Understanding Chlorite and Chlorate Formation Associated with Hypochlorite Generation at Boron Doped Diamond Film Anodes
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This research investigated reaction pathways for formation of chloride and chlorate when using boron doped diamond (BDD) film anodes for generating hypochlorite. Batch electrolysis and voltammetry experiments were performed to investigate the rates and potential dependency of hypochlorite and chlorate oxidation. Density functional theory (DFT) modeling was used to investigate possible reaction pathways. The DFT simulations included reactions with hydrogen terminated surfaces, and with surface sites produced by anodic polarization, namely: •C*, =C=H, =C−O* and =C=HO. Oxychlorine radicals (ClO*, ClO4*) were found to chemically adsorb to both secondary and tertiary carbon atoms on the BDD surface. These chemisorbed intermediates could react with hydroxyl radicals to regenerate the original chlorine oxyanion (ClO− or ClO4−), and produce •C=O* and =C=HO sites on the BDD surface. The •C=O* and =C=HO sites also reacted with oxychlorine radicals to form chemisorbed intermediates, which could then be converted to higher oxidation states (ClO− 2−, ClO3−) via reaction with hydroxyl radicals. The predominant pathway for chlorite and chlorate production appears to involve oxidation of HOCl or HClO via direct electron transfer, followed by reaction of ClO− or ClO4− with a hydroxyl radical.

© 2014 The Electrochemical Society. [DOI:10.1149/2.1001413jes] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted July 15, 2014; revised manuscript received September 2, 2014. This was Paper 247 presented at the San Francisco, California, Meeting of the Society, October 27–November 1, 2013.

The use of boron-doped diamond (BDD) film electrodes in water treatment applications is increasing due to their chemical stability and effectiveness in oxidizing a wide variety of organic and inorganic compounds. The oxidizing power of BDD anodes is directly related to their high overpotential for oxygen evolution.1 This feature allows BDD anodes to be polarized to high anodic potentials in aqueous systems while still maintaining high faradaic current efficiencies for target compound oxidation. Oxidation of compounds by BDD anodes has been found to occur via both direct electron transfer and via hydroxyl radicals (HO·) generated from water oxidation.2–7

One proposed use of BDD anodes is for on-site generation of hypochlorite for use as a disinfectant in recirculating cooling systems, drinking water, or swimming pool applications.8 This process utilizes stable anode materials to oxidize chloride ions (Cl−) to hypochlorite ions (OCl−) and hypochlorous acid (HOCl). However, several studies have found significant quantities of chlorite (ClO− 2−) and perchlorate (ClO4−) may be produced when chloride containing solutions are electrolyzed.10–11 All chlorine oxoanions have been detected in electrolyzed chloride solutions, including OCl−, chlorite (ClO− 2−), ClO3− and ClO4−. Batch experiments show that complete conversion of Cl− to ClO4− can be achieved with prolonged electrolysis times.10 Perchlorate production can be minimized in batch and flow-through systems by using low current densities, high mass transfer rates, and high concentrations of chlorides.10 High mass transfer rates, driven by fluid convection, has been suggested to affect the multistep reaction for ClO− 2− formation from Cl−, as Cl− ions are progressively oxidized to higher oxychlorine anions, as illustrated by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl}^- & \rightarrow \text{OCl}^- \rightarrow \text{ClO}^-_2 \rightarrow \text{ClO}^-_3 \rightarrow \text{ClO}_4^- \\
& \text{chloride} \rightarrow \text{hypochlorite} \rightarrow \text{chlorite} \rightarrow \text{chlorate} \rightarrow \text{perchlorate}
\end{align*}
\]  

[1]

High rates of mass transfer near the anode surface result in low concentrations of intermediate products, so that complete chloride oxidation to perchlorate can be minimized. The production of ClO− 2− during electrolysis is problematic due to its carcinogenic potential and its adverse effects on thyroid gland function.11,12 These health risks have prompted the United States Environmental Protection Agency to issue an advisory target of 15 μg/L for perchlorate in drinking water sources.13 Furthermore, two states, California and Massachusetts, have mandated even lower drinking water limits for perchlorate of 6 and 2 μg/L, respectively.14,15

Kinetic studies have shown that the rate-determining step in ClO− 2− formation is the oxidation of ClO− 2− to ClO− 3−. Azizi et al. used molecular modeling and batch experimental studies and determined that the mechanism of ClO− 2− formation on BDD anodes is a two-step process.15 The first step involves the direct oxidation of ClO− 2− to form ClO− 3−, and the second step involves reaction of ClO− 3− with O2·− to form HClO4.15 While these studies helped elucidate the mechanism for ClO− 2− formation from ClO− 3−, mechanistic understanding of the pathways responsible for ClO− 4− formation is lacking.

