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## SUMMARY

With the exponential growth of DNA sequences in the past twenty years, it has became ineffective to analyze DNA sequences only through the traditional biological experiments. Various mathematical methods and computer algorithms are applied to sequence analyses and related research areas, which help the biological study to be upgraded into automatic programming from manual operation. Especially, there are two important research areas to study DNA sequences in bioinformatics. One is to predict the coding regions on DNA sequences, another is to determine the evolutionary relationship based on DNA sequences. In this thesis, two mathematical methods are introduced to show our achievements in these two research areas respectively.

In chapter two, we introduce a simple parameter called TICOR (Threshold to Identify Coding Region) to distinguish the coding regions from non-coding regions. The method only takes the linear computation time which is much better than those of Fourier Transform and other methods. Moreover, we are able to estimate the proportion of coding regions to the length of the whole DNA sequence simply basing on the parameter TICOR. Finally, we develop a novel method to predict the coding regions from DNA sequences, which we call TICORSCAN. We do the test on the ROSETTA dataset(1) with our TICORSCAN method and other popular method, such as GENSCAN(2) and TWINSCAN(3). The prediction accuracy shows that our TICORSCAN method is able to predict the coding regions more efficiently.

Secondly, we report a novel mathematical method to transform the DNA sequences into the

## SUMMARY (Continued)

distribution vectors in chapter three. The distribution vectors correspond to points in the sixty dimensional Euclidean space. Each component of the distribution vectors represents the distribution of one kind of nucleotide in k segments of the DNA sequence. The statistical properties of the distribution vectors are demonstrated and examined with huge datasets of human DNA sequences and random sequences. The determined expectation and standard deviation can make the mapping stable and practicable. Moreover, we apply the distribution vectors to the clustering of the mitochondrial complete genomes from 80 placental mammals and the gene Haemagglutinin (HA) of 60 H1N1 viruses from Human, Swine and Avian. The 80 mammals and 60 H1N1 viruses are classified accurately and rapidly compared to the multiple sequence alignment methods. The results indicate that the distribution vectors can reveal the similarity and evolutionary relationship among homologous DNA sequences based on the distances between any two of these distribution vectors. The advantage of fast computation offers the distribution vectors the opportunity to deal with the huge amount of DNA sequences efficiently.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

With the maturity of the technology to identify DNA sequences in the last decades, the amount of DNA sequences increases at an incredible speed. For example, Genbank, the National Institutes of Health's (NIH) genetic sequence database, is an annotated collection of all publicly available DNA sequences. There were approximately $117,476,523,128$ bases in $122,941,883$ sequence records for almost all life-forms in August 2010, whereas there were only 680,338 bases in 606 sequence records in December 1982(4). The number of bases in GenBank has doubled approximately every 18 months since NIH launched the database. In order to analyze the DNA sequences in the huge database, many mathematical methods and computer programs are developed to solve specific problems about analyzing DNA sequences. In this chapter, we will introduce elementary biological background and provide the brief introduction of two important research areas. One is the prediction of Coding Regions in DNA sequences, another is to do the clustering with homologous DNA sequences to discover the evolutionary relationship among the organisms. Later, chapter two describes our TICORSCAN method to predict the coding regions in the DNA sequences. The prediction has the high accuracy compared to other popular methods, such as GENESCAN and TWINSCAN. Furthermore, our distribution vector method will be introduced in the chapter three, which can map the DNA sequences into the Euclidean space and do the clustering. The phylogenetic trees based on the clustering show
that the distances between any two of the distribution vectors correspond to the similarity and evolutionary relationship among these DNA sequences.

### 1.1 Coding Regions in DNA Sequences

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is a nucleic acid which carries genetic information for the biological development of all cellular forms of life and many viruses, which consists of two long strands with the double helix structure. Each strand has the direction from 5 ' end to 3 ' end and consists four type nucleotides including Adenine (A), Guanine (G), Cytosine (C), and Thymine (T). Adenine pairs with Thymine and Cytosine pairs with Guanine to form the double helix structure.


Figure 1. The Double Helix Structure of DNA

The main biological function of DNA sequences is that could be encoded into protein sequences via RNA transcription. However, Not the whole DNA sequences are encoded to protein sequences. Some regions are removed when the DNA sequences are transcribed into RNA, which
we call Introns. The regions transcribed into RNA are called Exons. Exons are encoded into the protein sequences except the untranslated regions, which are important for efficient translation of the transcript and for controlling the rate of translation. We define the coding regions as the part of Exons that are encoded into protein sequences, while we consider the untranslated regions and Introns together as the non-coding regions. Figure 2 explains the partition clearly.


Figure 2. The Partition of Coding Region, Exons and Introns

Traditionally, the exons or coding regions can be found by comparing DNA, RNA and Protein sequences in biological experiment. However, it becomes a challenge to find the Exons or coding regions in DNA sequences with automatic computational methods when the amount of DNA sequences increases rapidly. In 1982, Fickett(5) introduced a statistical method to predict the coding regions regions which is based on simple and universal differences between coding and non-coding DNA sequences. Later, Burset(6) provided the benchmark to evaluate of the prediction programs. Recently, many methods are developed to predict the coding regions in DNA sequences, which includes Dynamic Programming(7), Hidden Markov Model(2), Neural
network(8) and other methods. However, those methods do not show the intrinsic biological difference between coding regions and non-coding regions. They only estimate the parameters of complicated statistical or machine learning models based on the relatively small training data set and apply these models to predict the coding regions. In the chapter two, we introduce our TICORSCAN method that can demonstrate the difference between coding regions and non-coding regions by the curve directly. Most importantly, our method can predict the coding regions in the DNA sequences with high accuracy.

### 1.2 Clustering Homologous DNA Sequences

About one hundred and fifty years ago, Charles Robert Darwin(9) published the famous theory called natural selection in his book On the Origin of Species. He claimed that all species of life in the earth are descended from common ancestors based on the geographical distribution of wildlife and fossils he collected. The idea and a simple draft of the phytogenetic tree was also provided in his book. Later, with the development of evolutionary biology, it necessary to find a new practicable method to construct the phylogenetic tree automatically instead of using fossils manually.

