td>
<td>PA4771</td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MmsA</td>
<td>PA3570</td>
<td>methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [acylating]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MmsB</td>
<td>PA3569</td>
<td>3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutH</td>
<td>PA5098</td>
<td>histidine ammonia-lyase</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glk</td>
<td>PA3193</td>
<td>glucokinase</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OprB</td>
<td>PA3186</td>
<td>porin B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutU</td>
<td>PA5100</td>
<td>urocanate hydratase</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zwf</td>
<td>PA3183</td>
<td>glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HupA</td>
<td>PA5348</td>
<td>DNA-binding protein HU-alpha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AtoB</td>
<td>PA2001</td>
<td>acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>PA3195</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MaiA</td>
<td>PA2007</td>
<td>maleylacetoacetate isomerase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HutI</td>
<td>PA5092</td>
<td>imidazolonepropionase</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pgl</td>
<td>PA3182</td>
<td>6-phosphogluconolactonase</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hfq</td>
<td>PA4944*</td>
<td>RNA-binding protein in <em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Biofilm | LldD | PA4771 | L-lactate dehydrogenase | 12 | 39.6% | 41.1 | 5.3 |
| | FolE2 | PA5539 | GTP cyclohydrolase | 6 | 36.2% | 32.4 | 2.9 |
| | PvdA | PA2386 | L-ornithine N(5)-monoxygenase | 4 | 12.4% | 49.5 | 2.1 |
| | SpeE1 | PA1687 | polyamine aminopropyltransferase 1 | 3 | 23.8% | 32.2 | 2.0 |
| | Mqo1 | PA3452 | probable malate:quinone oxidoreductase 1 | 4 | 11.1% | 57.2 | 1.9 |
| | SodA | PA4468 | superoxide dismutase [Mn] | 3 | 25.1% | 22.5 | 1.8 |
| | PA5217 | PA5217 | probable binding protein component of ABC iron transporter | 12 | 36.1% | 36.3 | 1.6 |
| | HisA | PA5141 | 1-(5-phosphoribosyl)-5-[(5-phosphoribosylamino)methylideneamino] imidazole-4-carboxamide isomerase | 6 | 32.7% | 25.9 | 1.6 |
| | FumC1 | PA4470 | fumarate hydratase | 19 | 59.6% | 48.7 | 1.5 |
Table VII. Statistically significant proteins and KEGG IDs with non-significant differential values (LCSP vs CSP) in planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures. * indicates protein homology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEGG ID</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>KEgg ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AhcY</td>
<td>PA0432</td>
<td>MexA</td>
<td>PA0425</td>
<td>ArgF</td>
<td>PA3537</td>
<td>PA1295</td>
<td>PA1295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AhpC</td>
<td>PA0139*</td>
<td>NfuA</td>
<td>PA1847</td>
<td>AtpA</td>
<td>PA5556*</td>
<td>PA2271</td>
<td>PA2271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgU</td>
<td>PA0762</td>
<td>PA1673</td>
<td>PA1673</td>
<td>BamD</td>
<td>PA4545</td>
<td>PA2634</td>
<td>PA2634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArgB</td>
<td>PA5323</td>
<td>PA5475</td>
<td>PA5475</td>
<td>ClpP1</td>
<td>PA1801</td>
<td>PckA</td>
<td>PA5192*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArgG</td>
<td>PA3525</td>
<td>PckA</td>
<td>PA5192*</td>
<td>CspA</td>
<td>PA3266</td>
<td>PstS</td>
<td>PA5369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AspA</td>
<td>PA5429</td>
<td>PhhB</td>
<td>PA0872</td>
<td>CysS</td>
<td>PA1795</td>
<td>PurC</td>
<td>PA1013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AstE</td>
<td>PA0901</td>
<td>PhhC</td>
<td>PA0870</td>
<td>DsbA</td>
<td>PA5489</td>
<td>PyrH</td>
<td>PA3654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPI</td>
<td>PA0956</td>
<td>ProS</td>
<td>PA0956</td>
<td>Eco</td>
<td>PA2755</td>
<td>RdpC</td>
<td>PA3263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BraF</td>
<td>PA1071</td>
<td>Pta</td>
<td>PA0835</td>
<td>FtsA</td>
<td>PA4408</td>
<td>RplL</td>
<td>PA4271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BraG</td>
<td>PA1070</td>
<td>RapA</td>
<td>PA3308</td>
<td>HchA</td>
<td>PA1135</td>
<td>SecB</td>
<td>PA5128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CysS</td>
<td>PA1795</td>
<td>RnpA</td>
<td>PA5569</td>
<td>Hcp1</td>
<td>PA0085</td>
<td>SecD</td>
<td>PA3821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DavT</td>
<td>PA0266</td>
<td>RplP</td>
<td>PA4256</td>
<td>HemC</td>
<td>PA5260</td>
<td>StbA</td>
<td>PA2991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsbA</td>
<td>PA5489</td>
<td>RplV</td>
<td>PA4258</td>
<td>HmuV</td>
<td>PA4706</td>
<td>Thil</td>
<td>PA5118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eda</td>
<td>PA3181</td>
<td>SpeE1</td>
<td>PA1687</td>
<td>Hutl</td>
<td>PA5092</td>
<td>ThrB</td>
<td>PA5495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GdhB</td>
<td>PA3068</td>
<td>SpuD</td>
<td>PA0300</td>
<td>LepB</td>
<td>PA0768</td>
<td>TrpC</td>
<td>PA0651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlgA</td>
<td>PA1580</td>
<td>TyrS</td>
<td>PA4138*</td>
<td>LeuC</td>
<td>PA3121</td>
<td>TrpE</td>
<td>PA0609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlyA2</td>
<td>PA2444</td>
<td>MurG</td>
<td>PA4412</td>
<td>TrpS</td>
<td>PA4439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hom</td>
<td>PA3736</td>
<td>NqrC</td>
<td>PA2997</td>
<td>TyrS1</td>
<td>PA4138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KatA</td>
<td>PA4236</td>
<td>OprB</td>
<td>PA3186</td>
<td>Xpt</td>
<td>PA5298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LpdG</td>
<td>PA1587</td>
<td>PA0423</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 **Discussion**