The goal of this research was to develop plausible reaction pathways for oxidizing HOCl and OCl− to form HClO4 and ClO− 4− at BDD anodes. Towards that end, batch electrolysis experiments, linear sweep and cyclic voltammetry, and density functional theory (DFT) simulations were conducted. Direct electron transfer reactions were modeled for generating hydroxyl (HO·) and ClO− 4− radicals. Additionally, reactions of these species with each other and with sites on the BDD surface were investigated. Mechanisms in which ClO− 4− radicals chemisorb to the anode surface were also considered, and several reaction pathways between HOCl/OCl− and HClO4/ClO− 4− were elucidated. This information will be useful in developing mathematical models for electrochemical cells used for producing hypochlorite from chloride solutions.

Materials and Methods

Reagents.— All chemicals were reagent grade and obtained from Fisher Scientific or Sigma Aldrich. Chemicals were used as received without additional purification. Solutions were made from Milli-Q Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ·cm at 21°C).

Electrodes.— All electrodes used were ultranocrystalline BDD films on p-silicon substrates (Advanced Diamond Technologies, Romeoville, IL). The BDD films were deposited by chemical vapor deposition and grown to a thickness of approximately 2 μm using 750–12000 parts-per-million of trimethyl borane in flowing CH4 at temperatures between 700–800°C. As prepared BDD films had measured resistivities between 0.05–0.1 ohm-cm.

Voltammetry.— Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments at a scan rate of 100 mV/s were conducted to determine the potentials at which several chlorine oxyanions underwent direct electron transfer reactions at the BDD surface. Experiments were conducted using a 0.35 cm2 BDD electrode, a 12 cm long by 0.3 mm diameter Pt wire counter electrode, and a Hg/HgSO4 reference electrode saturated with K2SO4. Currents and electrode potentials were controlled and measured using a Gamry Reference 600 potentiostat/galvanostat (Warminster, PA). Potentials are reported versus the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE).
Batch oxidation experiments.— Reaction rates for ClO$_2^-$ oxidation were measured at constant anodic potentials using a rotating disk electrode (RDE), rotated at 6000 revolutions per minute to eliminate mass transfer effects on the measured reaction rates. Experiments were performed over a temperature range of 10 to 40 °C using a recirculating water bath (Thermo Electron Corp., Neslab RTE7). Batch oxidation experiments utilized the electrode setup described for voltammetry experiments. However, batch experiments used a Nafion N115 membrane (Ion Power, Inc., New Castle, DE) to separate the anode and cathode compartments of the cell in order to isolate the anodic reactions. Starting ClO$_2^-$ concentrations of 1 mM in a 100 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ background electrolyte were used.

Analytical methods.— Concentrations of ClO$_2^-$ and ClO$_3^-$ were determined by ion chromatography ( Dionex ICS-3000, Dionex IonPac AS16 column; KOH eluant; 1 mL/min eluant flow rate). Free available chlorine concentrations were measured using Hach method 8021. An Accumat model 25 pH probe was used to measure the solution pH.

Simulation clusters.— Two different molecular clusters were used to model the diamond electrode surface: a previously described 10-carbon atom cluster,\textsuperscript{16,17} shown in Figure 1a, and a previously described 34-carbon atom cluster with a central boron atom,\textsuperscript{18,19} shown in Figure 1b. These structures contain three distinct types of surface sites, labeled as ≡CH, =CH$_2$, and B≡CH.

