Niacin extended-release/simvastatin combination therapy produces larger favorable changes in high-density lipoprotein particles than atorvastatin monotherapy

Background: The purpose of this research was to compare the effects of niacin extended-release in combination with simvastatin (NER/S) versus atorvastatin monotherapy on high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particle number and size in patients with hyperlipidemia or dyslipidemia from the SUPREME study.

Methods: This was a post hoc analysis of patients (n = 137) who completed the SUPREME study and who had lipid particle number and size measurements at both baseline and at week 12 by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Following ≥4 weeks without lipid-modifying therapy (washout period), the patients received NER/S 1000/40 mg/day for 4 weeks followed by NER/S 2000/40 mg/day for 8 weeks, or atorvastatin 40 mg/day for 12 weeks. Median percent changes in HDL particle number and size from baseline to week 12 were compared between the NER/S and atorvastatin treatment groups using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Distribution of HDL particle subclasses at week 12 was compared between the treatment groups using the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test.

Results: Treatment with NER/S resulted in a significantly greater percent reduction in small HDL particle number at week 12 compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (−1.8% versus 4.2%, P = 0.014), and a numerically greater percent increase in large HDL particle number (102.4% versus 39.2%, P = 0.078) compared with atorvastatin monotherapy. A significantly greater percent increase in HDL particle size from baseline at week 12 was observed with NER/S compared with atorvastatin (6.0% versus 1.3%, P < 0.001). NER/S treatment also resulted in a significant shift in HDL particle size from small and medium at baseline to large at week 12 (P < 0.0001).

Conclusion: Treatment with NER/S resulted in larger favorable changes in number and size of HDL particle subclasses compared with atorvastatin monotherapy, including a numerically greater increase in number of large HDL particles, and a significantly greater decrease in number of small HDL particles compared with atorvastatin monotherapy. In addition, NER/S treatment resulted in a significant change in HDL particle size distribution from small and medium to large.
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Introduction

Atherogenic dyslipidemia is highly prevalent, especially in patients with insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus. Atherogenic dyslipidemia increases the risk for coronary heart disease and peripheral vascular disease, and remains a serious public health concern.
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Atherogenic dyslipidemia is comprised of the so-called lipid triad, ie, elevated levels of plasma triglycerides, increased numbers of small, dense low-density lipoprotein (LDL) particles with normal or slightly elevated LDL cholesterol levels, and lower numbers of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particles, primarily due to fewer large, cholesterol-rich (HDL₂) particles with either no change or increased numbers of small, dense (HDL₃) particles.⁴

Large, prospective, global, epidemiological studies have observed that high LDL cholesterol and low HDL cholesterol are among the most important predictors of future cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, and death.⁵–⁷ Recent studies employing lipoprotein subclass fractionation and measurement techniques⁸ have shown that increased numbers of LDL particles are associated with increased risk for coronary heart disease, with small, dense LDL particles usually having greater association with coronary heart disease.⁹ Furthermore, particle number, rather than LDL cholesterol levels, has been shown to be a better predictor of development of atherosclerotic disease and sustaining acute cardiovascular events.¹⁰–¹² Reduced numbers of HDL particles independently predict coronary heart disease, and show a strong inverse correlation with risk for developing cardiovascular disease.¹³,¹⁴ Large HDL₂ particles appear to have an inverse association, whereas small HDL particle associations are more controversial, with some studies reporting positive associations with coronary heart disease prevalence,¹⁵,¹⁶ and others suggesting cardioprotective effects of HDL₃ particles.⁴,¹⁷

Pharmacological interventions inducing less atherogenic lipid profiles are desirable in dyslipidemic patients who fail to respond to therapeutic lifestyle changes as the initial step in lipid management. Statins are the first-line medication widely prescribed to lower LDL cholesterol levels and lower LDL particle numbers; however, their effect on HDL particles is modest.¹⁸ Niacin is the most effective agent currently available for raising HDL cholesterol, and when used in combination with simvastatin, has shown a decrease in atherogenic particle numbers to a greater extent than atorvastatin monotherapy.¹⁹ Moreover, niacin monotherapy has been shown to reduce risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke.²⁰

The objective of this analysis was to compare the effects of niacin extended-release in combination with simvastatin (NER/S) versus atorvastatin monotherapy on HDL particle number and size in a post hoc analysis of patients with hyperlipidemia or dyslipidemia from the SUPREME study (Study to Compare the Lipid Effects of Niacin ER and Simvastatin to Atorvastatin in Subjects with Hyperlipidemia or Mixed Dyslipidemia).