6.4.1 **Protein Associations**

Twelve of the fifteen proteins from planktonic cultures and six of the nine proteins from biofilms listed in Table VI were found to be enzymes involved with specific KEGG “Metabolic pathways,” where associated enzymes are listed in Table VIII as “Matching proteins in network” with KEGG Pathway descriptions and false discovery rates (FDR) < 0.05. From the remaining three of the fifteen proteins in planktonic cultures, two apparently are known to have regulatory roles: HupA and Hfq while the third is a metabolite transport associated protein, OprB (see below). The remaining three of the six proteins in biofilms are PA5217, SodA, and PvdA.
Table VIII. KEGG metabolic pathway functional annotation on enzymatic proteins from planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures. FDR are false discovery rates with protein networks listed in the KEGG pathways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>KEGG Pathway description</th>
<th>FDR</th>
<th>Matching proteins in network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planktonic</td>
<td>Metabolic pathways</td>
<td>1.75 x 10^{-06}</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, HutH, Hutl, HutU, LldD, MaiA, MmsA, MmsB, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon metabolism</td>
<td>2.84 x 10^{-05}</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, MmsA, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histidine metabolism</td>
<td>1.32 x 10^{-03}</td>
<td>HutH, Hutl, HutU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbial metabolism in diverse environments</td>
<td>2.01 x 10^{-03}</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, MaiA, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>5.39 x 10^{-03}</td>
<td>AtoB, MmsA, MmsB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites</td>
<td>2.72 x 10^{-02}</td>
<td>AtoB, Gap, Glk, Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentose phosphate pathway</td>
<td>3.16 x 10^{-02}</td>
<td>Pgl, Zwf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofilm</td>
<td>Metabolic Pathways</td>
<td>2.37 x 10^{-02}</td>
<td>FumC1, HisA, LldD, Mqo1, FolE2, SpeE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyruvate metabolism</td>
<td>1.08 x 10^{-02}</td>
<td>FumC1, LldD, Mqo1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)</td>
<td>4.77 x 10^{-02}</td>
<td>FumC1, Mqo1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.4.2 Carbon Catabolite Repression

