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Objective: The aim of this article is to summarize the recommended updates to the 2001 Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop (STRAW) criteria. The 2011 STRAW + 10 reviewed advances in understanding of the critical changes in hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian function that occur before and after the final menstrual period.

Methods: Scientists from five countries and multiple disciplines evaluated data from cohort studies of midlife women and in the context of chronic illness and endocrine disorders on change in menstrual, endocrine, and ovarian markers of reproductive aging including antimüllerian hormone, inhibin-B, follicle-stimulating hormone, and antral follicle count. Modifications were adopted by consensus.

Results: STRAW + 10 simplified bleeding criteria for the early and late menopausal transition, recommended modifications to criteria for the late reproductive stage (Stage -3) and the early postmenopause stage (Stage +1), provided information on the duration of the late transition (Stage -1) and early postmenopause (Stage +1), and recommended application regardless of women’s age, ethnicity, body size, or lifestyle characteristics.

Conclusions: STRAW + 10 provides a more comprehensive basis for assessing reproductive aging in research and clinical contexts. Application of the STRAW + 10 staging system should improve comparability of studies of midlife women and facilitate clinical decision making. Nonetheless, important knowledge gaps persist, and seven research priorities are identified. (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97: 1159–1168, 2012)
final menstrual period. These advances were the topic of a follow-up workshop “STRAW + 10: Addressing the Unfinished Agenda of Staging Reproductive Aging” (STRAW + 10). STRAW + 10, held in Washington, DC, on September 20 and 21, 2011, reviewed these scientific advances and updated the STRAW criteria. The sponsors were the National Institute on Aging (NIA) and Office of Research on Women’s Health (ORWH) of the National Institutes of Health, The North American Menopause Society (NAMS), the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), the International Menopause Society (IMS), and the Endocrine Society. The STRAW + 10 workshop achieved the following aims:

1. to reevaluate criteria for the onset of late reproductive life and early menopausal transition, given new population-based data relating to follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), antral follicle count (AFC), antimüllerian hormone (AMH), and inhibin-B;
2. to reevaluate criteria for staging postmenopause, given new population-based data on changes in FSH and estradiol concentrations after the final menstrual period (FMP);
3. to reevaluate applicability to women based on variations in body size, lifestyle characteristics, and health status; and
4. to identify remaining gaps in scientific knowledge and research priorities.

BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

The menopausal transition marks a period of physiologic changes as women approach reproductive senescence. Evidence supports the clinical importance of the transition for many women as a period of temporal changes in health and quality of life (ie, vasomotor symptoms, sleep disturbance, depression) and longer-term changes in several health outcomes (ie, urogenital symptoms, bone, lipids) (6–15) that may influence women’s quality of life and the likelihood of healthy aging. As a standardized staging system for reproductive aging, STRAW made a substantial contribution to women’s health research by providing consistent classification of menopause status for studies of midlife women. Importantl, STRAW facilitated research that aimed to distinguish the health effects of ovarian versus somatic aging. The STRAW staging system also serves as a clinical tool for women and their healthcare providers to guide the assessment of fertility, contraceptive needs, and healthcare decision making (16, 17).

Building upon previous consensus meetings of the World Health Organization and the Council of Affiliated Menopause Societies, (18) STRAW reevaluated nomenclature, proposed a standardized staging system, and recommended criteria for defining the onset of each stage. STRAW participants evaluated potential criteria including menstrual cycles; endocrinologic parameters including FSH, estradiol, AMH, and inhibin-B; symptoms; fertility; and ovarian imaging including AFC. Of the candidate biomarkers considered in 2001, only FSH was consistently measurable in a clinical setting. Data were insufficient to define quantitative criteria for FSH or to clarify the precise timing of change in FSH levels. Information on AFC and on the relationship among AMH, inhibin-B, and the timing of ovarian aging was limited. Symptoms were considered to be subjective and acknowledged not to be universally experienced. STRAW therefore restricted staging recommendations to menstrual cycle bleeding criteria and qualitative FSH criteria.

Seven Stages of the 2001 STRAW Staging System

STRAW divided the adult female life into three broad phases: reproductive, the menopausal transition, and postmenopause. These three phases included a total of seven stages centered on the FMP (Stage 0) (1–4). The reproductive phase was divided into Stages -5, -4, and -3 corresponding to early, peak, and late, respectively. The menopausal transition phase consisted of Stage -2 (early) and Stage -1 (late), and the postmenopause phase contained Stages +1 (early) and +2 (late). Stage -3 was characterized by regular menstrual cycles and increasing levels of FSH. Stage -2 was characterized by variability in menstrual cycle length and increased levels of FSH. Stage -1 was characterized by onset of skipped cycles or amenorrhea of at least 60 days and continued elevation of FSH.