### Materials and methods

#### Study design

SUPREME was a prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded-endpoint, 12-week, multicenter clinical study in the US comparing the efficacy and safety of NER/S combination therapy with atorvastatin monotherapy. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and all patients gave written informed consent.²¹

The details of the SUPREME study have been described elsewhere.²¹ In brief, patients eligible for inclusion were men and women ≥21 years of age, with primary type II hyperlipidemia or mixed dyslipidemia (LDL cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL and <250 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol <40/50 mg/dL for men/women, and triglycerides <350 mg/dL) following the National Cholesterol Education Program therapeutic lifestyle changes diet. Patients were required to discontinue lipid medication 4–5 weeks prior to randomization. The major exclusion criteria were creatine phosphokinase ≥3 × the upper limit of normal (ULN), alanine aminotransferase ≥1.3 × ULN, aspartate aminotransferase ≥1.3 × ULN, calculated creatinine clearance <30 mL/minute, glycosylated hemoglobin ≥9%, uric acid levels ≥1.3 × ULN, poorly controlled type 1 or 2 diabetes, persistent, uncontrolled hypertension, and pregnancy. Following 4 weeks of a therapeutic lifestyle changes diet and washout of any pretrial lipid treatment, eligible patients were randomized centrally in a 3:2 ratio to treatment arms (NER/S:atorvastatin). The dosing schedule was NER/S 1000/40 mg/day for 4 weeks, followed by NER/S 2000/40 mg/day for 8 weeks; or atorvastatin 40 mg/day for 12 weeks.

#### Analysis

Patients who completed the SUPREME study and had lipid particle concentration and size measurements at both baseline and at week 12 were included in this analysis. Fasting plasma samples were analyzed to determine lipoprotein particle size (diameter [nm]) and number (concentration [μmol/L]) by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (LipoProfile-II Test⁶, LipoScience Inc, Raleigh, NC).²²,²³ Differences in distribution of HDL particle subclasses defined as large (>8.8–13 nm), medium (>8.2–8.8 nm), and small (7.3–8.2 nm) at week 12 were compared between treatment groups based on the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test. Median percent...
changes in HDL particle number and size from baseline to week 12 were compared between treatment groups using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

**Results**

A total of 137 patients in the treatment groups of NER/S (n = 74) or atorvastatin (n = 63) were included in the analysis. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the two treatment groups were well matched. The mean age was 53.6 years, 43% of patients were male, and 15% of patients had diabetes mellitus. Baseline lipid/lipoprotein values were similar between the NER/S versus atorvastatin treatment groups, with mean LDL cholesterol levels of 162.4 mg/dL versus 168.0 mg/dL, mean HDL cholesterol levels 39.9 mg/dL versus 37.6 mg/dL, and median triglycerides 174.3 mg/dL versus 175.5 mg/dL.

Median percent changes in HDL particle number from baseline following 12 weeks of treatment are shown in Figure 1. NER/S combination therapy resulted in a reduction in small HDL particle number compared with atorvastatin monotherapy, and the difference in median percent change was statistically significant between the two treatment groups (−1.8% versus 4.2%, P = 0.014). The median percent change in the number of large HDL particles was numerically greater for NER/S combination therapy compared with atorvastatin monotherapy, although the difference did not reach statistical significance (102.4% versus 39.2%, P = 0.078). In addition, NER/S treatment resulted in a significantly greater median (interquartile range Q1, Q3) percent increase in HDL particle size from baseline, 6.0% (2.7%, 8.7%) versus 1.3% (−0.5%, 3.0%) compared with atorvastatin (P < 0.001).

NER/S treatment resulted in a significant shift in HDL particle size from small and medium at baseline to large at week 12 (P < 0.0001, Figure 2). A higher proportion of patients with large HDL particles was observed at week 12 after combination NER/S treatment (60.8%) compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (12.7%). Similarly, a lower proportion of patients with small HDL particles after NER/S treatment (1.4%) was observed compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (9.5%) at week 12.

**Safety**

The safety profiles of NER/S combination therapy and atorvastatin monotherapy were consistent with the established safety profiles of these medications as reported earlier for the overall population from the SUPREME study. In the NER/S group, 82% of patients experienced treatment-emergent adverse events versus 41% of patients in the atorvastatin monotherapy group (P < 0.001). The adverse event of flushing primarily accounted for the higher percentage of patients who experienced treatment-emergent adverse events in the NER/S group compared with the atorvastatin group (66.2% versus 11.1%, P < 0.001).