Carbon catabolite repression (CCR) regulatory effects are important to drive cellular growth, maintenance, and structural support [180, 181]. Metabolomics data indicate changes that are occurring at a given instant [161] and are complementary to proteomic data and changes in protein expression differentials that can be used to elucidate metabolic acclimations [188]. LldD is the enzyme responsible for the single step conversion of lactate to pyruvate (Figure 28, green
text) [158, 178]. The 11 h time point was chosen specifically to determine the metabolic changes following lactate consumption for the planktonic growth in LCSP compared with the CSP medium. The integration of metabolomic and proteomic data found that as lactate was consumed, LldD was significantly induced (Figure 28, red upward arrow) in both planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa*. However, differences for planktonic vs. biofilm were observed in the downstream effects as shown in Figure 28 and are further described below.

Planktonic culture underwent an upregulation of LldD along with a downregulation of enzymes involved in glucose utilization. Figure 28a depicts the downregulated enzymes (blue downward arrows) and protein-protein interactions from the STRING database (thicker dashed lines correlate with stronger association evidence). Downregulation was observed for the outer membrane transport protein OprB and the four enzymes Glk, Zwf, Pgl, and Gap that carry out the conversion of glucose to their four respective intermediate metabolites: G6P, 6-PGDL, Gln-6P, and 13-DPG [151, 178, 181]. No intracellular metabolomic analyses were performed to detect any of these four intermediate metabolites, but all four are nevertheless displayed in Figure 28a to illustrate the metabolic pathways with key successive enzymes involved in glucose conversion to pyruvate (as well as for contrast with the simpler pathway for lactate conversion to pyruvate by LldD).

In addition to the repression of glucose metabolism in the presence of lactate in planktonic culture, other more specific metabolisms that were also repressed include histidine metabolism (HutH, HutI, and HutU) and the degradation of valine, leucine, and isoleucine (AtoB, MmsA, and MmsB, see Table VIII). Several unassociated proteins were also repressed: MaiA as well as the regulatory binding proteins Hfq and HupA. MaiA is involved in aromatic acid metabolism [177]. The repression of Hfq was previously found to upregulate genes encoding proteins involved in
CCR in the PAO1 strain of *P. aeruginosa* [189]. While repression of HupA was found to increase aggregation and adhesion in *E. coli* [190], the role of HupA in *P. aeruginosa* has not been determined [191].

Biofilms display upregulation of LldD along with induction of two additional TCA cycle enzymes: Mqo1, involved in fumarate conversion to S-malate, and FumC1, involved in S-malate conversion to oxaloacetate (Figure 28b, depicted with red upward arrows). Results indicate little to no glucose uptake is observed during lactate utilization, suggesting glucose uptake is deferred until lactate is near depletion. Mqo1, FumC1, and LldD are also associated as part of the pyruvate metabolism (Table VIII). The role of Mqo1 has not yet been determined for *P. aeruginosa*, but Mqo2 is known to be essential for *P. aeruginosa* growth in acetate and ethanol [192].

Other proteins were also upregulated in the biofilms. Upregulated proteins associated with increased biological fitness and virulence [193] include HisA, PA5217, SodA, PvdA, FolE2, and SpeE1 (Table VI). HisA is part of the subpathway for L-histidine biosynthesis [177]. FumC1 with PA5217, SodA, and PvdA are typically induced in iron-deficient conditions that are the hallmark of burn-wound infections [193]. The effect of iron levels plays a role in biofilm formation, in which either too low or too high iron concentrations results in thin or flat biofilm phenotypes [194]. PvdA catalyzes the first step in the biosynthesis of pyoverdin siderophores, a virulence factor which are typically induced under iron-starvation conditions along with exotoxin A and endoproteases [194]. Induction of FolE2 has been found to occur under zinc-deficient conditions [195] in which significant changes in gene expressions have been reported for *P. aeruginosa* survivability and promulgation for zinc homeostasis [195]. SpeE1 catalyzes the synthetic pathway of putrescine to spermidine and it has been found that spermidine functions in protecting bacterial membranes from
antibiotics and oxidative damage [196]. Although spermidine was not measured here, it might be considered a promising target metabolite.