The ReSTAGE Collaboration

The ReSTAGE Collaboration subsequently conducted empirical analyses to assess the validity and reliability of the 2001 STRAW’s original menstrual cycle criteria in four cohort studies—the TREMIN study, the Melbourne Women’s Midlife Health Project, the Seattle Midlife Women’s Health Study, and the Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation (SWAN). Findings supported STRAW’s recommendations, provided more precise specification of menstrual criteria for early and late transition, and recommended a quantitative cutpoint for FSH levels characteristic of the late transition (19–22).

Generalizability

A limitation of the original STRAW was its recommendation, based on the available evidence, that the staging system only be applied to healthy women. STRAW explicitly recommended against applying the criteria to seven subgroups of women, (1–4) including smokers (19% of US women aged 45–64 y23), women with a body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m² (38% of US women (24)), and
METHODS

STRAW + 10 involved a 2-day in-person meeting hosted at the 2011 Annual Meeting of NAMS. On the first day, international experts gave oral presentations reviewing recent data bearing on the goals, as part of a public symposium, followed by comments and discussion from the audience. The first two sessions focused on data from prospective cohort studies of midlife women; clinical findings related to changes in patterns and levels of menstrual, endocrine, and ovarian markers of reproductive aging; and data relevant to how these trajectories vary by ethnicity, body size, and smoking status. A particular focus was on patterns of change in AMH, inhibin-B, FSH, estradiol, and AFC and their interrelationships. A third session focused on emerging evidence related to staging reproductive aging in the context of cancer treatment, chronic illness including cancer and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), and endocrine disorders including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and primary ovarian insufficiency (POI, otherwise known as premature ovarian failure). At the end of day 1, a panel reviewed and the participants discussed modifications that had been proposed by symposium speakers. STRAW + 10 explicitly considered the feasibility of applying criteria in low-resource countries.

Subsequently, 41 scientists convened to develop consensus and propose modifications to the STRAW staging system. These participants had clinical and/or research experience in female reproductive aging and included scientists from several key research groups in the United States, Canada, Australia, the Netherlands, and South Africa, as well as representatives from National Institutes of Health–funded cohort studies of midlife women that have biologic samples (59) including the SWAN, Michigan Bone Health and Metabolism Study, Seattle Midlife Women’s Health Study, Biodemographic Models of Reproductive Aging, and the Penn Ovarian Aging Study as well as the Australian Melbourne Women’s Midlife Health Project and junior investigators who submitted qualifying posters.

Three breakout groups were formed based on scientific expertise and interest. Group 1 reviewed criteria for STRAW Stages -4 to -2. Group 2 reviewed criteria for STRAW Stages -1 to +2. Each of these two groups was subdivided into two subgroups and assigned a rapporteur. Each subgroup proposed modifications to the STRAW paradigm separately, considering criteria for the relevant stages in healthy women and the weight of evidence concerning the appropriateness of applying these criteria to smokers and women regardless of body size. Each subgroup then reviewed the recommendations of the other
subgroup and discussed points of disagreement until consensus was reached. Group 3 discussed staging in the context of endocrine disorders and chronic illness and proposed modifications.

On the second day, the scientists reconvened to review and discuss proposed modifications to the STRAW staging system. First, Group 1 and Group 2 reviewed the other group’s recommendations. In this way, all groups reviewed all stages under consideration (Stages -4 to +2). Afterward, the group-at-large met to discuss each proposal, and final recommendations were adopted by consensus. Points of disagreement were discussed until consensus was achieved on common principles. In general, disagreements reflected points for which data was not yet adequate to make a recommendation. Preliminary recommendations of the STRAW + 10 were presented at the NAMS annual meeting on September 22, with comments and requests for clarification considered by the STRAW + 10 program committee.

RESULTS

STRAW + 10 retained the criteria for an ideal staging system used by the 2001 Workshop. Therefore, a staging system should

1. rely primarily on objective data;
2. use widely available, reliable, noninvasive, and inexpensive tests;
3. allow for prospective classification of women; and
4. permit unambiguous classification of women into a unique stage.

In addition, it was concluded that the modified staging system should

5. retain the same widely accepted nomenclature;
6. consider menstrual cycle criteria to remain the most important criteria given the continuing lack of international standardization of biomarker assays as well as their cost and/or invasiveness, particularly in the context of resource-poor countries;
7. consider biomarker criteria as supportive criteria given the lack of assay standardization (supportive criteria are to be used only as necessary and should not be interpreted as required for diagnosis); and
8. use criteria that are independent of age, symptoms, and pathology (because no universal menopausal syndrome has been established across ethnic groups, (60) two key symptoms are incorporated only as descriptive additional information that may support other criteria in assessing stage (61)).