**Discussion**

In this post hoc analysis of patients with dyslipidemia, NER/S treatment resulted in larger favorable changes in antiatherogenic HDL particles than atorvastatin monotherapy, as evidenced by a significantly greater decrease in small HDL particle number, a numerically greater increase in large HDL particle number, and a significantly greater increase in HDL particle size. NER/S treatment also resulted in a favorable shift in the distribution of HDL particles from small and
small (7.3–8.2). The simvastatin.

Abbreviations: NER/S combination therapy and atorvastatin.

test and is shown for significant comparison in HDL particle size distribution between

4 fold compared with atorvastatin,19 these effects of NER/S and a significant increase of apoprotein A-I levels by 7.2-

ticles, greater increases in particle size for LDL and VLDL,

percent reductions in number of atherogenic LDL particles,

metabolism and lipoprotein particle maturation reactions.

In patients with atherogenic dyslipidemia and insulin resis-
tive functions, including an ability to mature into larger HDL2

atheroprotective.27 The role of HDL3 particles in prevent-
ing atherogenesis on the other hand is less clear.27,28 Some

studies have reported that HDL3 is significantly associated

with coronary heart disease, particularly in patients with

metabolic syndrome because small HDL particles coincide

with low HDL cholesterol levels and can be functionally

compromised in patients with metabolic syndrome.4,16 Other

studies associate the small HDL3 particle with atheroprotec-
tive functions, including an ability to mature into larger HDL2

and promote reverse cholesterol transport, and to antagonize

and inhibit inflammation and oxidation within blood vessel

walls.29–31 Discordance in these data may reflect the complex

relationships between HDL subclasses, methods of fraction-

ation, physiological versus pathological conditions (such as

metabolic diseases or presence of coronary artery disease),
or treatment effects with different lipid-modifying drugs.

Nevertheless, niacin therapy results in a shift from small to

large HDL particles, suggesting that niacin promotes more

lipidation and maturation of HDL particles.32

Several cardiovascular outcomes and imaging studies

with extended-release niacin in combination with a statin,
such as HATS (HDL-Atherosclerosis Treatment Study) and

ARBITER-6-HALTS (Arterial Biology for the Investigation of
the Treatment Effects of Reducing Cholesterol 6-HDL
and LDL Treatment Strategies in Atherosclerosis), have
shown favorable effects on hard clinical outcomes or on

surrogate endpoints (such as carotid intima media thickness)
in patients at high risk and on statin therapy.33,34 However,
the recent premature termination of the AIMP-HIGH study
due to futility raised the question about whether adding
extended-release niacin is an effective treatment strategy
for reducing the risk of cardiovascular events in patients
with established cardiovascular disease and already very low
LDL cholesterol (mean 71 mg/dL) and non-HDL cholesterol
(mean 106 mg/dL) levels on statin or statin/ezetimibe therapy.

Figure 2 Distribution of HDL particles in groups treated with NER/S (2000/40 mg/day) combination therapy and atorvastatin monotherapy (40 mg/day) at baseline and week 12. The difference in HDL particle size distribution at week 12 between NER/S and atorvastatin after adjusting for HDL particle size at baseline is highly significant (P < 0.0001). Size ranges of HDL particle subclasses were large (8.8–13 nm), medium (8.2–8.8 nm), and small (7.3–8.2). The P value is from the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test and is shown for significant comparison in HDL particle size distribution between NER/S combination therapy and atorvastatin monotherapy.

Abbreviations: HDL, high-density lipoprotein; NER/S, extended-release niacin-
simvastatin.
Despite such a debate, use of NER/S in certain patient populations affords an opportunity for comprehensive management of all modifiable lipid risk factors in the prevention/treatment of cardiovascular disease. Advantages of such combination therapy may include its use in patients needing treatment for the atherogenic lipid triad in insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and in cardiovascular disease, in patients who need additional LDL cholesterol and/or non-HDL cholesterol reduction following implementation of the maximum dose of statins, and the use of lower doses of statins in patients who cannot tolerate higher doses because of adverse events (eg, myopathy).

In conclusion, treatment with NER/S resulted in a numerically greater increase in numbers of large HDL particles, and a significantly greater decrease in small HDL particles compared with atorvastatin monotherapy. In addition, NER/S treatment resulted in a significant change in HDL particle size distribution from small and medium to large. In patients with hyperlipidemia or dyslipidemia, NER/S was associated with significant improvements in multiple components of the lipid profile, including HDL cholesterol.
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