In addition to simulations using hydrogen-terminated structures, simulations were also performed with functional groups produced by anodic polarization. Freshly prepared BDD surfaces are terminated with hydrogen atoms.\textsuperscript{1,20–22} However, anodic polarization results in oxidation of the surface to produce carboxyl (≡C=O), carbonyl (≡C=O), and aldehyde (=CHO) functional groups. These functional groups have a major effect on the physical, chemical and electronic characteristics of the BDD surface, and impact the mechanism of both anodic and cathodic reactions.\textsuperscript{16,17} These functional groups may also become oxidized themselves under anodic polarization, and anodically polarized BDD surfaces are believed to contain carbon radical (≡C*), carboxyl radical (≡C=O*), and aldehyde radical (=CHO*) functional groups.\textsuperscript{19}

Density functional theory (DFT) modeling.— All DFT calculations were performed with the DMol3 package in the Accelrys Materials Studio modeling suite on a personal computer.\textsuperscript{23–25} All simulations used double-numeric with polarization (DNP) basis sets and the gradient-corrected Becke-Lee-Yang-Parr (BLYP) functionals for orbitals. These functionals have a major effect on the physical, chemical and electronic characteristics of the BDD surface, and impact the mechanism of both anodic and cathodic reactions.\textsuperscript{16,17} These functional groups may also become oxidized themselves under anodic polarization, and anodically polarized BDD surfaces are believed to contain carbon radical (≡C*), carboxyl radical (≡C=O*), and aldehyde radical (=CHO*) functional groups.

Chlorite formation.— LSV experiments were performed to determine the potential at which HOCl and OCI$^-$ were oxidized at the BDD surface. Figure 2 shows LSV scans with varying concentrations of HOCl in a 1 M KH$_2$PO$_4$ background electrolyte (pH = 4.5). Increased concentrations of HOCl showed increased anodic current at potentials $>$ 1.7 V/SHE. This indicates that there is oxidation of HOCl via direct electron transfer to the BDD surface. These results also show that HOCl is oxidized at lower potentials than water. Similar experiments were also performed at an alkaline pH of 11.3 in a 1 M NaClO$_4$ electrolyte in order to observe if the deprotonated OCI$^-$ species also underwent direct electron transfer. The LSV scans shown in Figure 3 indicate that OCI$^-$ is oxidized at lower potentials than HOCl, as indicated by the increased anodic current observed in the presence of OCI$^-$ at potentials $>$ 1.5 V/SHE. Once again these results indicate that OCI$^-$ is oxidized at lower potentials than water oxidation, via a direct electron transfer reaction.

Linear sweep voltammetry scans were also performed in a 1 M NaCl background electrolyte with varying amounts of OCI$^-$ to assess if surface site competition existed between OCI$^-$ and Cl$^-$. The data in Figure 4 shows that OCI$^-$ oxidation occurs at approximately the same potential as shown in Figure 3 with the NaClO$_4$ electrolyte ($\sim$1.5 V/SHE), which corresponds to lower potentials than are necessary to oxidize Cl$^-$. Based on these results, it is expected that oxidation of HOCl/OCI$^-$ will occur at the potentials present during hypochlorite production from Cl$^-$ solutions. The reaction mechanisms responsible for the observations in Figures 2–4 were investigated using DFT simulations. Since BDD anodes are known to generate hydroxyl radicals, reactions of HO$^*$ and are less than the errors in the calculation of the reaction energies themselves, which may be up to 16 kJ/mol.\textsuperscript{31}

The activation energies ($E_a$) for direct electron transfer as a function of the electrode potential were calculated by the method of Anderson and Kang.\textsuperscript{18,32} Reactant energies were calculated as a function of the reaction coordinate, defined as the bond length of the bond that was formed or broken during the reaction. Product energies were calculated using the atomic positions determined from the optimized reactant structures, followed by self-consistent field optimization of the electronic configurations. The energy of the free electron on the vacuum scale was adjusted to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) scale by subtracting 4.6 eV.\textsuperscript{3,15} Product energies were adjusted to reflect the electrode potential by shifting the energy profile of the product species downwards by 96.5 kJ/mol (i.e., 1.0 eV) to increase the electrode potential by 1.0 V and upwards by 96.5 kJ/mol to decrease the electrode potential by 1.0 V. Intersection of the product and reactant energy profiles yields the bond length of transition state and the activation energy for the reaction.\textsuperscript{32} Simulations involving solvated protons included two explicit water molecules to more accurately mimic physical reality.\textsuperscript{33}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{a) 10-carbon structure used for simulating the diamond surface. The structure is C$_{10}$H$_{16}$; b) 34-carbon structure used for simulating boron-doped sites near the diamond surface. The structure is C$_{34}$BH$_{36}$, and the B≡CH site is indicated. Atom key: carbon, gray; hydrogen, white; boron, pink.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Linear sweep voltammetry scans in 1 M KH$_2$PO$_4$ with varying concentrations of HOCl at pH = 4.5; Scan rate = 100 mV s$^{-1}$; $T$ = 22°C.}
\end{figure}
with OCl− and HOCl in homogeneous solution were also considered. The HClO2− structure formed by reacting HO with OCl− was found to be unstable, suggesting this reaction does not occur. However, it was found that a HO• radical was able to abstract a H atom from HOCl to produce the OCl• species, as shown in reaction 2:

$$\text{HOCl} + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{OCl}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad [2]$$