The revised STRAW + 10 Staging System is presented in Fig. 2. STRAW + 10 recommended the acceptance of the ReSTAGE Collaboration’s more precise and simplified specification of the menstrual cycle criteria for the early and late menopausal transition and concurred with ReSTAGE recommendations that the quantification of the FSH criteria in Stage -1 is possible given the improved standardization of this assay and additional population-based data. In addition, STRAW + 10 recommended modifications to the criteria for the late reproductive stage (Stage -3) as well as the early postmenopause stage (Stage +1) and provided information on the duration of the late transition (Stage -1) and early postmenopause (Stage +1) stages. Although additional biomarkers, especially AMH and AFC, have considerable promise, the lack of standardized assays and data from noninfertility populations remain important limitations to their incorporation into the STRAW staging system and their utility as clinical tools for staging reproductive aging. Nonetheless, the revised STRAW + 10 Staging System includes qualitative criteria for these biomarkers during the late reproductive life when relative changes in these parameters have important consequences for fertility potential.

Definition and Rationale for Key Revisions to the Staging Criteria

Late reproductive stage (Stage -3). The late reproductive stage marks the time when fecundability begins to decline and during which a woman may begin to notice changes in her menstrual cycles. Given that critical endocrine parameters begin to change before overt changes in menstrual cyclicity and that these endocrine changes are important to fertility assessments, STRAW + 10 recommended that the late reproductive stage be subdivided into two substages (-3b and -3a). In Stage -3b, menstrual cycles remain regular without change in length or early follicular phase FSH levels; however, AMH and antral follicle counts are low. Most (53, 62) but not all (63) studies suggest that inhibin-B is also low. In Stage -3a, subtle changes in menstrual cycle characteristics, specifically shorter cycles, (64–66) begin. Early follicular phase (cycle days 2–5) FSH increases and becomes more variable, with the other three markers of ovarian aging being low. The lack of standardized AMH assays prevented the development of quantitative recommendations for this biomarker.

Early menopausal transition (Stage -2). Early menopausal transition is marked by increased variability in menstrual cycle length, defined as a persistent difference of 7 days or more in the length of consecutive cycles. Persistence is defined as recurrence within 10 cycles of the first variable length cycle. Cycles in the early menopausal tran-
sition are also characterized by elevated but variable early follicular phase FSH levels and low AMH levels and AFC.

Late menopausal transition (Stage -1). The late menopausal transition is marked by the occurrence of amenorrhea of 60 days or longer. Menstrual cycles in the late menopausal transition are characterized by increased variability in cycle length, extreme fluctuations in hormonal levels, and increased prevalence of anovulation. In this stage, FSH levels are sometimes elevated into the menopausal range and sometimes within the range characteristic of the earlier reproductive years, particularly in association with high estradiol levels. The development of international standards and the availability of substantive population-based data now permit the definition of quantitative FSH criteria, with levels greater than 25 IU/L in a random blood draw characteristic of being in late transition, based on current international pituitary standards that approximate more than 40 IU/L in the previously used urine-based gonadotropin standards (67–69). Empirical analyses should be undertaken to confirm this recommendation, and researchers and clinicians should carefully evaluate the appropriate FSH value, depending on the assay they use. Based on studies of menstrual calendars and on changes in FSH and estradiol, this stage is estimated to last, on average, 1 to 3 years. Symptoms, most notably vasomotor symptoms, are likely to occur during this stage.

Early postmenopause (Stage +1a, +1b, +1c). New data on the trajectories of change in mean levels of FSH and estradiol (22, 30, 32, 40, 41, 52, 54, 55) indicate that FSH continues to increase and that estradiol continues to decrease until approximately 2 years after the FMP, after which the levels of each of these hormones stabilize. Therefore, STRAW +10 recommended that early postmenopause be subdivided into three substages (+1a, +1b, and +1c).

Stages +1a and +1b each last 1 year and end at the time point at which FSH and estradiol levels stabilize. Stage +1a marks the end of the 12-month period of amenorrhea required to define that the FMP has occurred. It corresponds to the end of “perimenopause,” a term still in common usage that means the time around menopause and begins at Stage -2 and ends 12 months after the FMP. Stage +1b includes the remainder of the period of rapid changes in mean FSH and estradiol levels. Based on studies of hormonal changes, Stages +1a and +1b together are estimated to last, on average, 2 years. Symptoms, most notably vasomotor symptoms, are most likely to occur during this stage.

Stage +1c represents the period of stabilization of high FSH levels and low estradiol values that is estimated to last 3 to 6 years; therefore, the entire early postmenopause lasts approximately 5 to 8 years. Further specification of this stage will require additional studies of trajectories of change in FSH and estradiol from the FMP through the late postmenopause.