It is well known that DNA is transferred from organisms to their offspring. During the transmission, A few changes always take place in DNA sequences. Hence, the organisms who share a lineage and are descended from a common ancestor must have more similar DNA, RNA and protein sequences. In 1977, Carl Woese(10) firstly analyzed the phylogenetic relationship based on 16 S ribosomal RNA, which became one standard for the research of evolutionary biology based on DNA, RNA and Protein sequences. Later, The multiple sequence alignment (MSA) method
was developed to deal with the current huge data set of DNA, RNA or protein sequences. The distance matrix based on the aligned result is applied to build the phylogenetic tree, which correspond to the evolutionary relationship among the input sequences. There are many multiple sequence alignment computer programs available on internet, such as Clustal(11), Muscle(12) and MAFFT(13). Those programs can receive good alignment result and create accurate phylogenetic tree. However, the computation time will increase rapidly when the number of sequences or the lengths of sequences increase. Hence, we introduce the distribution vectors method in chapter three, which can construct the accurate phylogenetic tree in linear time more faster than the multiple sequence alignment programs.

## CHAPTER 2

## PREDICTING CODING REGIONS

### 2.1 Introduction

The DNA sequences are discovered as some kinds of permutations of four nucleotides through biologic experiments, which include Adenine (A), Guanine (G), Cytosine (C), and Thymine (T). Therefore, a DNA sequence can be considered as a character sequence constructed by four letters, A, C, G and T. In order to analyze the functions of DNA sequences in computer aided biological research, the character sequences should be translated into their numerical representations. In the last twenty years, many kind of numerical representations and graphical representations have been provided, such as Gate(14) and Yau(15). In this chapter, We assign the four 4-D unit base vectors to the four nucleotides as follows.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow A \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow T \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow C \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow G
$$

Then the character sequence of a DNA sequence is translated to a numerical sequence in a 4-dimensional space as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(n)=u_{A}(n)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)+u_{T}(n)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)+u_{C}(n)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)+u_{G}(n)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

where N is the length of the sequence, and $u_{\alpha}(n)$ is the indicator sequence

$$
u_{\alpha}(n)= \begin{cases}1, & \alpha \text { appears at location } \mathrm{n},  \tag{2.2}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha \in I=\{A, T, C, G\}$ and $\mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1$.
After the numerical sequence is defined, the Fourier transform of the 4-D numerical representation of a DNA sequence at Equation 2.1 can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-i \frac{2 \pi k n}{N}}, \text { at frequences } k=0,1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha}(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u_{\alpha}(n) e^{-i \frac{2 \pi k n}{N}}, \text { at frequences } k=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\alpha}(k)$ is Fourier transform of the indicator sequences $u_{\alpha}(k)$. Therefore the power spectrum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(k)=\bar{X}^{T}(k) X(k)=\sum_{\alpha \in I} \bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k) \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{X}(k)$ is the conjugate of the complex vector $X(k)$ and $\bar{U}_{\alpha}(k)$ is the conjugate of the complex number $U_{\alpha}(k)$. After that, we define the total power spectrum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P S=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P(k) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the average power spectrum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A v g P S=\frac{P S}{N}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P(k)}{N} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2001, Anastassiou(16) found that the spectrum of a coding DNA sequence usually demonstrates one peak at frequency $k=\frac{N}{3}$ as shown in Figure 3. Later, Vera Afreixo(17) provided a simplified formula to compute the spectrum at frequency $k=\frac{N}{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left[\left(S(0)_{\alpha}-\frac{S(1)_{\alpha}+S(2)_{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(S(1)_{\alpha}-S(2)_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right], \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I=\{A, T, C, G\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(m)_{\alpha}=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{3}-1} u_{\alpha}(3 k+m), \quad m=0,1,2 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Power Spectrum of One Coding Sequence

Then we can define the parameter TICOR (Threshold to Identify Coding Regions) as the ratio of $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)$ to the average power spectrum $\operatorname{avg} P S$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { TICOR }=\frac{P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)}{\operatorname{avgPS}}  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left[\left(S(0)_{\alpha}-\frac{S(1)_{\alpha}+S(2)_{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(S(1)_{\alpha}-S(2)_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right]}{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P(k)^{2}}{N}} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2 Materials and Methods

Theorem 1: The sum of power spectrum is $N^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P S=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\alpha \in I} \bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k)=N^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in I=\{A, T, C, G\}$.