DFT calculations indicate that this reaction is activationless and has a reaction energy of $E_1 = -127$ kJ/mol. The hypochlorite radical (OCl•) formed in reaction 2 may also be produced via a direct electron transfer reaction between HOCl and the electrode via reaction 3:

$$\text{HOCl} \rightarrow [\text{HOCl}]^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{OCl}^* + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad [3]$$

Figure 5 shows the activation energy for reaction 3 as a function of electrode potential. Calculations indicate that at room temperature this reaction should begin to occur at measurable rates at potentials above $\sim 1.9$ V/SHE (where the $E_s$ drops below 40 kJ/mol), and the reaction is activationless at potentials $>2.36$ V/SHE. These modeling results compare well with the LSV results in Figure 2, which showed increased current due to direct electron transfer from HOCl to the BDD surface at potentials $>1.7$ V/SHE. DFT calculations for the oxidation of water to form HO• is also shown in Figure 5. The greater activation barriers for direct H2O versus HOCl oxidation agree with the LSV scans which show that HOCl oxidation occurs at lower potentials than H2O oxidation. This means that the direct electron transfer pathway to produce OCl• radicals (reaction 3) can occur at lower potentials than the chemical pathway (reaction 2).

Both HO• and OCl• radicals are present in the solution near the electrode surface, so the combination of the two radicals to form

$$\text{HOCl} + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{OCl}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Figure 3. Linear sweep voltammetry scans in 1 M NaClO4 with varying concentrations of OCl− at pH = 11.3; Scan rate = 100 mV s−1; $T = 22^\circ\text{C}$.

Figure 4. Linear sweep voltammetry scans in 1 M NaCl with varying concentrations of OCl− at pH = 11.3; Scan rate = 100 mV s−1; $T = 22^\circ\text{C}$.
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Figure 6. Scheme for OCl– interaction at oxidized diamond surface sites, including (a) ≡C* site, (b) ≡C–H site, (c) ≡C–O site, and (d) ≡CH–O site. Atom key for electronic version of manuscript: carbon, gray; hydrogen, white; oxygen, red; chlorine, green.

Figure 7. Scheme for hypochlorite and chlorite generation at a boron-doped surface site. Atom key for electronic version of manuscript: carbon, gray; hydrogen, white; oxygen, red; chlorine, green; boron, pink.
and an overall energy change of $\Delta E = -42 \text{ kJ/mol}$. This low activation energy indicates that this reaction could proceed at room temperatures.

Similarly, Figure 6d shows a two-step scheme for HClO$_2$ production at the oxidized edge site:

\[ -\text{CH-O}^* + \text{OCI}^* \rightarrow -\text{CH-O-ClO} \quad [11] \]

\[ -\text{CH-O-ClO} + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow -\text{CH-O}^* + \text{HClO}_2 \quad [12] \]

Both steps are activationless, with $\Delta E = -48 \text{ kJ/mol}$ for reaction 11 and $\Delta E = -82 \text{ kJ/mol}$ for reaction 12.

DFT calculations were also performed to investigate reactions between OCIP$^*$ radicals and functional groups on the 34-carbon atom cluster shown in Figure 1b. Figure 7a shows a two-step reaction scheme for the oxidation of HClO$_2$ by C=O$^*$ sites in the presence of OCIP$^*$ and HO$^*$ radicals:

\[ \text{B=C}^* + \text{OCI}^* \rightarrow \text{B=C-OCl} \quad [13] \]

\[ \text{B=C-OCl} + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{B=C-O}^* + \text{HClO} \quad [14] \]

Reaction 13 has an activation energy of 9.9 kJ/mol, with $\Delta E = -38.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$ and reaction 14 is activationless with $\Delta E = -79 \text{ kJ/mol}$.