Late postmenopause (Stage +2). Stage +2 represents the period in which further changes in reproductive en-
In most cases, staging will be limited to the classification of ovarian aging secondary to pelvic surgery is warranted. In on the nature and duration of alterations in biomarkers of endocrine status, given emerging evidence that pelvic surgeries may transiently raise FSH levels (78–81). Further research is needed to better document the process of ovarian aging in these populations. It is recommended that clinicians and researchers wait at least 3 months after surgery to assess endocrine aging. It is recommended that clinicians and researchers wait at least 3 months after surgery to assess endocrine status, given emerging evidence that pelvic surgeries may transiently raise FSH levels (78–81). Further research on the nature and duration of alterations in biomarkers of ovarian aging secondary to pelvic surgery is warranted. In most cases, staging will be limited to the classification of whether such women are premenopausal or postmenopausal. A single sample for measurement of FSH and estradiol may be ambiguous or misleading, and at least one repeated measurement is often required.

Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

Women with PCOS frequently experience oligomenorrhea that is not attributable to ovarian aging. Therefore, the current menstrual cycle criteria used to stage reproductive aging are not applicable to this population. Understanding of the changes occurring before menopause in this group of women is limited. Some data suggest that women with PCOS may experience a later age at menopause, (48, 82) as well as more regular cycles with reproductive aging (83, 84); however, the experience of reproductive aging in PCOS is not well understood. Similarly, menstrual cycle criteria are not applicable in women with hypothalamic amenorrhea. Studies of reproductive aging in these subgroups of women are considered to be a research priority.

Women with Chronic Illness Undergoing Chemotherapy

Many medications and loss of body fat can cause amenorrhea, which can make the staging of the menopausal transition difficult. Several important subgroups remain difficult to stage yet deserve attention in any staging system (38, 56, 85–88). Depending on the age at treatment and cancer treatment type, a significant proportion of women who undergo cancer treatment, particularly with alkylating agents, may experience transient increases in FSH and decreases in AMH and AFC with return of bleeding even after 12 months or more of amenorrhea (38, 56, 87–89). In these women, resumption of menstrual cycles may not indicate a return of normal menstrual function. Women undergoing treatment with tamoxifen pose an additional problem because FSH and estradiol levels may be altered by this treatment and may therefore be misleading and cause abnormal bleeding (90). Women with chronic illnesses such as HIV–AIDS also pose a problem in the staging of reproductive aging because of the lack of reliability of bleeding patterns and hormonal markers (85, 86). Staging in these women will require assessment with menstrual cycle criteria, the supportive criteria using relevant biomarkers, and age to better characterize their ovarian function. Large prospective cohort studies are needed to better characterize the trajectories of ovarian aging in these populations.

CONCLUSION AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES

STRAW + 10 revised and extended the STRAW recommendations to include additional criteria for defining...
specific stages of reproductive life. The revised staging system provides a more comprehensive basis for classification and assessment, from the late reproductive stage through the menopausal transition and into postmenopause. Its application should improve comparability of studies of midlife women by establishing clear criteria for ascertaining women’s reproductive stage. The STRAW + 10 recommendations are expected to improve guidance for classifying the ovarian status of midlife women in the research setting while advancing efforts to translate this new science for clinicians and women.

Although scientific understanding of ovarian aging has advanced considerably in the last decade, important gaps in scientific knowledge persist. The workshop participants identified seven research priorities.

1. Lack of standardized assays for key biomarkers remains an important limitation in efforts to stage reproductive aging and to translate research findings to cost-effective clinical tools. Given the importance of AMH in relation to fertility and its relative stability across the menstrual cycle, the development of an international standard for the assessment of AMH is of paramount importance.

2. Empirical analysis across multiple cohorts is needed to specify precise menstrual cycle criteria for Stages -3b and -3a.

3. Studies are needed to characterize the hormonal changes of postmenopause from Stage +1 to +2 because data across these stages are limited; several cohort studies are well positioned to provide this information. The development of highly sensitive, well characterized assays is needed.

4. Given that the large cohort studies of midlife women were initiated before the STRAW staging system was developed, these cohorts should be supported to apply the STRAW + 10 staging criteria to reanalyze key findings on the clinical changes that occur across the menopausal transition.

5. Improved characterization of the pattern, timing, and level of reproductive biomarkers across nations is necessary, especially to provide data on the experience of women from low-resource countries.

6. Research is needed to better understand the process of reproductive aging and appropriate staging criteria for women with PCOS and POI and those who have had removal of a single ovary and/or hysterectomy.

7. Research is needed to better evaluate staging in women with chronic illness such as HIV infection and those undergoing cancer treatment.

**SUMMARY**

STRAW + 10 simplified bleeding criteria for the early and late menopausal transition, recommended modifications to criteria for the late reproductive and the early postmenopause stages, provided information on the duration of the late transition and early postmenopause, and recommended application regardless of women’s age, ethnicity, body size, or lifestyle characteristics. Seven research priorities are identified.
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