Proof. At first, we know

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k)=\left(\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} u_{\alpha}(l) e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N} l k}}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u_{\alpha}(j) e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N} j k}\right) \\
=\sum_{l \in S_{\alpha}} e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{N} l k} \sum_{j \in S_{\alpha}} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N} j k}=\left|S_{\alpha}\right|+\sum_{l, j \in S_{\alpha}} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(j-l) k} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S_{\alpha}=\left\{n \mid u_{\alpha}(n)=1, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\}, \quad \alpha \in I=\{A, T, C, G\}$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A} \bigcup S_{T} \bigcup S_{G} \bigcup S_{C}=\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{A}\right|+\left|S_{T}\right|+\left|S_{C}\right|+\left|S_{G}\right|=N \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k) & =N\left|S_{\alpha}\right|+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l, j \in S_{\alpha}} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(j-l) k} \\
& =N\left|S_{\alpha}\right|+\sum_{l, j \in S_{\alpha}} \sum_{l \neq j}^{N-1} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(j-l) k} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we can obtain the following result by using the geometric series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(l-j) k}=\frac{1-e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(l-j) N}}{1-e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(l-j)}}=\frac{1-1}{1-e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{N}(l-j)}}=0, \quad i \neq j \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k)=N\left|S_{\alpha}\right| \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P S=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P(k)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\alpha \in I} \bar{U}_{\alpha}(k) U_{\alpha}(k)=\sum_{\alpha \in I} N\left|S_{\alpha}\right|=N^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,the average power spectrum $\operatorname{avg} P S=N$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T I C O R=\frac{\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left[\left(S(0)_{\alpha}-\frac{S(1)_{\alpha}+S(2)_{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(S(1)_{\alpha}-S(2)_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right]}{N} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right) \geq 0$, and $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)=0$ if and only if $S(0)_{\alpha}=S(1)_{\alpha}=S(2)_{\alpha}$. So $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)$ and $T I C O R$ have the minimum value zero when $S(0)_{\alpha}=S(1)_{\alpha}=S(2)_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in I, I=A, C, G, T$. On the other hand, Equation 2.8 can be written as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right) & =\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left[\left(S(0)_{\alpha}-\frac{S(1)_{\alpha}+S(2)_{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(S(1)_{\alpha}-S(2)_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left[S(0)_{\alpha}^{2}+S(1)_{\alpha}^{2}+S(2)_{\alpha}^{2}-S(0)_{\alpha} S(1)_{\alpha}-S(0)_{\alpha} S(2)_{\alpha}-S(1)_{\alpha} S(2)_{\alpha}\right] \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{2}\left(S(l)_{A}^{2}+S(l)_{T}^{2}+S(l)_{C}^{2}+S(l)_{G}^{2}\right)-\sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
j \neq k}}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2} S(j)_{\alpha} S(k)_{\alpha} \\
& \leq \sum_{l=0}^{2}\left(S(l)_{A}^{2}+S(l)_{T}^{2}+S(l)_{C}^{2}+S(l)_{G}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{2}\left(S(l)_{A}+S(l)_{T}+S(l)_{C}+S(l)_{G}\right)^{2}-\sum_{l=0}^{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in I \\
\alpha \neq \beta}} S(l)_{\alpha} S(l)_{\beta} \\
& \leq \sum_{l=0}^{2}\left(S(l)_{A}+S(l)_{T}+S(l)_{C}+S(l)_{G}\right)^{2} \\
& =3\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{N^{2}}{3} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)$ has the maximum value $\frac{N^{2}}{3}$ and TICOR has maximum value $\frac{N}{3}$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(j)_{\alpha} S(k)_{\alpha}=0, \quad j, k=0,1,2, j \neq k \quad \alpha \in I \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(l)_{\alpha} S(l)_{\beta}=0, \quad \alpha, \beta \in I, \alpha \neq \beta, l=0,1,2 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means a DNA sequence has the maximum value of the function $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)$ when the sequence is constructed by a same codon repeated, such as ACT ACT ACT...ACT.

When we assume that each nucleotide in one DNA sequence could be A,C,G or T with the probability $\frac{1}{4}$ randomly and independently, all the expectations of $S(k)_{\alpha}$ are $\frac{N}{12}$. Therefore, we can get the expectation of $P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[P\left(\frac{N}{3}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\sum_{\alpha \in I}\left(S(0)_{\alpha}^{2}+S(1)_{\alpha}^{2}+S(2)_{\alpha}^{2}-S(0)_{\alpha} S(1)_{\alpha}-S(0)_{\alpha} S(2)_{\alpha}-S(1)_{\alpha} S(2)_{\alpha}\right)\right] \\
& =12\left(E\left[S(0)_{\alpha}^{2}\right]-E\left[S(0)_{\alpha}\right]^{2}\right)=12 \operatorname{Var}\left[S(0)_{\alpha}\right] \\
& =12 \frac{N}{3} \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{4}\right)=\frac{3 N}{4} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can get the expectation of TICOR

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[T I C O R]=\frac{3}{4}=0.75 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to predict the coding regions in the DNA sequence, we compute the average of TICOR for all $N-w+1$ subsequences by sliding a window with a fixed size $w$ on the DNA sequence from the first nucleotide to the end, which we call avgTICOR. The fixed size $w$ should be a
multiple of three to compute the TICOR. In this thesis, we choose $w=102$ to optimize the accuracy of predicting the coding regions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{avgTICOR}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N-w+1} \operatorname{TICOR}(\operatorname{seq}(k: k+w-1))}{N-w+1}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{TICOR}(\operatorname{seq}(k: k+w-1))$ means the value of $\operatorname{TICOR}$ of the subsequence that starts from the position $k$ and stop at the position $k+w-1$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[\operatorname{avgTICOR}]=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N-w+1} E[\operatorname{TICOR}(\operatorname{seq}(k: k+w-1))]}{N-w+1}=0.75 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we define $\overline{\operatorname{TICOR}(i)}$ and $V(i)$ to represent the property of the nucleotide at the position i.

$$
\overline{\operatorname{TICOR}(i)}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\sum_{k=i-w+1}^{i} \operatorname{TICOR}(\operatorname{seq}(k: k+w-1))}{w}  \tag{2.28}\\
i=w, w+1, \ldots, N-w, N-w+1 \\
\frac{\operatorname{TICOR}(w)}{i=1,2, \ldots, w-2, w} \\
\frac{\operatorname{TICOR}(N-w+1)}{i=N-w+2, N-w+3, \ldots, N-1, N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
V(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{(\overline{T I C O R(i-5)}-\overline{T I C O R(i+5)})^{2}}{\overline{T I C O R(i)}}{ }^{2}  \tag{2.29}\\
\quad i=w-4, w-3, \ldots, N-w+4, N-w+5 \\
0 \quad i=1,2, \ldots w-5 \text { or } N-w+6, \ldots, N-1, N
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 2.3 Application

At first, we calculate the value of $a v g T I C O R$ for 500 human coding sequences and 500 human non-coding sequences randomly chosen from NCBI database. Figure 4 shows that there are huge differences between the value of $\operatorname{avgTICOR}$ of coding sequences and non-coding sequences. The value of $\operatorname{avg} T I C O R$ for non-coding sequences are close to the mathematical expectation of $a v g T I C O R$ for random sequences, whereas most coding sequences hold much higher value of $a v g T I C O R$.