The effect of CCR in *P. aeruginosa* is diverse and impacts various phenotypic changes. Extensive studies have been done on planktonic *P. aeruginosa* metabolite uptake [197] as well as on the translational regulatory protein, catabolite repression control (Crc) and its effect on biofilm development [198], antimicrobial-tolerate subpopulations [199], and quorum sensing regulations [200]. The repression of glucose utilization for the preferred lactate uptake is consistent with the reverse diauxic growth typically found in planktonic *Pseudomonas spp.* studies [151, 197]. Like planktonic cultures, biofilms also display reverse diauxie characteristics with lactate, which lead to the induction of proteins that are typically upregulated under zinc and iron limited conditions. This is expected given the diffusion constraints of biofilms. While reverse diauxie is generally inferred and understood based on planktonic growth, the authors have not been able to identify a prior report of reverse diauxic growth with *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with respect to lactate preference.
Figure 28. Schematic of glucose and lactate metabolisms in (a) planktonic and (b) biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures. Dashed lines indicate protein-protein associations, where thickness correlates to stronger associations. Upregulated and downregulated proteins are represented by red upward facing arrows and blue downward facing arrows, respectively. (a) Planktonic culture: lactate conversion to pyruvate by LldD is upregulated. Downregulation is observed for proteins, OprB, Glk, Zwf, Pgl, Gap, involved in glucose conversion (see text or Table VI for full protein names). (b) Biofilms: LldD is similarly upregulated. Upregulation is observed for proteins within the citric acid (TCA) cycle: FumC1, in fumarate conversion to S-malate, and Mqo1, in S-malate conversion to oxaloacetate.
6.5 Conclusion

Glucose and lactate are both important carbon sources, but they are utilized in bacterial cultures via a hierarchical order of carbon utilization for growth, maintenance, and sustenance [151]. Nutrient resource allocation influences metabolic networks, where nutrient scarcity acclimation can shift phenotype [152]. Reverse diauxic growth for primary carbon source, lactate, followed by glucose is showcased in metabolomics, resulting in an upregulation of LldD in both planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* cultures observed by proteomics. LldD upregulation affected metabolisms in planktonic cultures with glucose related repressions and in biofilm cultures with inductions relating to the TCA cycle, pathogenesis, and virulence. Reverse diauxie present in both planktonic and biofilm *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (215) are demonstrated here.

Mentioned previously, resource allocation allows bacteria to increase biological fitness and survival [151, 152]. Lactate utilization stimulates metabolism to enhance growth rates and contributes to pathogenesis [158]. The excretion of acetate increased in the presence of lactate, despite the decreased protein expression among glucose and acetate related proteins (data not shown). Cells utilize acetate when nutrients are depleted, a process referred to as the acetate switch [201]. Additionally, planktonic cell densities are greater when grown in the presence of lactate (data not shown). This suggests lactate is a more efficient means for growth and sustenance. In biofilm cultures, iron and zinc mass transfer limitations induce protein expressions for virulence (PvdA) and survivability (FolE2) [194, 195]. With the addition of lactate, these features are induced. Furthermore, under magnesium limitations, spermidine binds to the lipopolyssacharides which stabilize and protects the outer membrane as a defensive mechanism against antibiotics [196]. The addition of lactate induces SpeE1, which is involved in spermidine production.
Biofilms differ from their planktonic forms as they confer greater resistance to antibiotic or antimicrobial treatments. However, the dynamics of biofilms are not well understood and are of current interest especially since biofilms contribute to prolonged infections in patients with chronic wounds.

Non-imaging and imaging MALDI-MS have been integral in metabolomics and proteomics studies, which has been demonstrated on microbial systems. For untargeted biological studies, the use of a high-resolution mass analyzer is essential for accurate identifications however the FTICR mass spectrometer was decommissioned halting the progression of biofilm studies with UV-AP-MALDI-MS. Biofilm imaging on a vacuum-based MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer was attempted in which some proteins/peptides from the biofilms were detected but remain indeterminate as the instrument did not have tandem MS capability. As demonstrated, sample preparation proved challenging and is not trivial, therefore cryosectioning the biofilms into thinner sections can be an alternative direction for imaging with vacuum MALDI-MS.