Reactions at the B=C-O$^*$ sites were also investigated to determine if they also catalyzed HClO$_2$ production. Figure 7b shows a two-step reaction scheme for HClO$_2$ production at a B=C-O$^*$ site:

\[ \text{B=C-O}^* + \text{OCI}^* \rightarrow \text{B=C-O-ClO} \quad [15] \]

\[ \text{B=C-O-ClO} + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{B=C-O}^* + \text{HClO}_2 \quad [16] \]

The chemisorption reaction has an activation energy of 13 kJ/mol with an energy change of $\Delta E = -49 \text{ kJ/mol}$ for reaction 15. The subsequent oxidation step (reaction 16) is activationless and an overall energy change of $\Delta E = -71.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$.

The contrast between the boron-doped sites (B=C$^*$ and B=C-O$^*$) and bulk surface sites (≡C$^*$ and ≡C-O$^*$) in energy change and activation energy demonstrates an important feature of the radical carbon site above the boron atom, which we term the “trampoline” carbon. When bonded to only the three neighboring carbons, the trampoline carbon retreats into the cluster, borrowing electron density from the boron atom and the trampoline carbon. Thus, the energy change for chemisorption in Figure 7a is less negative at the trampoline carbon than bulk surface sites, shown in Figure 6a and 6b. However, the trampoline carbon can also extend toward the surface to stabilize other radical species in solution. In this case, the boron atom withdraws electron density from the carbon radical surface atom, likely creating the activation energy for adsorption of the OCIP$^*$ radicals at the B=C$^*$ and B=C-O$^*$ sites, compared to activationless adsorption at the ≡C$^*$ and ≡C-O$^*$ sites.

These results indicate that oxygen radical sites act catalytically in producing HClO$_2$. These oxygenated sites may be generated by reaction with OCI$^*$ radicals, in which the oxygen atom attacks a carbon radical surface site, as shown in Figure 6a-6b and Figure 7a, or via oxidation by HO$^*$ produced from water oxidation. Carbon radical surface sites do not interact with the chlorine atoms of OCI$^*$ radicals. The abundance of pathways that are activationless or require low activation energies, as summarized in Figure 6 and Figure 7, suggest that the surface may be involved in HClO$_2$ production by chemisorbing radical species, stabilizing them and giving them a longer life-time to subsequently react with HO$^*$ to form HClO$_2$.

**Chlorate formation.** Figure 8 shows CV scans with varying concentrations of NaClO$_3$ in a 100 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ background electrolyte. Two distinct peaks are observed that increased upon increasing concentration of NaClO$_3$. The first peak likely corresponds to the oxidation of HO$^*$ to ClO$^*_2$. However, the second oxidation peak occurs at much higher potentials, where HO$^*$ are generated, and based on the position of the peak (E = 2.5 V/SHE), it likely represents the oxidation of HClO$_3$ to ClO$_2^*$ (reaction 19).16 Batch oxidation experimental data indicated that the oxidation of ClO$_2^*$ at 1.64 and 2.64 V/SHE resulted in only ClO$_3^-$ production and other products were not detected in solution. Although the oxidation of ClO$_2^-$ to ClO$_3^-$ is thermodynamically favorable at these potentials, reported rates for ClO$_3^-$ oxidation are an order of magnitude slower than oxidation rates for ClO$_2^-$ oxidation shown in Figure 9,16 which explains the lack of detectable ClO$_3^-$ in solution. The experimentally determined activation energies for the oxidation of ClO$_2^-$ to ClO$_3^-$ were 34 kJ/mol at 1.64 V/SHE and 11 kJ/mol at 2.64 V/SHE, as shown in the Arrhenius plot in Figure 9. Therefore, based on the CV and oxidation experiments, the following mechanism for HClO$_2$ oxidation is proposed:

\[ \text{HClO}_2 \rightarrow [\text{HClO}_2]^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^* + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad [17] \]

\[ \text{ClO}_2^* + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{HClO}_3 \quad [18] \]

\[ \text{HClO}_3 \rightarrow [\text{HClO}_3]^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{ClO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad [19] \]