Secondly, we compute the value of $\operatorname{avgTICOR}$ and the proportion of coding regions to the length of the whole DNA sequence for 200 human DNA sequences. These 200 pairs of data (avgTICOR, proportion) are plotted in Figure 5. Then the linear equation of the proportion depending on $\operatorname{avgTICOR}$ is estimated by using the least squares method.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { proportion }=32.1827 \times \text { avgTICOR }-7.7358 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we describe the strategy to predict the coding regions as follows, which we call TICORSCAN.


Figure 4. avgTICOR of 500 Human Coding Sequences and Non-Coding Sequences


Figure 5. The Relation Between avgTICOR and The Proportion of Coding Regions to the Length of Whole DNA Sequences

1. Calculate avgTICOR, $\overline{\operatorname{TICOR}(i)}$ and $V(i)$ for the DNA sequence.
2. Estimate the proportion of coding regions to the whole DNA sequence by using Equation 2.30. Then determine the threshold line, which is horizontal line. The regions where the $\overline{T I C O R}$ curve above this horizontal line are the potential coding regions. The horizontal line is determined by the proportion of the coding regions.
3. Detect the points of intersection between the curve of $\overline{T I C O R}$ and the threshold line.
4. Consider the points of intersection as above. For the point with a neighborhood where the $\overline{T I C O R}$ curve is increasing, this point is called the start position of the coding region. Similarly, for the point with a neighborhood where the $\overline{T I C O R}$ curve is decreasing, this point is called the end position of the coding region.
5. Label the first position of the DNA sequence as the first start position of coding regions if $\overline{\operatorname{TICOR}(1)}$ is above the threshold line, the last position of the DNA sequence as the last end position of coding regions if $\overline{\operatorname{TICOR(N)}}$ is above the threshold line.
6. Relocate the start and end position in their neighborhood. We set the position which has the maximum value of $V(i)$ as the start or end position. The neighborhood is defined as (position-25,position+25) in this application. Moreover, decide the first start position and the last end position by considering the biology information: The start codon is ATG and the stop codon is TGA,TAA or TAG.
7. Remove the pair of start and end position if the distance between them is too short, which means the distance is less than 50 in this application. This step corrects the prediction of short non-coding region over the threshold line.
8. Remove the end position and the next start position if the distance between them is too short, which means the distance is less than 50 in this application. This step corrects the prediction of short coding region below the threshold line.
9. Pair the start positions and the end positions of coding regions to finish predicting the coding regions.

We apply our TICORSCAN method into the ROSETTA dataset which includes 117 orthologous human and mouse DNA sequences. Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8 and Figure 9 display the process to predict the coding regions from the DNA sequence M11160. More examples are illustrated in Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12 and Figure 13. Especially, Figure 12 shows that there is a short non-coding region above the threshold line, which is successfully predicted as the non-coding region by following the rule 7 . On the other hand, there is a short coding region below the threshold line in Figure 13. This region is considered as the coding region by applying the rule 8. However, these two rules mistake the prediction of short non-coding regions and coding regions sometimes. Over all, these two rules are important to increase the prediction accuracy since the short non-coding and coding regions only constitute a small percentage of the total nucleotides.

In order to compare the prediction accuracy, we predict the coding regions in the same dataset by using GENSCAN, TBLASTX and other programs. To evaluate the accuracy, we
count TP (true positives) as the number of nucleotides in the coding regions that are predicted as in the coding regions, FP (false positives) as the number of nucleotides in the non-coding regions which are predicted as in the coding regions, FN (false negatives) as the number of nucleotides in the coding regions that are predicted as in the non-coding regions and TN (true negatives) as the number of nucleotides in the non-coding regions which are predicted as in the non-coding regions. Then we define sensitivity ( Sn ), specificity ( Sp ) and the approximate correlation (AC) that summarizes the overall nucleotide sensitivity and specificity by one number. We compute the overall prediction result, which includes $\mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Sp}$ and AC , for our TICORSCAN method and other programs. The accuracy in Table I shows that our TICORSCAN method performs very well on the coding regions prediction. Moreover, we divide the ROSETTA dataset into five subgroups by the range of the length of DNA sequences. The prediction record of the five subgroups listed in Table II shows that our TICORSCAN method has a better prediction result on the long coding regions.

$$
\begin{gather*}
S n=\frac{T P}{T P+F N}  \tag{2.31}\\
S p=\frac{T P}{T P+F P}  \tag{2.32}\\
A C=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{T P}{T P+F N}+\frac{T P}{T P+F P}+\frac{T N}{T N+F P}+\frac{T N}{T N+F N}\right)-1 \tag{2.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

## TABLE I

PREDICTION ACCURACY ON THE ROSETTA DATASET

| Program | Sn | Sp | AC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENSCAN | 97.5 | 90.8 | 92.9 |
| TBLASTX default | 94.0 | 80.3 | 88.1 |
| TWINSCAN | 98.4 | 88.9 | 92.3 |
| SGP-1 | 94.0 | 96.0 | 94.0 |
| TICORSCAN | 96.7 | 92.6 | 94.1 |

TABLE II
STATISTICS OF THE SUBSETS GROUPED BY THE LENGTH OF ACTUAL CODING REGIONS

| Length Range | Number of Coding Regions <br> Actual | Number of the Nucleotides | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 82 | 35 | 2488 | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\geq 50 \&<100$ | 209 | 134 | 15564 | $6.89 \%$ |
| $\geq 100 \&<150$ | 304 | 282 | 37974 | $16.76 \%$ |
| $\geq 150 \&<250$ | 249 | 243 | 46251 | $20.47 \%$ |
| $\geq 250$ | 189 | 188 | 123667 | $54.73 \%$ |
| Total | 1033 | 847 | 225944 | $100 \%$ |

### 2.4 Conclusion

This chapter introduces a parameter $T I C O R$ derived from the spectrum at frequency $k=\frac{N}{3}$. The TICOR presents the difference between coding regions and non-coding regions and shows that the non-coding regions has the similar mathematical properties with the random sequences. Moreover, we provide a linear equation to estimate the proportion of coding regions