The development of a laser-based APPI was intended to study metabolites. LDTD-APPI with a portable handheld 800 nm near-IR laser was developed and compared with LAAPPI. This experimentally simpler configuration of LDTD-APPI was verified for metabolite analyses and can additionally be used for field analyses. Spatially resolved analyses could have been achieved, except for the low sensitivity of the QIT mass spectrometer. Furthermore, the QIT is a low-resolution instrument, which is a major disadvantage for untargeted analyses with LDTD-APPI. Therefore, knowledge of expected or known metabolites might be needed for field analyses.
Nonetheless, QS molecules typically detected in MALDI and ESI studies were also detected with LDTD-APPI. Among these were homoserine lactones, quinolones, and phenazines which are involved bacterial cell signaling. The metabolites detected in this study can serve as a guidance for a more targeted proteome approach which can reveal underlying metabolism changes such as with interspecies interactions.

When it comes to studying complex biological systems, multi-omics are especially needed. While gene modeling is based on genomes, various pathways can lead to the same metabolic results. However, proteomics can help identify a more specific pathway in which the metabolism can occur. The second half of this thesis involved the development of a MS-based proteomics workflow used to study P. aeruginosa cultures. This development was utilized with metabolomics as a guidance for changes in metabolism with an additional nutrient source, lactate. Changes in protein abundances between these two conditions indicate a preferential use of different substrates, which in turn suggests shifts in metabolic strategies as a function of nutrient environment. This was first demonstrated in planktonic cultures and then applied to biofilms, in which distinct changes in metabolisms were discovered. The identified proteins with significant expression differentials can be used to target specific metabolites (i.e. spermidine, fumarate, S-malate) in future studies of bacterial pathogenesis.

However, there are caveats to this approach. Cell physiology in planktonic conditions is highly homogenous whereas cells in biofilms are relatively heterogeneous resulting from differences in spatial location within the biofilm, nutrient availability, and exposure to other environmental factors. Because there was no direct method to compare relative growth phases between the two cultures, the same time point was simply used to compare metabolic state. Additionally, the intensity-based quantification method used by the Perseus software relies upon
the sum of peptide ion intensities to determine relative protein abundances. This strategy assumes no differences in protein extraction efficiency from the initial sample preparation as well as uniform ionization efficiencies of different peptide ions in ESI-MS. However, these assumptions may not be that significant to the order of magnitude comparisons of protein expression differentials measured here.

The proteomic strategies described here is now being applied to the study of biofilms and other surface associated microbial communities by application of laser ablation sample transfer (LAST). The mid-infrared pulsed laser in LAST samples proteins from selected small volumes of biofilms for determining spatially resolved phenotypes, additional information not provided from whole cell lysates. LAST brings the high protein coverage of bottom-up LC-MS/MS based proteomics to spatially resolved analysis of bacterial communities as demonstrated from preliminary LAST results. While the bottom-up proteomics strategies employed here do not reveal the posttranslational modifications of proteins known to play an important role in microbial metabolism [180], bottom-up strategies have been described to explore posttranslational modifications [202]. Protein imaging based upon matrix assisted laser desorption ionization MS typically offers much higher lateral resolution than LAST, but can suffer from relatively poor protein coverage and a frequently challenging sample preparation for biofilms and other bacterial communities [27, 59, 203].

In conclusion, *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate studied here is part of a three species polymicrobial biofilm, along with clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* and *Clostridium perfringens*, that was initially developed to understand *in vitro* growth of chronic wounds [171]. The proteomic results reported here are now being applied to refining computational metabolic models of multi-species interactions, such as between *P. aeruginosa* and
methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} [187], and eventually with all three species. This will help understand the dynamics and responses to environmental perturbations for the broader impact of devising better therapeutic treatments for chronic wound patients.
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