DFT simulations were used to investigate reaction mechanisms for ClO$_3^-$ and HClO$_2$ production from ClO$_2^-$ and HClO$_2$. Since BDD anodes are known to generate HO$^*$ radicals, the reactions of HO$^*$ with ClO$_2^-$ and HClO$_2$ in homogeneous solution were considered. The HClO$_2$ structure formed by reacting HO$^*$ with ClO$_2^-$ was found to be unstable, suggesting that this reaction does not occur. However, the reaction of HO$^*$ with HClO$_2$ was a route for activationless production of ClO$_2^*$ species via reaction 20:

\[ \text{HClO}_2 + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^* + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad [20] \]

The energy change for reaction 20 is $\Delta E = -21 \text{ kJ/mol}$. The production of ClO$_2^*$ may also occur via a direct electron transfer reaction between HClO$_2$ and the electrode (reaction 17). Figure 10 shows the DFT calculated activation energy for HClO$_2$ oxidation.

**Figure 8.** Polarization curves recorded during cyclic voltammetry on BDD in solutions with varying concentrations of HClO$_2$. Electrolyte = 100 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 4.6.

**Figure 9.** Arrhenius plots for the oxidation of ClO$_2^-$ at 1.64 and 2.64 V/SHE.
oxidation as a function of the electrode potential. Calculations indicated that the uncatalyzed reaction will begin to occur at measurable rates above \( \sim 1.5 \) V/SHE, and becomes activationless at potentials above 2.10 V/SHE. The DFT calculations indicated that the uncatalyzed reaction was likely the rate-determining step for ClO\(_3\)^\(-\) production from HClO\(_2\). The DFT value was 29.3 kJ/mol compared to the experimental value of 34.2 kJ/mol at 1.64 V/SHE, and the DFT value was activationless compared to the experimental value of 11.3 kJ/mol at 2.64 V/SHE. The low measured activation energy at 2.64 V/SHE is in the range associated with activationless processes, and is likely a measure of temperature effects on the composition and thickness of the electrical double layer or the relative adsorption strengths of water, HClO\(_2\), or oxidation products on the BDD surface.

The CV scan shown in Figure 8 indicated that current began to flow at \( \sim 1.0 \) V/SHE upon NaClO\(_2\) addition to solution. The DFT calculations indicated that the activation energy for HClO\(_2\) oxidation at potentials of \( \sim 1.0 \) V/SHE are very high, which suggests that the BDD surface may catalyze this reaction. To investigate if surface sites have catalytic effects on HClO\(_2\) oxidation, the reaction was simulated near the C\(_{10}\)H\(_{16}\) cluster. As shown in Figure 10, the potential for activationless electron transfer decreased from 2.10 V/SHE to 1.55 V/SHE, suggesting the BDD surface can catalyze HClO\(_2\) oxidation. However, the calculated activation energy at \( \sim 1.0 \) V/SHE is still exceedingly high to be a significant reaction pathway at 20°C. Therefore, there may be other sites present on the BDD surface that are able to catalyze reaction 17.

The oxidation of ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) rather than HClO\(_2\) is an alternate explanation for the increase in current beginning at \( \sim 1.0 \) V/SHE. The electron transfer shown in reaction 21 was calculated to be activationless at 0 V/SHE:

\[
\text{ClO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^* + e^- \quad [21]
\]

The prevalence of this reaction compared to reaction 17 will depend on the pH adjacent to the electrode surface. That low reaction rates are seen until potentials significantly greater than 0 V/SHE may result from the low concentration of ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) at the electrode surface. Although the pKa of HClO\(_2\) is 1.96, the lower pH near the electrode surface versus the bulk solution will decrease the ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) concentration at the electrode surface.

DFT calculations were also performed to investigate if the ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) species generated in reactions 17 and 20 could react with HO\(^*\) to form HClO\(_3\) (reaction 18). Modeling results determined that reaction 18 was activationless with an energy change of \( \Delta E = -142 \) kJ/mol. These calculations suggest that a feasible pathway for HClO\(_3\) production from HClO\(_2\) is depicted in reactions 17, 18, and 20.

Interactions of the ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) species with the BDD surface were also considered. Figure 11 shows a scheme for ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) interactions with various BDD surface sites. Similar to the results for OCI\(^*\) radicals, ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) radicals can undergo reactions at carbon radical sites on the surface, transforming them to oxygen radical sites. These oxygen radical sites can further mediate reactions between ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) and HO\(^*\) to produce HClO\(_3\).