Figure 6. Drawing the $\overline{T I C O R}$ Curve


Figure 7. Finding the points of intersection


Figure 8. Predicting the start and end positions


Figure 9. Predicted Result of Mouse M11160


Figure 10. Single Coding Region


Figure 11. Multiple Coding Regions


Figure 12. Short Non-Coding Region over Threshold


Figure 13. Short Coding Region below Threshold
to the length of the whole DNA sequence based on its avgTICOR value. Finally, we develop a new method to predict the coding regions in the DNA sequences, which we call TICORSCAN. Our method is a faster method with linear computation time compared to other popular methods based on statistics model, machine learning or alignment method. Moreover, unlike these complicated methods, our TICORSCAN method is straightforward to understand and easy to implement. We test our method on the ROSETTA dataset. The experiment obtains the high accuracy to predict the coding regions. Especially, our method works better for the long coding regions, which successfully predict almost all the coding regions whose length is longer than 150 . However, the TICORSCAN method is not good for the short coding regions. First, many short coding regions do not have obvious peaks in their $\overline{T I C O R}$ curves. Secondly, in order to keep the overall high accuracy, we consider the short regions which are less than 50 as the non-coding regions even if they have peaks. Fortunately, these missing short coding regions affect the prediction accuracy little because the proportion of the nucleotides of missing short coding regions to the all coding regions is tiny. Over all, our TICORSCAN method is an efficient method to predict the coding regions with high accuracy.

## CHAPTER 3

## CLUSTERING HOMOLOGOUS DNA SEQUENCES

### 3.1 Methods

In the beginning, we define the indicator sequence $u_{\alpha}(n)$ of the DNA sequence.

$$
u_{\alpha}(n)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \alpha \text { appears at location } \mathrm{n} \text { of the DNA sequence }  \tag{3.1}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$\alpha \in I=\{A, T, C, G\}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1$ and N is the length of the DNA sequence.
To construct the distribution vectors, we fix k , which is a preset integer much less than N . Then we define q as the quotient and r as the remainder in Equation 3.2 when dividing N by k .

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left\lfloor\frac{N}{k}\right\rfloor, \quad r=N-k \times q \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $0 \leq r<k$. Therefore, we divide the DNA sequences into k segments with almost equal lengths: The first $r$ segments possess $q+1$ nucleotides and the remaining k-r segments hold q nucleotides. Equation 3.3 explains the partition clearly.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=k \times q+r=r(q+1)+(k-r) q \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define $Q_{\alpha}(m, k)$ as the number of the nucleotides $\alpha$ in the $m^{t h}$ segment of the DNA sequence in Equation 3.4.

$$
Q_{\alpha}(m, k)= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=m(q+1)}^{m(q+1)+q} u_{\alpha}(i), & m=0,1,2 \ldots r-1  \tag{3.4}\\ \sum_{i=m \times q+r}^{(m+1) q+r-1} u_{\alpha}(i), & m=r, r+1 \ldots k-1\end{cases}
$$

For each k , we define the $D V_{\alpha}(k)$ in terms of $Q_{\alpha}(m, k)$ to describe the variability between any two of $Q_{\alpha}(m, k)$ for the particular nucleotide $\alpha$ in one DNA sequence.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D V_{\alpha}(k)=\frac{8}{3 N(k-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(Q_{\alpha}(i, k)-Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intention for choosing the coefficient $\frac{8}{3 N(k-1)}$ is to simplify the expectation to be a constant. The explanation will be given later.

For each $k \in K=\{3,4,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47\}$, we compute the $D V_{A}(k)$, $D V_{C}(k), D V_{G}(k)$ and $D V_{T}(k)$ and put these together to obtain the sixty dimensional distribution vector $\overline{D V}$. It is clear there is no common factor except 1 among the numbers in the set $K$, which makes the elements in the distribution vector more independent. The selection of the size of the set $K$ is crucial. The distribution vectors can map the sequences more precisely when the size of $K$ is large, which consists of only prime numbers except 4. On the other hand for the short sequences, each segment is too short to provide the information if the $k$ is too
large. In addition, the larger the size of the set $K$, the longer the computation time. All of the above reasons should be considered in the selection of the set $K$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\overline{D V}=\left\{D V_{A}(3), D V_{C}(3), D V_{G}(3), D V_{T}(3),\right. \\
D V_{A}(4), D V_{C}(4), D V_{G}(4), D V_{T}(4),
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D V_{A}(47), D V_{C}(47), D V_{G}(47), D V_{T}(47)\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Statistical Properties

In order to study the expectation and standard deviation of the distribution vectors, we need to consider the DNA sequence as a random sequence, which means every position in the DNA sequence can be A, C, G or T with the same probability $\frac{1}{4}$ independently. First, we compute the expectation of $Q_{\alpha}(m, k), Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k), Q_{\alpha}^{3}(m, k)$ and $Q_{\alpha}^{4}(m, k)$ and the variance of $Q_{\alpha}(m, k)$ respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right] & =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(i \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i}\right)=\frac{N}{4 k}  \tag{3.7}\\
E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right] & =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(i^{2} \frac{n!}{k!(n-i)!} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i}\right) \\
& =p n(p n-p+1)=\frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N}{4 k}+\frac{3}{4}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Var}\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right]=E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right]-E^{2}\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right] \\
=\frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N}{4 k}+\frac{3}{4}\right)-\left(\frac{N}{4 k}\right)^{2}=\frac{3 N}{16 K}  \tag{3.9}\\
E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{3}(m, k)\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(i^{3} \frac{n!}{k!(n-i)!} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i}\right) \\
= \\
p n\left(p^{2} n^{2}-3 p^{2} n+2 p^{2}+3 p n-3 p+1\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
= \\
E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{4}(m, k)\right]= \\
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{N^{2}}{16 k^{2}}+\frac{9 N}{16 k}+\frac{3}{8}\right) \\
=  \tag{3.11}\\
p n\left(i^{4} \frac{n!}{k!(n-i)!} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i}\right) \\
\\
+11 p^{3} n+7 p n-6 p^{2} n^{2}-6 p^{3} n^{2}-18 p^{2} n \\
=
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we can compute the expectation and standard deviation of $D V_{\alpha}(k)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[D V_{\alpha}(k)\right] & =E\left[\frac{8}{3 N(k-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(Q_{\alpha}(i, k)-Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{16}{3 N}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)-\frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{16}{3 N}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right]-\frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} E\left[Q_{\alpha}(i, k)\right] E\left[Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{16 k}{3 N}\left(E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right]-E\left[Q_{\alpha}(i, k)\right] E\left[Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{16 k}{3 N} \operatorname{Var}\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right] \\
& =\frac{16 k}{3 N} \frac{3 N}{16 K} \\
& =1 \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[D V_{\alpha}^{2}(k)\right]=E\left[\left(\frac{8}{3 N(k-1)}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(Q_{\alpha}(i, k)-Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{256}{9 N^{2}}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)-\frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}} E\left[\left((k-1) \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}} E\left[(k-1)^{2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-2(k-1)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)+\left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}} E\left[(k-1)^{2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{4}(m, k)+\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}^{2}(j, k)\right)\right. \\
& -4(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{3}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)-2(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j, l}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{j \neq l}, ~ \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k) Q_{\alpha}(l, k) \\
& +2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}^{2}(j, k)+4 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j, l}}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
j \neq l}}^{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k) Q_{\alpha}(l, k) \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j, l, m}}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
j \neq l, m}}^{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{l \neq m} \ll \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k) Q_{\alpha}(l, k) Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right] \\
& =\frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}} E\left[(k-1)^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{4}(m, k)-4(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{3}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k)\right. \\
& +\left((k-1)^{2}+2\right) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}^{2}(j, k)-2(k-3) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j, l}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}^{2}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k) Q_{\alpha}(l, k) \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i \neq j, l, m}}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
j \neq l, m}}^{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{l \neq m}<i \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Q_{\alpha}(i, k) Q_{\alpha}(j, k) Q_{\alpha}(l, k) Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}}\left(k(k-1)^{2} E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{4}(m, k)\right]-4 k(k-1)^{2} E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{3}(m, k)\right] E\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right]\right. \\
& +k(k-1)\left((k-1)^{2}+2\right) E^{2}\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right]-2 k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3) E\left[Q_{\alpha}^{2}(m, k)\right] E^{2}\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right] \\
& \left.+k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3) E^{4}\left[Q_{\alpha}(m, k)\right]\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the results from Equation 3.7, Equation 3.8, Equation 3.10 and Equation 3.11 into Equation 3.13.

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[D V_{\alpha}^{2}(k)\right] & =\frac{256}{9 N^{2}(k-1)^{2}}\left(k(k-1)^{2} \frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N^{3}}{64 k^{3}}+\frac{9 N^{2}}{32 k^{2}}+\frac{51 N}{64 k}-\frac{3}{32}\right)\right. \\
& -4 k(k-1)^{2} \frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N^{2}}{16 k^{2}}+\frac{9 N}{16 k}+\frac{3}{8}\right) \frac{N}{4 k} \\
& +k(k-1)\left((k-1)^{2}+2\right)\left(\frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N}{4 k}+\frac{3}{4}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& -2 k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3) \frac{N}{4 k}\left(\frac{N}{4 k}+\frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{N}{4 k}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3) E^{( } \frac{N}{4 k}\right)^{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{k+1}{k-1}-\frac{2}{3 N} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}\left[D V_{\alpha}(k)\right] & =E\left[D V_{\alpha}^{2}(k)\right]-E^{2}\left[D V_{\alpha}(k)\right]  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\frac{k+1}{k-1}-\frac{2}{3 N}-1=\frac{2}{k-1}-\frac{2}{3 N} \\
& \approx \frac{2}{k-1}, \quad \quad \text { when } \mathrm{N} \text { is large. } \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{std}\left[D V_{\alpha}(k)\right]=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[D V_{\alpha}(k)\right]} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{k-1}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

After deriving the equations of the expectation and standard deviation of the distribution vectors, we examine these properties with two large datasets. One is 5000 Human DNA sequences from the NCBI database, which are divided into five groups by the respective lengths of DNA sequences. The detail of grouping is provided in Table III. Another dataset is 5000 random DNA sequences which are divided into five groups also. Each group consists of 1000 random sequences each with a fixed length. The lengths of these groups are 200, 400, 800, 1500 and 3000 corresponding to the Group I, II, III, IV and V respectively. We compare the means and standard deviations for the ten groups with the theoretical expectation and standard deviation as in Figure 14, Figure 16, Figure 15 and Figure 17. The selection of the set $K$ plays an important role in the values of $D V_{\alpha}(k)$. The variability of the distribution vectors is small when $k$ is large. Moreover, the mean and standard deviation within the five human DNA sequences groups also converge to the theoretical expectation and standard deviation when we increase the dimension, even though the convergence is not as good as those of the random sequences.

### 3.3 Application

We apply our distribution vector method to two datasets. One is 80 Mitochondrial complete genomes of placental mammals from NCBI database, another is the gene Haemagglutinin (HA) of 60 H1N1 viruses from Influenza Virus Sequence Database. At first we calculate the distribution vectors of these sequences and the distances between any two of these distribution

TABLE III
THE GROUPING OF 5000 HUMAN DNA SEQUENCES

|  | Number | Range of length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Group I | 996 | $<384$ |
| Group II | 1001 | $\geq 384$ and $<651$ |
| Group III | 999 | $\geq 651$ and $<1053$ |
| Group IV | 1500 | $\geq 1053$ and $<2265$ |
| Group V | 504 | $\geq 2265$ |