Figures 11a and 11b show that ClO\(_2\)^\(-\) chemisorbs to two types of carbon radical sites on the diamond surface, according to the following reactions:

\[
\equiv C^* + \text{ClO}_2^- \rightarrow \equiv C-O\text{ClO} \quad [22]
\]

\[
\equiv C^*H + \text{ClO}_2^- \rightarrow \equiv CH-O\text{ClO} \quad [23]
\]

Reactions (22) and (23) are both activationless, with an overall energy change of \( \Delta E = -144 \) kJ/mol for reaction 22, and \( \Delta E = -156 \) kJ/mol for reaction (23). Each of these chemisorbed species...
are susceptible to HO∗ attack, producing the oxygen radical surface sites shown in Figure 11c and 11d. This attack regenerates the HClO molecule, similar to the case for OCl− discussed previously. The regenerated HClO molecule could continue to oxidize other surface atoms depending on the geometry of the product structure. While there may be multiple reactions, the resulting product always includes the oxidized surface.

The ClO2∗ radical also reacts with oxygen radical sites in the following reactions (Figure 11c and 11d):

\[ \text{C–O}^* + \text{ClO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{C–O} – \text{ClO}_2 \quad [24] \]

\[ \text{CH–O}^* + \text{ClO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{CH–O} – \text{ClO}_2 \quad [25] \]

These reactions require an activation energy of 9.1 kJ/mol for reaction 24 and 9.2 kJ/mol for reaction 25. The energy changes for these reactions are \( \Delta E = -39 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for reaction 24 and \( \Delta E = -54 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for reaction 25. Each of these chemisorbed surface complexes may be attacked by HO∗ to yield HClO3. These reactions are shown below:

\[ \text{C–O–ClO}_2 + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{C–O} + \text{HClO}_3 \quad [26] \]

\[ \text{CH–O–ClO}_2 + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{CH–O} + \text{HClO}_3 \quad [27] \]

Both reactions are activationless, with \( \Delta E = -98 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for reaction 26, and \( \Delta E = -83 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for reaction 27.

A pathway to HClO3 production is also found at a boron-doped surface site and is shown in Figure 12. The two reactions, occurring in sequence, are

\[ \text{B} = \text{C–O}^* + \text{ClO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{B} = \text{C–O} – \text{ClO}_2 \quad [28] \]

\[ \text{B} = \text{C–O–ClO}_2 + \text{HO}^* \rightarrow \text{B} = \text{C–O} + \text{HClO}_3 \quad [29] \]

The chemisorption step, reaction 28, is activationless with \( \Delta E = -20.7 \text{ kJ/mol} \), and the subsequent oxidation step, reaction 29, requires 1.9 kJ/mol of activation energy, with \( \Delta E = -74.1 \text{ kJ/mol} \).

Both the doped and undoped sites show low activation energy for formation of HClO3, with the chemisorption of ClO2∗ requiring activation at the undoped site, in contrast to the OH∗ attack at the doped site. The overall energy released, as well as activation energy for the rate-limiting step, is lower at the boron-doped site. This pattern is consistent with the calculations for formation of HClO3 at the “trampoline” carbon site, discussed above.

Conclusions

This article presents a plausible set of reaction pathways for producing chlorite and chlorate from hypochlorite using a BDD anode. Both protonated and unprotonated hypochlorite, chlorite and chlorate species can be oxidized to their respective radical, ClO2∗, at potentials below that for water oxidation. In addition, hypochlorous, chlorous and chloric acids can be oxidized by HO∗ produced from water oxidation. The oxychlorine radical species may react with HO∗ in solution to form a more oxidized species, or may chemisorb to anodically generated \( \equiv \text{C}^* \rightarrow \equiv \text{C}^*\text{H} = \equiv \text{C}–\text{O}^* \), and \( \equiv \text{CH–O}^* \) sites on the BDD surface. Chemisorption of the oxychlorine radicals stabilizes them and gives them a longer life-time to react. Both surface catalyzed and solution phase pathways for chlorite and chlorate production involve hydroxyl radicals. The greater production of hydroxyl radicals by BDD anodes, as compared to platinum and RuO2 coated anodes, likely explains the greater perchlorate generation observed with BDD anodes during electrochemical hypochlorite generation.16,35
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Figure 12. Scheme for HClO3 production at a B≡C–O∗ boron-doped site. Atom key for electronic version of manuscript: carbon, gray; hydrogen, white; oxygen, red; chlorine, green.
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