Figure 14. The Mean of Distribution Vectors for Human Sequences


Figure 15. The Mean of Distribution Vectors for Random Sequences


Figure 16. The Standard Deviation of Distribution Vectors for Human Sequences


Figure 17. The Standard Deviation of Distribution Vectors for Random Sequences
vectors for each dataset. The phylogenetic trees are built based on the distance matrix by using the function hclust from the R program(18), where the average linkage method is used in the clustering. The two trees are plotted in Figure 20 and Figure 24. Moreover, we apply the multiple alignment on the same two datasets with ClustalW2, MAFFT and Muscle and do the clustering with the average linkage method also. The results are provided in the Supplement. For the dataset of 60 H1N1 (HA) viruses, our distribution vector method classifies these viruses into four groups correctly. The four groups include the avian older than 2009, European swine older than 2009, American swine older than 2009 and the new 2009 viruses from human, swine and avian. The result shows the 2009 human H1N1 viruses have closer relationship with old American swine than old avian and European swine. ClustalW2 and Muscle also classify the 60 H1N1 viruses into the four groups except that the virus swine/wisconsin/1961 is not classified well. Unfortunately, MAFFT is unable to put the old avian viruses into one group. On the
other hand, all the four methods classify most of the 80 animals correctly by the respective orders they belong. Our distribution vector method divides the animals in the order of Carnivora into two groups: bears and non-bears, while other three methods make more errors with the order of Carnivora. Moreover, only our distribution vector method puts pig in to the order of Artiodactyla successfully. In general, all the four methods can do the clustering with the animals and viruses corresponding to the evolution relationship. But our distribution vector method obtains the best results in the clustering.

In order to compare the speed of our method and the other three methods, we do the test on two sets of sequences. The first set consists of 8 datasets. The number of sequences in each dataset is $10,20,30,40,50,60,70$ an 80 respectively where the lengths of all the sequences are around 4000. Another set also consists of 8 datasets. All the 8 datsets include 40 sequences. The lengths of all sequences in the 8 datasets are around $1000,2000,3000,4000,5000,6000$, 7000 and 8000 respectively. We build the phylogenetic tree on each dataset of the two sets by the four methods and record the time each method takes. The results in Figure 18 and Figure 19 show that our method is much faster than the other three methods. The time of our method increases linearly when the number of sequences or the length of sequences increases, whereas the acceleration of the time for the other three methods is much higher. The actual time differences are much higher than the visual differences in the figure since we are using the $\log$ (time) as the label of y -axis.


Figure 18. The Time Comparison of Four Methods on First Set


Figure 19. The Time Comparison of Four Methods on Second Set

## Conclusion

This chapter introduces the distribution vectors to map the DNA sequences into the sixty dimensional Euclidean space. We prove that expectation and standard deviation of the distribution vectors do not depend on the length of the sequences. The experiments on the human DNA sequences and random sequences confirm the result. The determined expectation and standard deviation show that the distribution vector mapping is bounded and stable. Each component of the distribution vectors represents the distribution of one kind of nucleotide in k segments of the DNA sequence and plays the same important role in the mapping and clustering. Furthermore, we do the clustering on 80 mitochondrial complete genomes and the gene Haemagglutinin (HA) of 60 H1N1 viruses with our distribution vector method and other three methods. The phylogenetic trees we obtain show that the distances between the distribution vectors correspond to the evolutionary relationships between these sequences. Our method works for a set of genome sequences or a set of gene sequences. Most importantly, the distribution vector method is much faster than the other methods. Hence our method is more efficient to deal with huge datasets than the other methods. Especially, Our distribution vector method only needs to compute the distribution vector of a new sequence when it is put in the dataset, while those multiple sequence alignment methods have to do the multiple sequence alignment on the new dataset when a new sequence is added. It will be more practical to find the closest sequence to the new sequence in a huge dataset with our distribution vector method. Our method may help to discover the functionality or the evolution of the new sequence.
Height



Figure 20. The Clustering result of 80 Mitochondrial Genomes


Figure 21. The Clustering result of 80 Mitochondrial Genomes by Clustalw


Figure 22. The Clustering result of 80 Mitochondrial Genomes by MAFFT


Figure 23. The Clustering result of 80 Mitochondrial Genomes by Muscle


Figure 24. The Clustering result of 60 H1N1 viruses


Figure 25. The Clustering result of 60 H1N1 viruses by Clustalw


Figure 26. The Clustering result of 60 H1N1 viruses by MAFFT


Figure 27. The Clustering result of 60 H1N1 viruses by Muscle

APPENDICES

TABLE IV: THE LIST OF 60 H1N1 VIRUSES

| Source | Location | Year | Accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swine | Wisconsin | 1998 | AAF87282 |
|  | Belgium | 1998 | ACN67524 |
|  | Scotland | 1999 | ACO25069 |
|  | Argentina | 2009 | ADC32526 |
|  | Osaka | 2009 | BAI49135 |
|  | Italy | 2009 | ADA70669 |
|  | Hong Kong | 2009 | ADG08380 |
|  | Italy | 2009 | ADD84723 |
|  | Belgium | 1979 | ACO24983 |
|  | Netherlands | 1980 | AAD25309 |
|  | France | 1984 | ACO25089 |
|  | Italy | 1987 | AAD25310 |
|  | Spain | 1991 | ACO25122 |
|  | England | 1992 | ACO25133 |
|  | Germany | 1995 | CAP49183 |
|  | Wisconsin | 1961 | AAD25302 |
|  | Wisconsin | 1968 | ABV25636 |
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| Source | Location | Year | Accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swine | Tennessee | 1975 | ABR28680 |
|  | New Jersey | 1976 | AAB39851 |
|  | Kentucky | 1976 | ABR28614 |
|  | Nebraska | 1977 | ABR28647 |
|  | Arizona | 1977 | ABU80287 |
|  | Ontario | 1981 | ABR28658 |
|  | Indiana | 1988 | ABF71860 |
|  | Maryland | 1991 | ABR29565 |
|  | California | 1991 | ABY84684 |
| Mallard | Ohio | 1993 | ABM21960 |
|  | Maryland | 2002 | ABS70389 |
|  | Maryland | 2002 | ABS70400 |
|  | Minnesota | 2008 | ACT84288 |
| Northern Shoveler | Minnesota | 2008 | ACT84833 |
| Shorebird | Delaware | 2006 | ACU15899 |
| Northern Pintail | Alaska | 2007 | ACY67472 |
| Green Winged Teal | Louisiana | 1987 | ACZ48419 |
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| Table IV - continued from previous page |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | Location | Year | Accession |
| Turkey | Ontario | 2009 | ADI52835 |
|  | Ontario | 2009 | ADI52836 |
|  | Cherry Point | 2009 | ACY77544 |
|  | Bogota | 2009 | ACY77554 |
|  | Toronto | 2009 | ACQ44556 |
|  | Illinois | 2009 | ACS72651 |
|  | Colorado | 2009 | ACR49290 |
|  | Finland | 2009 | ACS50088 |
| Philippines | 2009 | ACR78158 |  |
| Shanghai | 2009 | ACR54974 |  |
|  | Osaka | 2009 | ACR46991 |
| Paris | 2009 | ACR43939 |  |
| Beijing | 2009 | ACR32998 |  |
| New York | 2010 | ADI99560 |  |
| California | 2010 | ADI99550 |  |
| Orenburg | 2010 | ADI99498 |  |
| Mexico City | 2009 | ADI49787 |  |
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| Table IV - continued from previous page |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | Location | Year | Accession |
| Human | Singapore | 2010 | ADI24597 |
|  | Berlin | 2009 | ADI49382 |
|  | Florida | 2009 | ACR08526 |
|  | Brisbane | 2009 | ACR08498 |
|  | Maryland | 2009 | ACR08538 |
|  | Washington | 2009 | ACR08543 |
|  | Narita | 2009 | ACR09395 |
|  | Lisboa | 2009 | ACR15748 |
|  | Hong Kong | 2009 | ACR18920 |

TABLE V: THE LIST OF 80 MITOCHONDRIAL
GENOMES

| Order | Species | Accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primates | Human | V00662 |
|  | Pigmy Chimpanzee | D38116 |
|  | Common Chimpanzee | D38113 |
|  | Western Gorilla | D38114 |
|  | Common Gibbon | X99256 |
|  | Hamadryas Baboon | Y18001 |
|  | Western Chimpanzee | GU112744 |
|  | Vervet Monkey | EF597501 |
|  | Gelada Baboon | FJ785426 |
|  | Barbary Ape | NC_002764 |
| Proboscidea | African Elephant | AJ224821 |
|  | Asiatic Elephant | DQ316068 |
|  | American Mastodon | NC_009574 |
|  | Woolly Mammoth | NC_007596 |
| Perissodactyla | Indian Rhinoceros | X97336 |
|  | Black Rhinoceros | NC_012682 |
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| Table V - continued from previous page |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order | Species | Accession |
| Perissodactyla | Javan Rhinoceros | NC_012683 |
|  | Horse | NC_001640 |
|  | Donkey | NC_001788 |
|  | Woolly Rhinoceros | NC_012681 |
| Macroscelidea | Elephant Shrew | AB096867 |
|  | Short-eared Elephant Shrew | NC_004026 |
| Erinaceomorpha | Western European Hedgehog | X88898 |
|  | Long-eared Hedgehog | NC_005033 |
| Rodentia | Greater Cane Eat | NC_002658 |
|  | Ehrenberg's Mole Rat | NC_005315 |
|  | Golden Hamster | NC_013276 |
|  | Norway Rat | X14848 |
|  | Chinese hamster | EU660217 |
|  | Eurasian Red Squirrel | AJ238588 |
| Lagomorpha | Black-lipped Pika | NC_011029 |
|  | Rabbit | AJ001588 |
|  | European Hare | NC_004028 |
|  | Pig | AJ002189 |
| Artiodactyla | Continued on next page |  |


| Table V - continued from previous page |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Order | Species | Accession |
|  | Sheep | AF010406 |
|  | Goat | AF533441 |
|  | Chamois | FJ207539 |
|  | Pyrenean Chamois | FJ207538 |
|  | Japanese Serow | NC_012096 |
|  | Sumatran-Serow | FJ207534 |
|  | Yangtze River dolphin | NC_007629 |
|  | Sei Whale | NC_006929 |
|  | Narwhal | AJ554062 |
|  | Indus River Dolphin | NC_005275 |
|  | Fin Whale | NC_001321 |
| Chiroptera | Blue Whale | NC_001601 |
|  | Bowhead Whale | AJ554051 |
|  | Egyptian Rousette | NC_007393 |
|  | Okinawa Least Horseshoe Bat | NC_005434 |
|  | Nittle Red Flying Fox | NC_005433 |
|  | Continued on next page |  |


| Table V - continued from previous page |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order | Species | Accession |
| Tubulidentata | Aardvark | Y18475 |
| Carnivora | Tiger | EF551003 |
|  | Leopard | EF551002 |
|  | Clouded Leopard | NC_008450 |
|  | Cheetah | NC_005212 |
|  | Domestic Cat | U20753 |
|  | Tibetan Wolf | NC_011218 |
|  | Giant Panda | EF212882 |
|  | Asian Black Bear | DQ402478 |
|  | Brown Bear | AF303110 |
|  | Polar Bear | AF303111 |
|  | Spectacled Bear | EF196665 |
|  | Australian Sea Lion | NC_008419 |
|  | Hooker's Sea Lion | NC_008418 |
|  | Wolverine | NC_009685 |
|  | Dog | U96639 |
|  | Coyote | DQ480511 |
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| Table V - continued from previous page |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Order | Species | Accession |
| Carnivora | Gray Wolf | DQ480508 |
|  | American Black Bear | AF303109 |
|  | Raccoon Dog | NC_013700 |
|  | Dhole | GU063864 |
|  | Eurasian Wolf | NC_009686 |
|  | Red Panda | NC_009691 |
|  | Atlantic Walrus | NC_004029 |
|  | Steller Sea Lion | NC_004030 |
|  | Cave Bear | NC_011112 |
|  | Small Indian Mongoose | NC_006835 |
|  | Mongolian Wolf | EU442884 |
| Soricomorpha | Long-clawed shrew | AB061527 |
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